







### The attenuation of vertical seismic vibrations

**Piero Chessa** Università di Perugia



Finstein Telescone

piero.chessa@unipg.it Dipartimento di Fisica e Geologia, Università di Perugia & INFN-PG UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI Via Alessandro Pascoli 06123 Perugia (PG), Italy





Finstein Telescone

Finanziato dall'Unione europea







#### Seism never sleeps













#### Vibrations must be rejected

Virgo Horizontal Displacement ASD



In Virgo, seismic displacements between  $10^{-10}$  and  $10^{-8}$  m/ $\sqrt{Hz}$  are recorded on ground at 20 Hz.



Without any filtering, this would mask strains of the **3** km arm like  $h > \frac{10^{-10} \frac{\text{m}}{\sqrt{\text{Hz}}}}{3 \cdot 10^3 \text{m}} = 3 \cdot 10^{-14} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Hz}}}$ .

Horizontal vibrations act

- on the length of the optical paths;
- on the centering and alignment of the mirrors.

Vertical vibrations act

- on the centering and alignment of the mirrors;
- (slightly) on the length of the optical paths.
   This comes from the convergence of the vertical directions at a km-scale distance.















### The Superattenuator solution

Each cavity mirror is currently suspended in Advanced Virgo from a Superattenuator (SA).

The AdVirgo SA is a **passive** mechanical filter whose main components are

- an Inverted Pendulun (IP), made of three identical legs and a top ring;
- a top vertical oscillator called **Filter 0**;
- a chain of four **Standard Filters**, suspended to each other;
- a special final filter (Filter 7), suspended from the previous and connected to the Payload.

The Payload components are

- a stiff reference cage rigidly connected to Filter 7;
- a Marionette, suspended from Filter 7 and controlled from the cage;
- the Mirror, suspended from the Marionette and controlled from the cage.

See Valerio Boschi – SUSP Training session, https://tds.virgo-gw.eu/?r=16165









# The Superattenuator solution

Not so passive, indeed

See Valerio Boschi – SUSP Training session, https://tds.virgo-gw.eu/?r=16165

#### Introduction Control system setup













#### **Standard Filter**



The AdV Superattenuator has four suspended Standard Filters

Each Standard Filter is a physical pendulum with several degrees of freedom.

Each DOF oscillates with its own frequency.



Oscillations A, B, C spontaneously occur depending on gravity, inertia of the massive body, wire properties.

Motion D require a **dedicated vertical oscillator**.











#### **Built-in vertical oscillator** Bottom view/ Top view Test load Magnetic Blades antisprings (i.e, springs)



#### Vertical oscillators are set in **Filter 0, Standard Filters, Filter 7**





 $k = k_0 \cdot (1 + i \phi)$ 







### A toy model of the oscillating filter

A mechanical filter can be understood in terms of **oscillators**. Let's create a toy filter using an elastic oscillator as the building brick.

A metal spring is actuated on the left side by a piston whose position is  $x_0(t)$ . At the right end of the spring a block can move over the spring axis.

The spring has a loss angle  $\phi$ . This accounts for anelastic relaxations in the spring material.

$$m \ddot{x} = -k \cdot (x - x_0)$$

$$-m\omega^2 \tilde{x} = -k \cdot (\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}_0)$$

$$(k-m\omega^2)\tilde{x} = k\,\tilde{x}_0$$

$$\tilde{x} = -\frac{\omega_0^2 \cdot (1+i\,\phi)}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2 \cdot (1+i\,\phi)} \tilde{x}_0 \qquad f_T(\omega) = -\frac{\omega_0^2 \cdot (1+i\,\phi)}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2 \cdot (1+i\,\phi)}$$

Einstein Telescope



 $\omega / \omega_0$ 







### A toy model of the oscillating filter

 $f_T(\omega) = \frac{-\omega_0^2 \cdot (1+i\phi)}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2 \cdot (1+i\phi)}$ Transfer function  $|f_T(\omega_0)| = \left|\frac{1+i\phi}{i\phi}\right| \cong \phi^{-1}$ Peak value  $k = k_0 \cdot (1 + i \phi)$  $Q \cong \phi^{-1}$ Let's work the **curve width** out:  $|f_T(\omega_{1/2})| = \frac{\phi^{-1}}{2}$  (half of the peak value)  $\Rightarrow \left| \frac{\omega_{1/2}^2}{\omega_0^2 \cdot (1+i\phi)} - 1 \right| = 2\phi \quad \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\omega_{1/2}^2}{\omega_0^2} (1-i\phi) - 1 \right| = 2\phi$  $\phi = 0.01$  $\Rightarrow \text{ with } \omega_{1/2} = \omega_0 \cdot (1+\delta), \qquad \left| \frac{\omega_0^2(1+2\delta)}{\omega_0^2} (1-i\phi) - 1 \right| = 2\phi$  $\Rightarrow 2\delta = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\phi \qquad \Rightarrow FWHM = 0.866 \phi\omega_0$  $\Rightarrow |2\delta - i\phi| = 2\phi$ 10<sup>-1</sup> 10<sup>0</sup>  $10^{1}$ 



