

Neutrino Quantum Decoherence with KM3NeT/ARCA

Nadja Lessing

on behalf of the KM3NeT Collaboration MAYORANA school 2025

Nadja.Lessing@ific.uv.es









(M3Ne









Neutrino Quantum Decoherence

- Beyond Standard Model effect predicted by quantum gravity.
- **Decoherence**: loss of coherence of the neutrino mass eigenstates due to the coupling of the neutrino quantum system to a larger environment.
- The time evolution of the neutrino density matrix $\rho(t)$ is:

$$ho \propto e^{-\left(\Gamma + irac{\Delta m_{ij}^2}{2E}
ight)t}$$

Neutrino Quantum Decoherence

- Beyond Standard Model effect predicted by quantum gravity.
- **Decoherence**: loss of coherence of the neutrino mass eigenstates due to the coupling of the neutrino quantum system to a larger environment.
- The time evolution of the neutrino density matrix $\rho(t)$ is:

$$ho \propto e^{-\left(\Gamma + i rac{\Delta m_{ij}^2}{2E}
ight)t}$$
Decoherence
parameter
Standard
Oscillations

• **Decoherence** leads to a damping of the oscillation amplitude.



How can we probe this beyond Standard Model effect with KM3NeT?

KM3NeT detectors

Water Cherenkov detectors in the Mediterranean Sea





The amount of expected muon neutrinos is different between the standard oscillations and decoherence hypothesis.

1. Simulate the expected initial flux (atmospheric + astrophysical).

- 1. Simulate the expected initial flux (atmospheric + astrophysical).
- 2. Propagate the flux with *standard oscillations* (H0) and with *decoherence* (H1) -> obtain flux at detector site.

- 1. Simulate the expected initial flux (atmospheric + astrophysical).
- 2. Propagate the flux with *standard oscillations* (H0) and with *decoherence* (H1) -> obtain flux at detector site.
- 3. Reconstruct events (tracks and showers).

- 1. Simulate the expected initial flux (atmospheric + astrophysical).
- 2. Propagate the flux with *standard oscillations* (H0) and with *decoherence* (H1) -> obtain flux at detector site.
- 3. Reconstruct events (tracks and showers).
- 4. Remove atmospheric muon events using machine learning techniques.

- 1. Simulate the expected initial flux (atmospheric + astrophysical).
- 2. Propagate the flux with *standard oscillations* (H0) and with *decoherence* (H1) -> obtain flux at detector site.
- 3. Reconstruct events (tracks and showers).
- 4. Remove atmospheric muon events using machine learning techniques.
- 5. Characterize effect of decoherence on reconstructed quantities.

- 1. Simulate the expected initial flux (atmospheric + astrophysical).
- 2. Propagate the flux with *standard oscillations* (H0) and with *decoherence* (H1) -> obtain flux at detector site.
- 3. Reconstruct events (tracks and showers).
- 4. Remove atmospheric muon events using machine learning techniques.
- 5. Characterize effect of decoherence on reconstructed quantities.
- 6. Sensitivities: compute the ratio of probabilities between H0 and H1.

- 1. Simulate the expected initial flux (atmospheric + astrophysical).
- 2. Propagate the flux with *standard oscillations* (H0) and with *decoherence* (H1) -> obtain flux at detector site.
- 3. Reconstruct events (tracks and showers).
- 4. Remove atmospheric muon events using machine learning techniques.
- 5. Characterize effect of decoherence on reconstructed quantities.
- 6. Sensitivities: compute the ratio of probabilities between H0 and H1.

Apply the analysis chain to data!

- 1. Simulate the expected initial flux (atmospheric + astrophysical).
- 2. Propagate the flux with *standard oscillations* (H0) and with *decoherence* (H1) -> obtain flux at detector site.
- 3. Reconstruct events (tracks and showers).
- 4. Remove atmospheric muon events using machine learning techniques.
- 5.) Characterize effect of decoherence on reconstructed quantities.
- 6. Sensitivities: compute the ratio of probabilities between H0 and H1.

Apply the analysis chain to data!

Difference between standard oscillations and decoherence:



Difference in muon neutrino flux (**true** zenith angle, true energy). Theory!

Difference in muon neutrino event rate (**reconstructed** zenith angle and energy) With detector effects and background!

Conclusions

- This is how (most) beyond Standard Model searches in neutrino oscillations are performed in KM3NeT (ORCA).
- This work is the first analysis of this type with KM3NeT/ARCA.
 -> sensitivities to be published soon.
- For ORCA, results were already obtained : The KM3NeT Collaboration, Search for quantum decoherence in neutrino oscillations with six detection units of KM3NeT/ORCA, JCAP <u>10.1088/1475-7516/2025/03/039</u>
 - -> Upper limits on the decoherence parameter Γ .

Thank you for your attention!







Theory of decoherence

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho(t) = -i[H,\rho(t)] - \mathcal{D}[\rho(t)],$$

$$\mathcal{D}[\rho(t)] = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N^2 - 1} \left(\left[V_k, \rho(t) V_k^{\dagger} \right] + \left[V_k \rho(t), V_k^{\dagger} \right] \right)$$

$$\mathcal{D}[\rho(t)] = (D_{\mu\nu}\rho^{\nu})\lambda^{\mu}$$

$$D_{\text{phase perturbation}} = \text{diag}(0, \Gamma, \Gamma, 0, \Gamma, \Gamma, \Gamma, \Gamma, 0)$$

Thomas Stuttard and Mikkel Jensen, *Neutrino decoherence from quantum gravitational stochastic perturbations* 10.1103/PhysRevD.102.115003

$$\Gamma(E_{\nu}) = \Gamma_0 \left(\frac{E_{\nu}}{E_0}\right)^n$$

Final sample



$$\nu_e + \bar{\nu}_e \operatorname{CC}, \bar{\nu}_e \operatorname{GL}$$

$$\nu_\mu + \bar{\nu}_\mu \operatorname{CC}$$

$$\nu_\tau + \bar{\nu}_\tau \operatorname{CC}$$

$$\nu_\chi + \bar{\nu}_\chi \operatorname{NC}$$
Atm. μ

Before Boosted Decision Tree (BDT): ~ 800000 atm. muons/year

After cut BDT score: ~ 5 atm. muons/year

Boosted Decision Tree training sample



Boosted decision tree variables (example)



Boosted decision tree performance



Inside the Earth: attenuation and tau-regeneration

