



The physics prospects with a monitored and tagged neutrino beam at CERN

F. Bramati on behalf of the **nuSCOPE** Collaboration

Marciana 2025 - Lepton Interactions with Nucleons and Nuclei Isola d'Elba, 22–27 Jun 2025

Neutrino cross sections ... still poorly known !

The next generation of long-baseline experiments (DUNE, HyperK) aims at high precision v oscillation measurements :

- test the 3 v families paradigm
- determination of the ν mass ordering
- test CP asymmetry in the lepton sector

The portal to test CP violation and mass hierarchy : high precision measurements of $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e}$ appearance and $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\mu}$ disappearance probabilities, and corresponding for anti-neutrinos.

> precise knowledge of v_e and v_μ cross sections is required !

$$N_{\nu_{\ell}}(E_{\nu}) \propto P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\ell}}(E_{\nu}) \cdot \sigma_{\nu}(E_{\nu}) \cdot \phi_{\nu}(E_{\nu}) \cdot \epsilon(E_{\nu})$$

Moreover, precise measurements of v cross-sections are essential to improve theoretical knowledge of v - nuclei interactions ... and can provide valuable insights for nuclear physics.

- The ν_e and ν_μ cross sections are known at O(10 30%) level in the few GeV energy range :
 - \rightarrow their precision is limited by systematic uncertainties.
 - \rightarrow current measurements can be hard to interpret due to broad-band beams.
- The leading source of systematics on cross-section measurements is the neutrino flux, generally known with a precision worse than O(5-10%) ...
- Moreover, the initial-state neutrino energy is not known on an event-by-event basis ...





nuSCOPE: a monitored and tagged neutrino beam

nuSCOPE is non-conventional neutrino beam that combines a monitored and tagged neutrino beam !

- → high-precision neutrino cross-section measurements with %-level flux systematics and neutrino energy measurement on an event-by-event basis.
- The **SBN@CERN** reference document has been posted on <u>arXiv:2503.21589</u>, as an input document submitted to <u>ESPP 2026 Update</u>. **nuSCOPE**



Slow extraction mode (10¹³ PoT / 9.6s) to reduce instantaneous rate (mitigated by proximity and large size of neutrino detector!).

Narrow-band beamline: secondary mesons K⁺ / π ⁺ selected with **p** = 8.5 GeV/c ± 10%.

•

nuSCOPE : improved neutrino flux knowledge with charged lepton monitoring

Monitored neutrino beams are a novel technology aimed at measure the flux and flavour of neutrinos produced at the source **at percent level**.



(1.65m length, 90° azimuthal coverage) tested at CERN PS T9.

. Eur. Phys. J. C (2023) 83: 964

Monitoring: effective removal of systematic uncertainties associated with neutrino flux modelling.

The **NP06/ENUBET** experiment, to date, is the most advanced implementation of a monitored neutrino beam.

- [−] measure **positrons** from K_{e3} ($K^+ \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0 \nu_e$) decay by means of the **instrumented decay tunnel** $\Rightarrow \nu_e$ flux measurement
- − measure muons from K_{µν} (K⁺ → µ⁺ ν_µ) with the instrumented decay tunnel and from π_{µν} (π⁺ → µ⁺ ν_µ) instrumenting the hadron dump as a range meter ⇒ ν_µ flux measurement

nuSCOPE : neutrino energy measurement using neutrino tagging

- In addition to a monitored neutrino beam, a **tagged neutrino beam** uniquely associate the neutrino with its accompanying particles in the beamline.
- The use of state-of-the-art **silicon trackers** is the core of the tagged neutrino beam proposed by **NuTag**:
 - **beam** and **muon spectrometers** are installed along the beamline to track π , **K** and μ .
 - **kinematic reconstruction of neutrinos** produced in $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}$ and $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}$ decays.
 - each v_{μ} interaction observed in the neutrino detector is uniquely associated to its parent meson and associated muon.
- **NA62** reported a **first tagged neutrino candidate** from $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}$ decay (Phys. Lett. B 863 (2025) 139345).
- The beam spectrometer technology is the main challenge for tagging :
 - high particle rate to cope with : 20 MHz/mm² at the center of the first beam spectrometer,
 0.6 MHz/mm² at the muon spectrometer (9.6 s spills of 10¹³ PoTs).
 - 4D track reconstruction (space + time)
- State-of-the-art : NA62 beam tracker (GTK)
- **New silicon technologies** are developed in synergy with HL-LHC (LHCb-VELO upgrade) :
 - TimeSPOT, IGNITE at INFN, LA-PICOPIX at CERN