 $10^{2}$ 

10<sup>1</sup>

10<sup>-1</sup>

10<sup>-2</sup>

| × / × |









# A toy model of the oscillating filter

Let's complicate it a bit. Two identical oscillators are connected in cascade.

$$\begin{cases} -m\omega^2 \tilde{x}_1 = -k \cdot (\tilde{x}_1 - \tilde{x}_0) + k \cdot (\tilde{x}_2 - \tilde{x}_1) \\ -m\omega^2 \tilde{x}_2 = -k \cdot (\tilde{x}_2 - \tilde{x}_1) \end{cases}$$

 $k = k_0 \cdot (1 + i \phi)$ 

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} (2k - m\omega^2)\tilde{x}_1 - k\tilde{x}_2 = k\tilde{x}_0 \\ -k\tilde{x}_1 + (k - m\omega^2)\tilde{x}_2 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{m\omega^2}{k} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow M \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } M = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{m\omega^2}{k} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$











# A toy model of the oscillating filter

Even more complicated. Many identical oscillators connected to each other.

$$\begin{aligned} & (-m\omega^2 \tilde{x}_1 = -k \cdot (\tilde{x}_1 - \tilde{x}_0) + k \cdot (\tilde{x}_2 - \tilde{x}_1) \\ & -m\omega^2 \tilde{x}_2 = -k \cdot (\tilde{x}_2 - \tilde{x}_1) + k \cdot (\tilde{x}_3 - \tilde{x}_2) \\ & \dots \\ & -m\omega^2 \tilde{x}_n = -k \cdot (\tilde{x}_n - \tilde{x}_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow M = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & -1 & \ddots & -1 & \\ & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & & & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{m\omega^2}{k} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2-\lambda & -1 & & 0 \\ -1 & 2-\lambda & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & 2-\lambda & -1 \\ 0 & & & -1 & 1-\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } \lambda = \frac{m\omega^2}{k}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{x}_n \end{pmatrix} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad (M^{-1})_{n,1} = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\operatorname{Det}(M_{1,n})}{\operatorname{Det}(M)} = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{\operatorname{Det}(M)} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Det}(M)} \qquad \Rightarrow \int f_T(\omega) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Det}(M)}$$











# A toy model of the oscillating filter

The transfer function from **input displacement**  $x_0$  to **output displacement**  $x_n$ :

$$f_T(\omega) = \frac{1}{\det \begin{pmatrix} 2-\lambda & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2-\lambda & -1 & 0 \\ & -1 & \ddots & -1 & \\ & & -1 & 2-\lambda & -1 \\ 0 & & & -1 & 1-\lambda \end{pmatrix}}, \text{ with } \lambda = \frac{m\omega^2}{k}$$

$$f_{T}(\omega) = \frac{1}{(-1)^{n}\lambda^{n} + c_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + c_{0}} = \frac{1}{(-1)^{n}(\lambda - \lambda_{1})(\lambda - \lambda_{2})\dots(\lambda - \lambda_{n})}$$

$$f_{T}(\omega) = \frac{[-\omega_{0}^{2}(1 + i\phi)]^{n}}{[\omega^{2} - \lambda_{1}\omega_{0}^{2}(1 + i\phi)] [\omega^{2} - \lambda_{2}\omega_{0}^{2}(1 + i\phi)] \dots [\omega^{2} - \lambda_{n}\omega_{0}^{2}(1 + i\phi)]}$$

$$f_{T}(\omega) = [-(1 + i\phi)]^{n} \left(\frac{\omega_{0}}{\omega}\right)^{2n} \qquad \text{(Low pass filter)}$$











# A toy model of the oscillating filter

 $k \;=\; k_0 \cdot (1+i\,\phi)$ 

 $f_0 = 0.5 \text{ Hz}$  $\phi = 0.01$ Growing n

Low n start filtering at lower frequencies. High n have better rejections. Above  $2f_0$  all configurations filter anyway.













