Symmetry Breaking from Monopole Condensation in 3d QED

Pierluigi Niro

SISSA (Trieste)

String Theory as a Bridge between Gauge Theory and Quantum Gravity – Università La Sapienza, Roma, 19 February 2025

Based on arXiv:2410.05366 with T. Dumitrescu and R. Thorngren



Phase Diagrams and the End of the Conformal Window

> QCD₄ = 4d $SU(N_c) + N_f$ fundamental Dirac fermions



Naively: $SU(N_f) \rightarrow SU(N_f/2) \times SU(N_f/2) \times U(1)_f$ via $\langle \bar{\psi} \vec{\sigma} \psi \rangle$

Preliminaries: U(1) Maxwell Theory in 3d

$$\mathcal{L} = -rac{1}{4e^2} f^{\mu
u} f_{\mu
u} \,, \qquad f = da \,, \qquad [e^2] = 1 \,.$$

• Global $U(1)_m$ magnetic symmetry: $\star j_m = rac{f}{2\pi}$

• Operators with charge q_m are monopoles, disorder point-like operators $\mathcal{M}_{q_m}(x)$ such that $\int_{S^2(x)} f = 2\pi q_m$

Can be dualized to the theory of a compact scalar ('dual photon'):

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = -rac{{
m e}^2}{8\pi^2} (\partial_\mu \sigma) (\partial^\mu \sigma) \,, \qquad \sigma \sim \sigma + 2\pi \,.$$

- $U(1)_m$ is the shift symmetry of σ : $j_m = \frac{e^2}{(2\pi)^2} d\sigma$
- Monopole operators are $\mathcal{M}_{q_m} = \exp{(iq_m\sigma)} \Rightarrow \langle \mathcal{M}_{q_m}
 angle
 eq 0$

 $U(1)_m$ is spontaneously broken by monopole condensation

3d Coulomb phase = massless photon = S^1 sigma model

Preliminaries: Dirac Fermions in 3d

$${\cal L}=-iar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-i\gamma^\mu A_\mu+m)\psi\,,\qquad A_\mu=U(1)\,\,{
m global}\,.$$

•
$$U(1)$$
 global symmetry: $\psi
ightarrow e^{ilpha}\psi$

- Charge conjugation $\mathcal{C}\colon \psi\to\psi^*$
- Time reversal (at m=0) \mathcal{T} : $\psi
 ightarrow \gamma^0 \psi$

U(1) and \mathcal{T} have a mixed 't Hooft anomaly [Niemi, Semenoff; Redlich]

• Can be expressed as a $heta=\pi$ term via anomaly inflow

$$S_{anomaly} = rac{\pi}{8\pi^2} \int_{X_4} dA \wedge dA, \qquad \partial X_4 = \mathcal{M}_3$$

- U(1) cannot be gauged and simultaneously preserve ${\cal T}$
- Can be canceled for an even number of fermions

Preliminaries: QED_3 at Large (even) N_f

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{N_f}{4\lambda} f^{\mu\nu} f_{\mu\nu} - i \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} \bar{\psi}_i \gamma^{\mu} \left(\partial_{\mu} - i a_{\mu} \right) \psi^i , \qquad \lambda \equiv e^2 N_f .$$

Massless point is protected by \mathcal{T} (assume N_f even) Exact propagator at large N_f : $\langle a_\mu(p)a_\nu(-p)\rangle = -\frac{i\eta_{\mu\nu}}{N_f} \begin{cases} \lambda/p^2 & \text{UV}\\ 16/|p| & \text{IR} \end{cases}$

 \exists non-trivial strongly coupled CFT in the IR, amenable to a systematic $1/N_f$ expansion [Appelquist, Nash, Wijewardhana]

What is the fate of massless QED_3 in the IR?

