













Nuclear Astrophysics deep underground: the LUNA experiment

Rosanna Depalo for the LUNA Collaboration

Università degli Studi di Milano and INFN Milano



22 – 27 June 2025

Marciana 2025 - Lepton Interactions with Nucleons and Nuclei

Nuclear reactions in Astrophysics



Marciana 2025

Charged-particle-induced reactions at astro energies



Charged-particle-induced reactions at astro energies

In most astrophysical scenarios, the kinetic energy of interacting nuclei is much lower than Coulomb repulsive potential:

- → Nuclear reactions occur through quantum-mechanical tunnel
- → Cross sections decreases steeply with energy

$$\sigma(E) \equiv \frac{1}{E} e^{-2\pi\eta} S(E)$$

At astrophysical energies, the cross section can be extremely small (fb - nb)



Charged-particle-induced reactions in the lab



Charged-particle-induced reactions in the lab



Charged-particle-induced reactions in the lab



E[keV]

Why underground?



C. Broggini+, Prog. Part. Nuc. Phys. 98 (2018) 55–84



Why underground?

+ More effective passive shielding for $E\gamma < 3$ MeV

Underground passive shielding is more effective since μ flux, that create secondary γ 's in the shield, is suppressed.

Background reduction as high as a factor of 10^5





Why underground?



Underground Nuclear Astrophysics worldwide



The Laboratory for Underground Nuclear Astrophysics



The Laboratory for Underground Nuclear Astrophysics

Virtual tour of LNGS available on Google Maps





The Laboratory for Underground Nuclear Astrophysics



LUNA-400 kV



22 – 27 June 2025

IVIal Clana 2025

Reactions studied at LUNA since 1991



Reactions studied at LUNA since 1991

CNO CYCLE

NeNa and MgAI CYCLES



S-PROCESS NUCLEOSYNTHESIS: ${}^{13}C(\alpha,n){}^{16}O, {}^{22}Ne(\alpha,\gamma){}^{26}Mg$

Marciana 2025

Big bang nucleosynthesis: ${}^{2}H(p,\gamma){}^{3}He$ reaction

The comparison of observed primordial elemental abundances with the abundances predicted by BBN (intersection of blue curves with vertical line) provides stringent constraints to cosmological parameters and the Big Bang model



Big bang nucleosynthesis: ${}^{2}H(p,\gamma){}^{3}He$ reaction

PRIMORDIAL ABUNDANCE OF ²H:

<u>Direct measurements</u>: observation of absorption lines in DLA system

$$\left[\frac{D}{H}\right]_{OBS} = (2.527 \pm 0.030) \cdot 10^{-5}$$

R. Cooke at al., ApJ. 855, 102 (2018)

 <u>BBN theory</u>: from the cosmological parameters and the cross sections of the processes involved in ²H creation and destruction

$$\left[\frac{D}{H}\right]_{BBN} = \frac{(2.587 \pm 0.055) \cdot 10^{-5}}{(2.439 \pm 0.052) \cdot 10^{-5}}$$

Plank 2018 results arXiv:1807.06209v1

0.26 Standard BBN $\gamma_{\rm P}^{\rm BBN}$ 0.25 Aver et al. (2015) 0.24 Adelberger et al. Standard BBN: - Planck TT,TE,EE 3.4 +lowE3.0 УDР Cooke et al. (2018) 2.6 2.2 0.018 0.020 0.022 0.024 0.026 $\omega_{\rm b}$

The D/H predicted by BBN changes by 6% depending on the 2 H(p, γ) 3 He cross section adopted

²H(p,γ)³He: Results



nature

Explore content Y About the journal Y Publish with us Y

nature > articles > article

Article Published: 11 November 2020

The baryon density of the Universe from an improved rate of deuterium burning

V. Mossa, K. Stöckel, [...]S. Zavatarelli 🖂

Nature 587, 210–213 (2020) | Cite this article 4402 Accesses | 13 Citations | 168 Altmetric | Metrics

Systematic uncertainty reduced to < 3%

22 – 27 June 2025

²H(p,γ)³He: Results

Baryon density of the Universe:

- Obtained with PArthENoPE code by comparing [D/H]_{OBS} and [D/H]_{BBN}
- ✓ N_{eff} = 3.045, fixed
- Comparison with Planck results



Analysis performed by Ofelia Pisanti and Gianpiero Mangano

¹⁴N(p,γ)¹⁵O reaction: Bottleneck of the CNO cycle



→ Determine age of the Universe from globular clusters

 \rightarrow Use CNO neutrino flux to probe the interior of the Sun

 $^{14}N(p,\gamma)^{15}O$ is the slowest reaction of the CNO cycle



¹⁴N(p, γ)¹⁵O reaction: Bottleneck of the CNO cycle





TABLE IX $S_{114}(0)$ as the sum of the different transitions.