#### Elastic blades



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\oplus$ 



354



SA blades are **curved at rest** and designed to be flattened by a load suspended from their tip.

They are made in **maraging steel** (maraging 250), a low carbon Fe alloy Ni (18%), Co (8%), Mo (5%), Ti (0.5%), C (≤0.03%) + Fe

Relevant parameters  $E = 187 \text{ GPa} (\text{at } 20^{\circ}\text{C})$  UTS = 1.85 GPa $\phi = 3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ 

S.Braccini et al 2000 Meas. Sci. Technol. 11 467



160



W.(1).







G.Cella, Personal communication - Write me for a copy

### Blade mechanics

$$U = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} E \frac{w(l)h^{3}}{12} \left(\frac{d\theta}{dl} - \frac{d\theta_{0}}{dl}\right)^{2} dl + F \int_{0}^{L} \sin \theta \, dl \quad \text{valid for a very thin blade with any cut profile } w(l) and any rest bending  $\theta_{0}(l)$   
By minimizing this energy with respect to the possible profiles  $\theta(l)$  we get a condition on the rest bending  $\theta_{0}(l)$   
 $\frac{d\theta_{0}}{dl} = \frac{12\bar{F}}{Eh^{3}} \frac{(L-l)}{w(l)}$   
We discover that a triangular profile  $w(l) = w_{0} \frac{(L-l)}{L}$  have a constant  $\frac{d\theta_{0}}{dl}$ .  
This means an arc of circle profile with radius  
 $R_{0} = E \frac{w_{0}h^{3}}{12L\bar{F}}$   
 $\Delta y = R_{0} \left(1 - \cos \frac{L}{R_{0}}\right) \cong R_{0} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{L}{R_{0}}\right)^{2} = \frac{L^{2}}{2R_{0}}$   
 $k = \bar{F}/\Delta y \implies k = E \frac{w_{0}h^{3}}{12LR_{0}} \frac{2R_{0}}{L^{2}}$   
 $k = E \frac{w_{0}h^{3}}{6L^{3}}$$$



retrieved from









#### Internal modes













### **Triangular blade summary** $R_0 = E \frac{w_0 \overline{h^3}}{12 \, L \, \overline{F}}$

Preset curvature radius for a load  $\overline{F}$ 

Single blade spring constant

Single blade resonant frequency (1<sup>st</sup>)

Surface stress of the flattened blade

Blades work **in symmetric couples** of identical specimens.

Couples can differ from each other.

If the **total load** of the filter is the **sum of the individual flattening loads** all blades work flat.

 $k = E \frac{w_0 h^3}{6L^3}$ 

 $f_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{k}{M}}$ 

Eh

 $2R_0$ 













### **Magnetic Anti-Springs**





Two forces are exerted on the central column:



 $F_{tot} = -(k_{el} + k_{as}) y + O_3(y)$ stable as long as it is  $k_{el} + k_{as} > 0$ 











#### Sum of *k*s

Current configuration		Filter 0	Filter 1	Filter 2	Filter 3	Filter 4	Filter 7	Some figures in
М	Suspended mass [kg]	1057	884	719	579	461	146	Virgo
k <sub>el</sub>	Elastic stiffness [N/m]	93863	78496	63840	51404	√10 <sup>5</sup>	Anti COMPUTED VALUES (Eit	spring Force OF TAYLOR'S COEFFICIENTS Padius 6 mm)
k <sub>as</sub>	Antispring stiffness [N/m]	- 90108	- 75356	- 61286	- 49348	-0.5		
<b>a</b> <sub>as</sub>	Nonlinearity [10 <sup>8</sup> N/m <sup>3</sup> ]	2.6	2.3	1.8	1.4	<u>د</u>	F0, current	
d	Antispring tuning [mm]	10.5	8.5	10.5	12	kas [V		
For <b>Filter 0</b> , $k = (93863 - 90108) \frac{N}{m} = 3755 \frac{N}{m}$						-1.5 -2 5	10	$F = -(k_{as} \cdot y + a_{as} \cdot y^{3})$ $(mm)$ $k_{as}(d)$ $k_{as}(d)$
With	$f_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ nout MAS $f_{el} =$	$\frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\frac{3755\frac{N}{m}}{1057 \text{ kg}}}{\frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{\frac{93863\frac{N}{m}}{1057 \text{ kg}}}}} =$	0.3 Hz = 1.5 Hz	Ļ	Filter 0 Magnetic Anti-Spring	° 6 4 2 0 5	F0, current	15 20
					simulation	Ū		d [mm]











### The Geometric Anti-Spring (i.e. a tunable blade)



G.Cella et al. 2005 Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A **540** 502 M.R. Blom et al. 2015 Physics Procedia **61** 641 (non-exhaustive list!)