	Time Reso.	Pixel Pitch	Max. Radiation	Max. Flux
NA62-GTK	130 ps	300 µm	$10^{14} n_{eq}^{2}/cm^{2}$	2 MHz/mm ²
New Techno	<50 ps	45 µm	10 ¹⁶⁻¹⁷ n _{eq} /cm ²	10-100 MHz/mm ²
1				5

The reference neutrino detector : ν_{μ} / ν_{e} event rates



- A non exhaustive list of what we need to model about neutrino interactions :
 - 1. The energy dependence of neutrino cross sections $\sigma(E_{\nu})$
 - → to know how to extrapolate from near to far detectors in oscillation experiments
 - 2. The smearing and bias in neutrino energy reconstruction
 - → to infer the shape of the oscillated spectrum in DUNE/HK
 - 3. The differences in ν_e / ν_μ cross sections
 - \rightarrow to use **v**_e appearance to probe CP violation
 - 4. The background events in far detectors (e.g. NC π^0)
 - to correctly interpret far detector event rates
 - 5. Neutrino energy measurement on an event-by-event basis
 - electron scattering-like measurement with neutrinos



- A non exhaustive list of what we need to model about neutrino interactions :
 - 1. The energy dependence of neutrino cross sections $\sigma(E_{\nu})$
 - → to know how to extrapolate from near to far detectors in oscillation experiments
 - 2. The smearing and bias in neutrino energy reconstruction
 - → to infer the shape of the oscillated spectrum in DUNE/HK
 - 3. The differences in ν_e / ν_μ cross sections
 - to use **v**_e appearance to probe CP violation
 - 4. The background events in far detectors (e.g. NC π^0)
 - to correctly interpret far detector event rates
 - 5. Neutrino energy measurement on an event-by-event basis
 - electron scattering-like measurement with neutrinos



- A non exhaustive list of what we need to model about neutrino interactions :
 - 1. The energy dependence of neutrino cross sections $\sigma(E_{\nu})$
 - → to know how to extrapolate from near to far detectors in oscillation experiments
 - 2. The smearing and bias in neutrino energy reconstruction
 - ➡ to infer the shape of the oscillated spectrum in DUNE/HK
 - 3. The differences in ν_e / ν_μ cross sections
 - to use \mathbf{v}_{e} appearance to probe CP violation
 - 4. The background events in far detectors (e.g. NC π^0)
 - ➡ to correctly interpret far detector event rates
 - 5. Neutrino energy measurement on an event-by-event basis
 - electron scattering-like measurement with neutrinos



- A non exhaustive list of what we need to model about neutrino interactions :
- 1. The energy dependence of neutrino cross sections $\sigma(E_{\nu})$
 - → to know how to extrapolate from near to far detectors in oscillation experiments
- 2. The smearing and bias in neutrino energy reconstruction
 - → to infer the shape of the oscillated spectrum in DUNE/HK
- 3. The differences in v_e / v_μ cross sections
 - to use v_e appearance to probe CP violation
- 4. The background events in far detectors (e.g. NC π^0)
 - to correctly interpret far detector event rates
- 5. Neutrino energy measurement on an event-by-event basis
 - electron scattering-like measurement with neutrinos



- A non exhaustive list of what we need to model about neutrino interactions :
 - 1. The energy dependence of neutrino cross sections $\sigma(E_{\nu})$
 - → to know how to extrapolate from near to far detectors in oscillation experiments
 - 2. The smearing and bias in neutrino energy reconstruction
 - to infer the shape of the oscillated spectrum in DUNE/HK
 - 3. The differences in ν_e / ν_μ cross sections
 - to use **v**_e appearance to probe CP violation
- 4. The background events in far detectors (e.g. NC π^0)
 - to correctly interpret far detector event rates
- 5. Neutrino energy measurement on an event-by-event basis
 - electron scattering-like measurement with neutrinos