Transition	$S_{114}(0)$ (keV b)	$\Delta S_{114}(0)$	Reference
$tr \rightarrow 0$	0.30 ± 0.11	37%	Present
$tr \rightarrow 6.79$	1.17 ± 0.03	2.9%	Present
$tr \rightarrow 6.17$	0.13 ± 0.05	38%	SF II
$tr \rightarrow 5.18$	0.010 ± 0.003	30%	SF II
$\operatorname{tr}(5.24) \to 0$	0.068 ± 0.020	30%	SF II
R-matrix sum	1.68 ± 0.13	7.6%	
Additional syst. uncert.		3.5%	
Total	1.68 ± 0.14	8.4%	

Solar Fusion III arXiv:2405.06470v1 (2024)

¹⁴N(p, γ)¹⁵O reaction: Bottleneck of the CNO cycle









Y1

 γ_2

 γ_3



New experimental campaign, same detector, more advanced analysis technique!





Stellar carbon burning

In stars, carbon burning is the first evolutionary stage involving the fusion of heavy ions.

Only stars with mass higher than a threshold M_{UP} (~ 8 M_{SUN}) can ignite carbon burning:



M > M_{up} Supernovae→ neutron stars and black holes



 M_{UP} (and hence the whole life and fate of a star) depends on the ¹²C+¹²C cross section

Stellar carbon burning



Main exit channels

Experiments are performed detecting charged particles and/or gamma rays



Stellar carbon burning

$${}^{12}C + {}^{12}C \rightarrow {}^{20}Ne + \alpha (+\gamma) (Q = 4.62 \text{ MeV})$$

$$\rightarrow {}^{23}Na + p (+\gamma) (Q = 2.24 \text{ MeV})$$

- Main exit channels

Experiments are performed detecting charged particles and/or gamma rays



A. Tumino et al. Nature 557, 687 (2018)

Bellotti Ion Beam Facility

- Inline Cockcroft Walton accelerator
- TERMINAL VOLTAGE: 0.2 3.5 MV
- Beam energy reproducibility: 0.01% TV or 50V
- Beam energy stability: 0.001% TV / h
- Beam current stability: < 5% / h

H⁺ beam: 500 - 1000 eμA He⁺ beam: 300 - 500 eμA C⁺ beam: 100 - 150 eμA C⁺⁺ beam: 100 eμA

A. Sen et al. NIM B 450 (2019) 390 - 395





Marciana 2025

¹²C+¹²C experiment at the Bellotti IBF

- \circ ¹²C beam on thick graphite target
- gamma-rays detected by one large-volume HPGe
- detector surrounded by 7cm Cu + 25cm Pb shielding to suppress background
- Nal detectors to suppress Compton continuum
- Data taking just started!







THANK YOU!



LUNA COLLABORATION:

INFN - Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso/GSSI, L'AQUILA, Italy D. Basak, R. Gesuè, F. Ferraro, M. Junker, T. Chillery

Università degli Studi di Bari and INFN-BARI, Italy G.F. Ciani

Università degli Studi di Genova and INFN-GENOVA, Italy P. Corvisiero, S. Zavatarelli, M. Rossi

Università degli Studi di Milano and INFN-MILANO, Italy A. Guglielmetti, R. Depalo, G. Gosta

Università degli Studi di Napoli "Federico II" and INFN-NAPOLI, Italy A. Best, D. Dell'Aquila, A. Di Leva, G. Imbriani, D. Rapagnani,

D. Mercogliano, U. Battino

Università degli Studi di Padova and INFN-PADOVA, Italy C. Broggini, A. Caciolli, R. Menegazzo, D. Piatti, J. Skowronski, S. Turkat, R. Biasissi INFN-Roma, ROMA, Italy A. Formicola, C. Gustavino, M. Vagnoni Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, Italy V. Rigato, M. Campostrini Osservatorio Astronomico di Collurania, TERAMO, Italy O. Straniero Università di Torino and INFN-TORINO, Italy F. Cavanna, P. Colombetti, G. Gervino, R. Sariyal

Konkoly Observatory, BUDAPEST, Hungary M. Lugaro

HUN-REN ATOMKI, DEBRECEN, Hungary L. Csedreki, Z. Elekes, Zs. Fülöp, Gy. Gyürky, T. Szücs

HZDR, DRESDEN, Germany D. Bemmerer, A. Boeltzig, E. Masha University of Edinburgh, EDINBURGH, United Kingdom M. Aliotta, L. Barbieri, R. Bonnell, C.G. Bruno, A. Compagnucci, T. Davinson, J. Jones, J. Marsh, D. Robb