From bootstrap analysis: strong indication that \exists CFT $\forall N_f \ge 4$ [Chester, Pufu; He, Rong, Su; Albayrak, Erramilli, Li, Poland, Xin] and that $N_f = 2$ is not a symmetry-preserving CFT [Li]

QED₃ with $N_f = 2$: Global Symmetries and Anomalies

$$U(2) = rac{SU(2)_f imes U(1)_m}{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \qquad \mathcal{C}, \qquad \mathcal{T}$$

Flavor $SU(2)_f$, Magnetic $U(1)_m$, Quotient by \mathbb{Z}_2 : $(-\mathbb{I}_2, -1)$

- Naively, $SO(3)_f = SU(2)_f / \mathbb{Z}_2$ (gauge-invariant op's = bosons)
- Non-monopole operators $(q_m = 0)$ are in reps of $SO(3)_f$, e.g. $\vec{O} = i\bar{\psi}\vec{\sigma}\psi$ is in the adjoint of $SU(2)_f$
- Monopole operators $(q_m \neq 0)$ are in reps of $SU(2)_f$, e.g. $\mathcal{M}^i(x)$ is in the fundamental of U(2) [Borokhov, Kapustin, Wu]

Mixed 't Hooft anomaly between U(2) and \mathcal{T} [Benini, Hsin, Seiberg]

$$S_{anomaly} = \pi \int_{X_4} c_2(U(2)) = \frac{\pi}{8\pi^2} \int_{X_4} [\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F} \wedge \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F} - \operatorname{tr} (\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F})]$$

There must be gapless dof's in the IR to match the anomaly

Previous Proposals

• Condensation of the fermion bilinear $\langle i\bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}\psi\rangle \neq 0$ [Pisarski] induces SSB of $SO(3)_f \rightarrow U(1)_f$ leading to an S^2 sigma model

What is the fate of $U(1)_m$? Is it broken or unbroken?

- If unbroken, anomaly for the unbroken $U(1)_f imes U(1)_m$?
- If broken, by which monopole operator? Where is its NGB?
- ② There is an IR fixed point with unbroken U(2) symmetry, possibly described by a self-dual CFT with enhanced O(4) global symmetry [Xu, You; Hsin, Seiberg]

Assume the bootstrap results and exclude this scenario \Rightarrow

It leads to consider (at least some) symmetry breaking of U(2)

Symmetry Breaking Scenario

 $\langle \mathcal{M}^i \rangle \neq 0$: Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking $U(2) \rightarrow U(1)_{\text{unbroken}}$, via the condensation of the $q_m = 1$ monopole (as Higgsing in SM)

 \Rightarrow 3 NGBs parametrizing $U(2)/U(1) = S^3$

Hopf Map: given $v^2 \equiv \langle \mathcal{M}_i^\dagger \rangle \langle \mathcal{M}^i \rangle$, construct the map $\pi: S^3 \to S^2$

$$\mathcal{M}^i
ightarrow ec{n} = rac{\mathcal{M}^\dagger ec{\sigma} \mathcal{M}}{v^2} \,, \qquad ec{n}^2 = 1$$

Given \vec{n} (triplet of $SU(2)_f$ and singlet of $U(1)_m$), one gets

$$\mathcal{M}^{i}(\vec{n},\sigma) = \mathbf{v}\,\xi^{i}(\vec{n})\mathbf{e}^{i\sigma}\,, \qquad \sigma \sim \sigma + 2\pi\,, \quad \xi^{\dagger}\vec{\sigma}\xi = \vec{n}$$

 σ parametrizes the S^1 fiber over each point of the S^2 base

$$ds^{2}(S^{3}) = \mathbb{R}^{2}d\vec{n} \cdot d\vec{n} + \frac{e_{\text{eff}}^{2}}{8\pi^{2}}(d\sigma - \alpha)^{2}, \qquad \int_{S^{2}} \frac{d\alpha}{2\pi} = 1$$

3 NGBs: $\vec{n} \in S^2$ (triplet breaking) and $\sigma \in S^1$ (dual photon)

Fermion Bilinear and Small Triplet Mass



Example: $\vec{n} = \pm \hat{z}$, preserving $U(1)_f$ $U(1)_{\pm} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (U(1)_m \pm U(1)_f)$ • N pole: $\mathcal{M}^i(\pm \hat{z}, \sigma) = v e^{i\sigma} (10)^t$ $q_+ = 1$ and $q_- = 0$ • S pole: $\mathcal{M}^i(-\hat{z}, \sigma) = v e^{i\sigma} (01)^t$ $q_+ = 0$ and $q_- = 1$ Roles of $U(1)_{\pm}$ reversed \Rightarrow fibration