- A non exhaustive list of what we need to model about neutrino interactions :
 - 1. The energy dependence of neutrino cross sections $\sigma(E_{\nu})$
 - → to know how to extrapolate from near to far detectors in oscillation experiments
 - 2. The smearing and bias in neutrino energy reconstruction
 - → to infer the shape of the oscillated spectrum in DUNE/HK
 - 3. The differences in ν_e / ν_μ cross sections
 - \rightarrow to use **v**_e appearance to probe CP violation
 - 4. The background events in far detectors (e.g. NC π^0)
 - to correctly interpret far detector event rates
- 5. Neutrino energy measurement on an event-by-event basis
 - electron scattering-like measurement with neutrinos







neutrino cross section measurements with the <mark>monitored</mark> neutrino sample

The narrow-band off-axis technique



The narrow-band off-axis technique



flux averaged ν_{μ} CC inclusive cross section measurement



flux averaged ν_{μ} CC0 π double differential cross section

- The simplest channel to measure is **CCQE** : a single lepton and nucleon in the final state.
 - The closest visible final state is **CC0** π **topology** : a single lepton and **no pions** in the final state.
 - contributions from CCQE, multi-nucleon interactions (2p2h), resonant pion production with pion absorption (RES), other process with no pions in the final state.

double differential v_{μ} cross sections as a function of outgoing lepton kinematics p_{μ} , $\cos \theta_{\mu}$:

→ lepton kinematics maps to the momentum q_3 and energy transfer $\omega = q_0$ in neutrino scattering, averaged over the range of available neutrino energies.





same interaction topologies from different interactions due to **final state interactions (FSI)** taking place inside the nucleus

few %-level statistical uncertainty

- w/o a monitored beam measurements become systematically limited
- statistical power of projected measurement enables to discriminate between different models
- different kinematic regions are sensitive to different aspects of modeling differences

flux averaged v_e inclusive double differential cross section

- double differential v_e cross sections as a function of calorimetric observables E_{avail} , q_3 :
- The available (recoil) energy E_{avail} is the calorimetric sum of the outgoing hadronic state :
 - it is a proxy for the energy seen in a detector with a high tracking threshold,
 where individual charged-pions are not identified, and no neutron energy is measured.
- \mathbf{q}_{3} is the projection of the momentum transfer q onto the incoming neutrino direction :

•

- assuming that reconstructed q_3 from particle kinematics has been unfolded to its true value.
- it is a model-dependent procedure, but the model dependence could be mitigated with tagging.

$$E_{\text{avail}} = \sum_{i=\pi^{\pm},p} T_i + \sum_{i=\pi^0,\gamma} E_i$$

$$q_3 = \sqrt{Q^2 + q_0^2}$$
$$Q^2 = 2(E_l + q_0)(E_l - |\vec{p}_l| \cos \theta_l) - m_l^2$$



PRISM technique using narrow band off-axis fluxes : v_e / v_μ cross section ratio

differences between v_e and v_{μ} cross-sections is an important systematic for the measurement of $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_e$ oscillation :

- few direct constraints on v_e cross-section exist ... extrapolated from v_{μ} beam at near detector.
- assuming lepton universality, differences in v_e and v_μ cross-sections are due to lepton mass terms, significant at relatively low energy transfers \rightarrow differences in $\sigma(v_e) / \sigma(v_\mu)$ ratio of the order of 3% predicted by nuclear models in these regions.
- The **PRISM** technique is being investigated by HK, SBND and DUNE to create virtual fluxes from linear combinations of off-axis fluxes.
- In nuSCOPE, it is possible to **create a virtual ν_e flux (target) using linear combinations of narrow ν_μ off-axis real fluxes.**





flux averaged ν_{μ} NC π^{0} cross section measurement

NC interactions constitute a source of **background** for neutrino oscillation :

- **production of neutral pions in NC interactions**, i.e. **NC** π^0 **topology**, is the main channel contributing to this background.
- photons can be mis-reconstructed as electrons \rightarrow NC events are mis-attributed to CC events with a final state electron.

This process was measured by MicroBooNE, see Phys. Rev. D 107, 012004.







neutrino cross section measurements with the <mark>tagged</mark> neutrino sample

neutrino tagging : v_{μ} energy measurement and CC inclusive cross section

In a tagged neutrino beam the neutrino energy is known on an event-by-event basis with sub-% energy resolution.