Energy of a compressed blade  $U = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L E \frac{w(l)h^3}{12} \left(\frac{d\theta}{dl}\right)^2 dl - F_y \int_0^L \sin\theta \, dl - F_x \int_0^L \cos\theta \, dl$ 

Let's compare this to slide 15 (*Blade mechanics*) Similarities:

- Metal blade
- Constrained base angle
- Vertical load
- Constant and small thickness

Differences:

- No pre-curvature
- Different base angle ( $\theta_0 > 0$  instead of  $\theta_0 = 0$ )
- Constrained tip angle ( $\theta_L < 0$ )
- Horizontal compression (Constrained length)













#### The Geometric Anti-Spring



Intuitive model (not for real life design)

- (a) A vertical spring is in equilibrium with the weight of a load. Two horizontal **counteracting compressed springs** are in an **unstable equilibrium**.
- (b) When the load leaves the equilibrium position, the vertical spring exerts a recall, while the compressed springs expel the load.



#### Less intuitive (and still insufficient) model

Note: if **the repelling modulus exceeds the recalling one**, the compressed springs win and expand themselves until a stable equilibrium point. The system is **bistable**.











#### GAS how-to



#### Computed general solution for a preset blade shape (TAMA)



#### **Dimensionless variables**

$$G_y = 12 \frac{L^2}{Ew_0 h^3} F_y$$
 (load)  
 $x = X/L$  (horizontal constrain)

#### Legend

Curves in full lines belong to stable states (x > 0.9026), dashed to bistable (x < 0.9026)

Stable states have minimum frequency for  $G_y \cong 1.69$ .





nstein Telescone

Finanziato dall'Unione europea NextGenerationEU







#### Some (numerical) outcomes

Simulated GAS TAMA shape L = 354 mm $w_0 = 110 \text{ mm}$ h = 2.74 mmE = 187 GPaUTS = 1.85 GPaM = 48.4 kgX = 0.9043 L







That's all from my side Let's go filter now

#### Same blade without GAS constrains

 $\Rightarrow f = 1.20 \text{ Hz}$ 











#### Problem #1

Set up the maraging 250 blades of a Virgo-like lowest filter.

L = 354 mm $w_0 = 110 \text{ mm}$ h = 3.5 mm6 blades

M = 290 kg



#### Find

- correct rest **curvature** *R*<sub>0</sub>,
- **frequency** (assume blade mass << *M*),
- stress.

Compare stress with **UTS**.

Look around for necessary equations and data!











### Problem #2

Choose between two possible blade bases  $w_0$  and set thickness h to get the same f as in Problem #1. Which base is the best solution?



#### Target

- find correct **curvature R**<sub>0</sub> for both bases,
- find correct **thickness** *h* for both bases,
- find stress for both bases,
- choose the **best solution**.











### Problem #3

Add ferrite Magnetic Anti-Springs to the same Vigo filter as in Problems #1 and 2. Tune the MAS to the design frequency f, by choosing the correct distance d.

M = 290 kg

 $f_{in} = 1.5 \text{ Hz} * \text{(before MAS)}$ \* Filter frequency is usually higher than the pure blade frequency

f = 0.50 Hz

Negative stiffness of the installed MAS at some different temperatures





Find

• the correct **distance** *d*.

Estimate the **frequency variation** with 5°C temperature increase.











#### Problem #4

Let's switch to Geometric Anti-Springs.

Provide the same filter of the previous problems with GAS vertical oscillators instead of blades+MAS. Tune the filter to the design frequency f.











#### Solutions

Problem #1	$R_0 = 0.438 \text{ m}, f = 1.318 \text{ Hz}, s = 0.404 \text{ UTS}$
Problem #2	$R_0 = 0.438 \text{ m both},$ 110 mm base: same $h$ and $s$ as in Problem #1 55 mm base: $h = 4.4 \text{ mm}, s = 0.508 \text{ UTS}$ (discarded!)
Problem #3	$d = 11.1 \text{ mm}, \Delta f = +0.04 \text{ Hz}$
Problem #4	h = 2.74  mm, x = 0.906