- Fermion bilinear is aligned with $U(1)_f$ singled out by $\langle \mathcal{M}^i \rangle$: $\langle i\bar{\psi}\vec{\sigma}\psi \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{RG}} C\vec{n}$ (no further symmetry breaking)
- Triplet Mass (\mathcal{T} -invariant) : $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{m}} = i\vec{m} \cdot \bar{\psi}\vec{\sigma}\psi \xrightarrow{\text{RG}} C\vec{m} \cdot \vec{n} \Rightarrow \vec{n} \parallel \vec{m}$ Mass perturbation selects a single point on S^2 : at low energies we get a Coulomb phase, parametrized by the dual photon $\sigma \in S^1$

't Hooft Anomaly Matching

- C and T are unbroken (T follows from Vafa-Witten theorem)
- $\mathcal{T}/U(2)$ anomaly needs to be matched in the S^3 sigma model: it admits a conventional theta term, since $\pi_3(S^3) = \mathbb{Z}$

$$S_{ heta} = rac{ heta}{24\pi^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} \mathrm{Tr} \left(U^{-1} dU
ight)^3 \,, \qquad U \in U(2)/U(1)$$

 ${\mathcal T}$ allows only $heta=0,\pi$: $heta=\pi$ matches the anomaly

Technically, coupling to $\mathcal{A} \in U(2)$: $S_{ heta}[\mathcal{A}] = heta \int_{X_4} c_2(U(2))$

Perturbative Regime: Large Triplet Mass

Couple to $U(1)_f$ with $J^\mu_f=ar\psi\gamma^\mu\sigma_z\psi$ and $U(1)_m$ with $\star J_m=f/2\pi$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4e^2} f^{\mu\nu} f_{\mu\nu} - i\bar{\psi}_i \left[(\partial \!\!\!/ - i \not\!\!\!/ a) \delta^i_j - i \not\!\!\!/_f (\sigma_z)^i_j \right] \psi^j + \frac{1}{2\pi} da \wedge A_m$$

Fermion charges: $q_g^1 = q_g^2 = 1$, $q_f^1 = -q_f^2 = 1$, $q_m^1 = q_m^2 = 0$

- Add $\vec{m} = m \hat{z}$: $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{m}} = im \bar{\psi} \sigma_z \psi = im \left(\bar{\psi}_1 \psi^1 \bar{\psi}_2 \psi^2 \right)$
- Integrate out fermions at $|m| \gg e^2$: Coulomb phase (1 NGB)

$$\mathcal{L}_{IR} = -\frac{1}{4e_m^2} f^{\mu\nu} f_{\mu\nu} + \dots + \frac{1}{2\pi} da \wedge (A_m + \operatorname{sign}(m)A_f)$$

$$\left\{ egin{array}{l} m>0: {
m condensing} \ {\cal M}^1 \ {
m and} \ {
m unbroken} \ U(1)_- \ m<0: {
m condensing} \ {\cal M}^2 \ {
m and} \ {
m unbroken} \ U(1)_+ \end{array}
ight.$$

(same result as $|m| \ll e^2$ in our symmetry-breaking scenario)

Non-Perturbative Bound on Electrical Matter

- CS levels are quantized and cannot vary smoothly at any order in perturbation theory [Coleman, Hill]
- Previous conclusions persist for any $|m| \neq 0$ as long as there is no phase transition (that would make CS levels jump)
- Electrically charged matter would need to become massless to trigger the jump in the levels (or Higgs the gauge group), but
- Non-perturbative bound on electrically charged matter

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_q^\dagger(y) \, e^{iq \int_x^y a} \, \mathcal{O}_q(x)
angle \leq e^{-|q||m||x-y|} \qquad orall |m|
eq 0$$

for any fundamental/composite operator \mathcal{O} of gauge charge q

No elementary/bound states become massless! (Coulomb repulsion) The behavior found for $|m| \gg e^2$ persists smoothly to all $|m| \neq 0$