Neutrino tagging can be used to directly measure:

events / 1.4 .10¹⁹ pot / 0.1

The CC v_{μ} cross section $\sigma(E_{\nu})$ as a function of true E_{ν} 1.





neutrino tagging : v_{μ} energy measurement and CC inclusive cross section

In a tagged neutrino beam the neutrino energy is known on an event-by-event basis with sub-% energy resolution.



neutrino tagging : electron scattering-like measurements with neutrinos

In a tagged neutrino beam the neutrino energy is known on an event-by-event basis with sub-% energy resolution.

GeV.

10⁻³⁸ c nucleon

Neutrino tagging can be used to directly measure:

- the v_{μ} cross section $\sigma(E_{\nu})$ as a function of true E_{ν} 1.
- 2. the neutrino energy bias

•

3. electron scattering-like measurements with tagged neutrinos!





Data

G2018

SuSAv2 (Tota

Nature 599, 565-570 (2021)

neutrino tagging : electron scattering-like measurements with neutrinos

In a tagged neutrino beam the neutrino energy is known on an event-by-event basis with sub-% energy resolution.

Neutrino tagging can be used to directly measure:

- 1. the v_{μ} cross section $\sigma(E_{\nu})$ as a function of true E_{ν}
- 2. the neutrino energy bias

•

3. electron scattering-like measurements with tagged neutrinos !



invariant rest mass of nucleons W

 $W = \sqrt{M_N^2 + 2M_N\omega - Q^2},$



Conclusions

- Improving the knowledge of neutrino cross sections at the GeV scale by an order of magnitude is essential to unlock the full physics potential of future neutrino oscillation experiments, and it represents a major advance in the understanding of v nuclei interactions.
- **nuSCOPE** offers a unique possibility to provide **high-precision neutrino cross sections at GeV scale**, thanks to the efforts of the ENUBET and NuTag collaborations.
- The **monitored neutrino sample** can :

•

•

٠

- reduce **flux systematic uncertainties** to **1% level** using monitoring of charged leptons in instrumented decay tunnel
- neutrino energy dependence of cross section $\sigma(E_{\nu})$, ν_{μ} / ν_{e} double differential cross-section
- **PRISM** technique using **narrow band off-axis fluxes** \rightarrow primary access to $\sigma(v_e) / \sigma(v_\mu)$ ratio
- constrain far detector **backgrounds** (**NC** π⁰)

The **tagged neutrino sample** further opens the door to a range of game-changing measurements :

- event-by-event measurement of neutrino energy
- electron-scattering physics with neutrinos
- Neutrino tagging would be a paradigm changing for nuclear physics measurements !
- A dedicated **workshop** will be hosted at **CERN** on **October 13 14** TBA very soon !





Backup

nuSCOPE implementation at the CERN accelerator complex

• The implementation of the facility in the CERN complex is currently being studied in the framework of the **CERN Physics Beyond Collider (PBC)** program.

The most promising locations are in a new experimental Hall (ECN4) in the Prevessin campus and in an extension of existing tunnels near the SPS Long Straight Section 6 (LSS6), close to HighRadMat in the Meyrin Campus. Some of the work affecting the LHC injector needs to be done in a Long Shutdown.





Implementation at CERN : pros and cons

ECN4 (North Area, Prevessin) :

- A dedicated experimental hall provides greater flexibility for detector installation and the addition of new detectors for cross-section studies with specific targets.
- Slow extraction is already implemented in LSS2.
- The beam splitter presents significant technical challenges.
- Neutrino detectors have minimal overburden, leading to increased cosmic ray background during long extractions.
- May require a dedicated cycle for nuSCOPE, potentially increasing the impact on proton availability for other experiments.

TNC/TT61/TCC6 (East Area, Meyrin) – currently our favorite option :

- Detectors are located underground.
- Minimal interference with proton sharing among fixed target experiments.
- Requires enlargement of existing tunnels to accommodate neutrino detectors.
- Implementation of a non-local slow extraction is needed, similar to the system used at the PS.

In both cases, nuSCOPE requires <25% of the TCC2 intensity and, hence is compatible with the CERN fixed target programme in 2030 - 40

Meson and muon tracking



- Parent and muon tracking requires a time resolution of O(100 ps) and a detector granularity of 300 µm.
- Particle rates in the hottest (central) planes are 20 MHz/mm² for 10¹³ pot in 9.6 s. The peak fluence (non-ionizing dose) is 10¹⁶ MeV n_{eq} /cm².
- We thus benefit from the technology currently being developed for the LHCb velo upgrade and pioneered at the 2 MHz/mm² level by NA62.

