

## IMAPP Master Thesis Project

# Enhancing the efficiency of event generation with MCMC and machine learning techniques

Cornelius Grunwald

[cornelius.grunwald@tu-dortmund.de](mailto:cornelius.grunwald@tu-dortmund.de)

TU Dortmund University, Germany

### Motivation - Improving Sampling Efficiency

- high-energy physics heavily relies on simulated events  $\Rightarrow$  Monte Carlo simulations
- we need high-statistic samples to precisely investigate tails of distributions and more complex final states
- MC simulation efficiency and speed need to improve for precision era, e.g. for HL-LHC (factor ~25 more simulated data required)
- multiple efforts made such as ML, nested sampling, MCMC sampling

[Yallup et al. [2205.02030](https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.02030)] [Danziger et al. [2109.11964\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.11964) [Kröninger et al. [1404.4328\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1404.4328)



Image: **Machine learning and LHC event generation,** Butter et al., **[10.21468/SciPostPhys.14.4.079](https://dx.doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.14.4.079)**, SciPost Physics 14 (2021)

### The Challenge - Expensive event generation



Computational bottleneck: the hard scattering component

$$
\sigma_{pp\to X_n} = \sum_{ab} \int dx_a dx_b d\Phi_n f_a(x_a, \mu_F^2) f_b(x_b, \mu_F^2) |\mathcal{M}_{ab \to X_n}|^2 \Theta_n(p_1, \ldots, p_n)
$$

Difficulty:

 $\bullet$   $|\mathcal{M}|^2$  is typically multi-modal, wildly fluctuating & computationally expensive



<https://www.fnal.gov/pub/today/images/images12/figure.jpg>

### Sherpa

- MC event generator for collision events
- user-friendly configuration files for selecting processes and setting cuts
- main sampling method: importance sampling within physics-informed channel mappings





### <https://sherpa-team.gitlab.io> [Bothmann et al., [SciPost Phys.7 \(2019\)\]](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.7.3.034)

#### **Sherpa.yaml**



### Rambo & Multichannel Mappings

- task: generate four-momenta of incoming & outgoing particles from random numbers
- need to fulfill constraints like energy conservation & on shell conditions
- RAMBO mapping: [1308.2922]

$$
d\Phi_n(P, p_1, \dots, p_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{d^3 p_i}{(2\pi)^3 2E_i} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(P - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i) \qquad d = 3n - 4
$$

● Multichannel interface:

$$
g(x) = \sum_{i}^{N_c} \alpha_i g_i(x), \quad \sum_{i}^{N_c} \alpha_i = 1
$$

- use mixture distribution for multimodal targets
- construct channels based on physics knowledge
- automatic channel weight optimization



### The Bayesian Analysis Toolkit - BAT.jl

- collection of state-of-the art algorithms for Bayesian data analysis in Julia
- focusing on **efficiently sampling distributions** (particularly via MCMC)
- not relying on a specific modelling language / domain specific language
- provides modern sampling approaches & new algorithms



#### **user-specified:**  ● target (likelihood & data) **outputs automated posterior**  ● parameters & prior **exploration** samples plots (tuning, parameter space transformations, parallelization, …) modes, mean values, intervals **provided by BAT.jl:**  sampling algorithms smallest 90.22% interval(s) **○ MCMC sampling** smallest 70.82% interval(s) smallest 51.89% interval(s) 0.15 ○ Nested Sampling marginal mode prior  $\mathbb{Q}$  0.10 **•** integration algorithms ● optimization algorithms 0.05  $0.00$  $-15$  $-10$  $-5$ 5 10 15 0 https://github.com/chi-feng/mcmc-demo

### The BAT.jl - Sherpa Interface

Current interface: Run BAT.jl and call Sherpa as the target distribution



### Example Process: Z + 3 Jets

Z+3jets :  $gg \rightarrow dd e^+ e^- g$  @ 13GeV pp collisions



**2 parameters** for the **incoming** momenta fractions

**11 parameters** for the momenta of the **5 outgoing particles**

 $\implies$  13 dimensional sampling space

### Phase space when sampling in a selected channel (1D)

- one dimensional marginalized distributions of samples
- shown first five parameters of phase space
- abstract parameter space
- wide variety of shapes



### Phase space when sampling in a selected channel (2D)

- one and two dimensional marginalized distributions of samples
- shown first five parameters of phase space
- abstract parameter space
- wide variety of shapes



### Physical Observables

dilepton mass

Lepton pT





### MCMC - Autocorrelation

- events generated by MCMC methods are not independent
- **autocorrelation** plots allow to visualize this effect
- effective sample size (ESS) can be used to account for correlated samples



open problems:

- need to reduce autocorrelation to improve sampling efficiency
- test interface on more complex final state

### ML enhanced MCMC sampling

improving the performance of high-dimensional sampling by combinin MCMC & ML methods  $\Rightarrow$ normalizing flow enhanced MCMC

learn a normalzing transformation from MCMC samples by training a NN

test this sampling approach for sampling Sherpa processes





### Master project - Possible Roadmap

- learn to use the BAT.jl-Sherpa interface
- investigate more complex final state examples
- trest new sampling algorithms / strategies
- test normalizing flow enhanced MCMC sampling on example processes

- computing & coding heavy project -> working on the computer
- basic knowledge of statistics & MC/ML methods would be helpful
- programming languages:  $C++$  & Julia (& python)

If you are interested, feel free to contact me: [cornelius.grunwald@tu-dortmund.de](mailto:cornelius.grunwald@tu-dortmund.de)