Gyrolaser Optical design: STD op.





Noise performances over commercial amplifiers

Electrical scheme of the upper branch



Noise performances vs commercial preamp with equivalent gain

Red line: commercial amp preamp 10 (femto LCA-4k-1G) Excess noise zone Blue line: h.m. module (iccw) Linear Spectral Density (V/sqrt(Hz)) Further improvements on noise performances of our modules are still (hopefully) possibles Simulated noise An unexpected parasitic capacitance is responsible for the noise peaking home made transimpedance module femto LCA-4k-1G 10 10[°] 10^{1} 10^{2} frequency (Hz) Measured noise

19/20 DECEMBER 2011 – PADOVA – LABORATORI NAZIONALI DI LEGNARO

10³



Cs = 10 pF Cd = 380 pF

Cd = 10 nF !!

$$e_{o} = I_{P}R_{1} + I_{n}R_{1} + \frac{1 + j \omega R_{1}C_{D}}{1 + j \omega R_{1}C_{S}}e_{n}$$

Possible cause: parasitic from breadboard We can try with a PCB board





Perimeter lock: PLL phase and frequency lock



Perimeter lock: some considerations on SNR / 1

• "Best case": FEMTO- pre amplifier:

let 100 uW = power of stabilized laser = Ps

1 nW = power of gyrolaser = Pg (P>1 nw \rightarrow multi mode)

power of beat = 2*sqrt(Ps*Pg) (peak value) = 600 nW peak

- After the P.D. and the pre-amplification we get 1,1 mVrms of signal
- Preamp data sheet:
 - equivalent input noise current @100 MHz = 21 pA/sqrt(Hz)
 - Standard deviation of output noise = 300 uV rms

SNR= 10 dB

SNR very close to 0 dB even in "ideal" conditions

Perimeter lock: some considerations on SNR / 2

•A significantly lower SNR can be expected:

- Many others sources of noise than the input equivalent noise current:
 - Voltage input noise
 - Transimpedance thermal noise
 - Op-amp voltage and current noise noise
 - Shot noise
- The signal is lower
 - Light losses
 - Non-ideal light coupling with the photodiode
 - Non-ideal polarization of incident beams

Perimeter lock: some considerations on SNR / 3

Measurements of SNR on "real" working conditions:

RBW of the real time spectrum analyzer = 100 Khz

- Noise floor -86 dbV (corresponding to 158 nV/sqrt(Hz), near to the data-sheet value of 100 nV/sqrt(Hz))
- Beat from 31 uW + 10 uW He-Ne beams: -36 dBV @126 MHz
- Beat from 31 uW + 10 nW He-Ne beams: -67 dBV @126 MHz
- Beat powers are scaling according to the relation $2*\sqrt{P_1 \cdot P_2}$ but 10 dB (a factors of 10 in power) is missing
- We can take into account those 10 dB considering the non ideal working conditions (for signal and noise) as considered above
 - we measured a loss due to optical coupling of 5dB
 - Beam polarization: work in progress . . .

Automated calibration for: $\beta_{cw}, \beta_{ccw}, \mu_{cw}, \mu_{ccw}$





Effects of wind speed and direction on Sagnac frequency