Technical readiness of nuSCOPE

Is nuSCOPE "ready for construction"? While most of the facility relies on validated technologies, there are still areas that require full confirmation. In particular,

Beamline					Diagnostics for lepton monitoring/tagging					
Design	ОК	Still room for improvement in reduct		ction	Decay tunnel instrumentation	ОК	ENUBET R&D (2016-2022)			
		of non	-monitored v		Hadron dump	in progress	ENUBET+PIMENT R&D (2021-			
Components	S OK Stand		ard and existing (at		Silicon tracking	R&D	The technologies are identified			
Slow	in progress	Depen	Depends on final		planes	nap	within HL-LHC R&D but not yet fully validated			
extraction	imple		mentation		Outer tracking planes and muon spectrometer	in progress	Technologies are identified but design and validation in progress			
Infrastructure	in progress	Depends on final implementation								
Neutrino detectors										
Liquid argon in		in progress	Based on ProtoDUNE's technologies with enhanced light detection (ProtoDUNE Run III)							
Water Cherenkov - WBLS OF		ОК	Based	Based on WCTE's technology or Water Based Liquid Scintillators (WBLS)						
Muon catcher and cosmic ray veto		in progress	Deper	pends on final implementation 20						

flux averaged v_{μ} and v_{e} double differential cross section measurements

The flux averaged v_{μ} inclusive cross section measurement using narrow band off-axis fluxes can set a constrain on total neutrino cross section $\sigma(E_v)$.

However, the total cross section $\sigma(E_v)$ gets contributions from several channels regulated by different dynamic processes :

their relative contribution and underlying physics of each process are pivotal info for the success of future experiments.

The individual mechanisms can be probed by a variety of measurements, we took inspiration from measurements made by current experiments :

 v_{μ} CC0 π double differential cross section \rightarrow **T2K** : Phys. Rev. D 108, 112009

 v_e CC inclusive double differential cross section \rightarrow MINERvA : Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 071802







PRISM technique using narrow band off-axis fluxes

The **PRISM** technique can be used to create virtual fluxes from linear combinations of narrow band off-axis fluxes.

- create a virtual electron neutrino flux (target) using linear combinations of real muon netrino fluxes.



the set of linear equations encoded does not have a unique solution : ill-posed linear algebra problem.

Tikhonov regularization : find a stable approximated a solution with less variance; variations between adjacent elements of c are reduced \rightarrow introduce bias to reduce the variance, adjusted via a regularisation strength.



PRISM technique using narrow band off-axis fluxes : Tikhonov regularization

The **PRISM** technique can be used to create virtual fluxes from linear combinations of narrow band off-axis fluxes.

- create a virtual electron neutrino flux (target) using linear combinations of real muon netrino fluxes.

The set of linear equations encoded does not have a unique solution : **ill-posed linear algebra problem**.

- solving with least-squares, statistical fluctuations in the target flux lead to large variations.
- Tikhonov regularization : find a stable approximated a solution with less variance, where the variations between adjacent elements of c are reduced. This introduces a bias to reduce the variance, which can be adjusted via a regularisation strength.

$$\phi(E_{\nu}) = \sum_{j} c_{j} \Phi_{j}(E_{\nu})$$



$$\Gamma = \tau \cdot A$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

PRISM technique using narrow band off-axis fluxes : Tikhonov regularization

Tikhonov regularization : find a stable approximated a solution with less variance, where the variations between adjacent elements of c are reduced.

This introduces a bias to reduce the variance, which can be adjusted via a regularisation strength.

 $m \rightarrow -1 \quad m \rightarrow -1$

$$\phi(E_{\nu}) = \sum_{j} c_{j} \Phi_{j}(E_{\nu})$$

$$\vec{c} = \left[\Phi^{I} \Phi + \Gamma^{I} \Gamma\right]^{-1} \Phi^{I} \phi$$



$$C = \frac{d^2 L_y dL_x - d^2 L_x dL_y}{\left[(dL_x)^2 + (dL_y)^2 \right]^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

choose optimum **regularisation strength** corresponding to **2% statistical uncertainty** on total event rate.