Non-Perturbative Constraints on Symmetry Breaking

- The Vafa-Witten theorem imposes constraints on the allowed patterns of symmetry breaking in time-reversal invariant theories [Vafa, Witten]
- E.g. applied to massless QCD_4 (at $\theta = 0$) it states that time reversal and $SU(N_f)_V \times U(1)_V \subset SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R \times U(1)_V$ cannot be spontaneously broken
- Subtlety for massless QED₃: naively, U(1)_f ⊂ SU(2)_f is unbroken, but there is a mixing between U(1)_f and U(1)_m

Applying Vafa-Witten arguments to QED₃:

- Time-reversal ${\mathcal T}$ is unbroken
- 2 If no monopole operator condenses, $U(1)_f \times U(1)_m$ is unbroken
- ③ If a (q_m, q_f) monopole operator condenses, the linear combination $q_m U(1)_f q_f U(1)_m$ is unbroken

Extrapolating to $\vec{m} = 0$

 $\forall \vec{m} \neq 0$: SSB of the residual symmetry $U(1)_f \times U(1)_m \rightarrow U(1)_{unbroken}$ via monopole condensation of $\mathcal{M}^i = v \, \xi^i(\vec{m}) e^{i\sigma}$, with $\xi^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma} \xi = \vec{m} / |\vec{m}|$ and the low-energy theory is a 3d Coulomb phase (1 NGB)

What happens when $\vec{m} = 0$?

- Gapped Phase X Incompatible w/ anomaly matching and Coulomb phase
- Gapless CFT with (at least) U(2) Symmetry ✓ Implausible (bootstrap results), but realized if 2 > N^{*}_f
- U(2) → U(1)_f × U(1)_m ⇒ S² sigma model × Incompatible w/ anomaly matching: it needs S² × T Incompatible w/ Coulomb phase (small |m| would lead to T)
- $U(2) \rightarrow U(1)_{\text{unbroken}} \Rightarrow S^3$ sigma model \checkmark Our proposed scenario (see also [Chester, Komargodski]), compatible w/ anomaly matching ($\theta = \pi$) and Coulomb phase

Phase Diagram with Singlet Mass for $N_f = 2$

[Chester, Komargodski] also proposed S^3 sigma-model, motivated by adding the \mathcal{T} -odd mass operator to the Lagrangian as $\mathcal{L}_M = iM\bar{\psi}_i\psi^i$

- Integrating out fermions for $|M| \gg e^2$, one gets $U(1)_{sign(M)}$ pure Chern-Simons theory, which has a single trivially gapped vacuum
- Agreement between anomalous dimensions in the O(4) model (from bootstrap) and in large N_f QED₃ extrapolated to N_f = 2: φ^q ~ M_q
- Massless QED_3 cannot flow to standard O(4) model due to anomaly
- Proposal: there are two special points $M \sim \pm e^2$ (related by time reversal), where the theory flows to the O(4) model
- Matching of phases requires S^3 sigma-model at M = 0, equipped with a theta term with $\theta = \pi$ for anomaly matching

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Trivially \ Gapped} & O(4) \xrightarrow{SSB} O(3) & \mathbf{Trivially \ Gapped} \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ O(4) & S^3 \ \text{sigma-model} & O(4) \\ \theta = 0 & \theta = \pi & \theta = 2\pi \end{array} M$$

Outlook

- Symmetry breaking in 3d QED is driven by monopoles, which carry both magnetic and flavor quantum numbers, and not only by fermion bilinears (as in 4d QCD)
- Using Vafa-Witten theorems and 't Hooft anomaly matching, we can uniquely determine the scenario realized for $N_f < N_f^*$ to be SSB
- One of the NGBs is (for any even N_f) the dual photon. Generic SSB pattern is $U(N_f) = SU(N_f) \times U(1)_m \longrightarrow SU(N_f/2) \times SU(N_f/2) \times U(1)_{unbroken} = SU(N_f/2) \times U(N_f/2)$, s.t. $U(1)_f$ and $U(1)_m$ mix in a broken and unbroken combination
- ? How to analytically estimate N_f^* ? Or at least support the symmetry-breaking scenario for $N_f = 2$?
- ? What can be said for odd N_f or in presence of CS terms (no time reversal symmetry), or for non-Abelian theories?
- ? Applications to phases of matter and lattice systems