The aim of the ENUBET project



The purpose of ENUBET: design a narrow-band neutrino beam to measure

- v cross section and flavour composition at O(1%) precision level
- v_μ energy at O(10%) precision level

From the "*European Strategy for Particle Physics Deliberation document*": (10.17181/ESU2020Deliberation)

To extract the most physics from DUNE and Hyper-Kamiokande, a complementary programme of experimentation to determine neutrino cross-sections and fluxes is required. Several experiments aimed at determining neutrino fluxes exist worldwide. The possible implementation and impact of a facility to measure neutrino cross-sections at the percent level should continue to be studied.

From the "**Physics Briefbook for the European Strategy for Particle Physics**" : (arXiv:1910.11775)

A dedicated study should be set-up to evaluate the possible implementation, performance and impact of a percent-level electron and muon neutrino cross-section measurement facility (based on e.g. ENUBET or nuSTORM) with conclusion in a few years time.



The ENUBET transfer line : the final design



The beamline is based on **static focusing** elements ("direct current"), i.e. **without** employing a **pulsed magnetic horn** :

- **slow extraction of primary protons** ⇒ full intensity continuously extracted in few seconds (~ 2 sec)
 - particle rate in the tunnel reduced at a sustainable level for detectors (< 100 kHz/cm²)
 - static focusing elements : dipoles and quadrupoles \Rightarrow cost-effective and operationally more stable
- **short length** to minimize kaon decays \Rightarrow w/L = 20 m about 30% of K are lost, and K/ π abundance ratio drops by ~ 25%
- optimized **graphite target** (L = 70 cm, R = 3 cm)
- **tungsten foil** (5 cm) after target to screen e⁺background



The instrumentation of the decay tunnel



- Design of a compact, efficient and radiation-hard detector with $e^+ / \pi^+ / \mu^+$ separation capabilities using a cost-effective technology.
- The decay tunnel is **40 m** long and instrumented with **3 radial layers of longitudinally segmented calorimeter modules** and with a **system for photon rejection** made by plastic scintillator rings.

Lateral readout Compact Modules (LCMs)

- **Sampling calorimeter** : stack of 1.5 cm iron slabs interleaved w/ 0.7 cm plastic scintillator tiles.
- · LCM : 3 x 3 x 11 cm³ (= 4.3 X₀)
- **Longitudinal segmentation** \Rightarrow exploit event topology for e⁺ / π^+ / μ^+ PID



Scintillation light collected by WLS fibers and readout by external SiPMs shielded by 30 cm of borated poliethylene (BPE) \Rightarrow factor 18 reduction in neutron fluence



Charged lepton reconstruction and identification performance



- Full GEANT4 simulation of the instrumented decay tunnel :
 - validated by prototype tests at CERN in 2016-2018
 - hit-level detector response ٠
 - pile-up effects included (waveform treatment in progress)
 - event building and PID algorithms

Reconstruction and event selection :

- **1.** Event bulding : association of energy deposition patterns compatible in space and time w/ an EM shower (e^+) or a straight track (μ^+)
- 2. Identification $e^+ / \pi^+ / \mu^+ / y$: multivariate analysis (MLP-NN of TMVA) trained on a set of discriminating variables :
 - ٠ energy deposition patterns in the calorimeter
 - event topology
 - photon veto ٠



Time tagging in ENUBET

. Eur. Phys. J. C (2023) 83: 964



Investigating the possibility to operate ENUBET as a **time-tagged neutrino beam** .

175

150

125

50

25

0

ps

True

- Time coincidences of ve and e+
- Flavour and energy determination enriched by charged lepton observation at decay level

Employed full beamline simulation and PID algorithms .







The ENUBET demonstrator: construction at INFN-LNL





The ENUBET demonstrator: construction at INFN-LNL [cont']





The ENUBET demonstrator: test-beam at CERN in fall 2022





The ENUBET demonstrator: test-beam at CERN in fall 2022 [cont']





The instrumentation of the hadron dump



- Reconstruction of **muons** from $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ ($\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}$) decay to constrain the **low energy** ν_{μ} flux.
- Low angle muons : out of tagger acceptance, muon stations after hadron-dump are needed.



Exploit differences in distributions to disentangle components

- Hottest detector (upstream station) : it must be capable to cope with ~ 2 MHz/cm² muon rate and ~ 10¹² 1 MeV-n_{eq} / cm².
- Exploit:
 - correlation between number of traversed stations (muon energy from range-out) and neutrino energy.
 - difference in distribution to disentangle signal from halo-muons.
- Possible candidate technology : fast Micromega detectors with Cherenkov radiators (PIMENT project).