





Università degli Studi di Ferrara

Crystals for Muon Collider beams

M. Romagnoni rmgmrc@unife.it



Co-funded by the European Union (EU). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author only and do not necessarily reflect those of the EU or European Research Executive Agency (REA). Neither the EU nor the REA can be held responsible for them.



Outline

- Coherent phenomena in crystals
- Crystal-assisted beam manipulation in accelerators
 - Beam halo collimation
 - Beam halo extraction
 - Beam focusing
 - Beam shadowing
- Possible application in muon colliders
 - Proton LINAC (5GeV-10GeV)
 - Muon before acceleration (339MeV/c)
 - BIB (low energy particles)
 - Primary beam (high energy particles)

Wide energy range, experiments from MeV to TeV

Only suggestions: prompt for discussion with beam experts!!



Coherent effects in crystals

• E.m. processes: occuring for charged particles or high-energy photons

PROS

- High steering power up to hundreds of Tesla dipole
- Low material budget (<0.1X₀)
 - Zero power consumption
 - Selective interaction with discrete section of beam/ halo

CONS

- Require precise alignment between particles and crystal
- Parameters scale typically with E or E^{1/2}
 - For some effects lower efficiency in negative charged particles



Coherent effects in crystals

- Bent crystal:
 - Channeling: crystals acts as a "waveguide" for particles impacting within critical angle.
 - Volume reflection: particles are "reflected" by critical angle
- Dynamical effects in short crystals:
 - Beam mirroring
 - Rainbow scattering







- Beam halo collimation (tested with protons and ions at LHC)
- Beam halo extraction (employed years at U70 accelerator)
- Beam focusing: both long (meters) and short (cm) focusing length
- Beam shadowing: currently developing crystal to assist Mu2e proton beam extraction



Applications at LINAC

- 5GeV (or 10 GeV) protons are well suited for planar channeling
- Bent crystal can assist collimation of beam
- Beam shadowing of delicate portion of insertion devices to reduce beam losses





End of cooling

- Particle energy 339MeV
- Thin crystals (15-30µm thickness) to redirect halo particles into accelerator stage
 - Planar channeling
 - Axial channeling
 - Volume reflection
- Mirroring with shorter crystals (≈1µm)





Primary beam manipulation

- Collimation of beam (like LHC)
- Beam extraction
- Beam shadowing (i.e. in assistance of electrostatic beam scraping)
- Beam focusing







Crystal application with BIB

- Low energy and large energy spread are detrimental to crystals applications (also low efficiency for diffraction of photons and neutrons)
- 2 MeV mirroring with very thin crystals (100 nm) was already performed experimentally, but strict energy spread condition
- For incoherent e+/e- pairs, (higher energy) channeling in bent crystal might be of assistance (see slide 7)





Conclusions

- Different kind of coherent effect in crystals can be optimized
- Each application strongly depends on beam parameters and requirements in each portion of the muon collider machine



• Strong inputs are well accepted to design suitable crystal-assisted schemes

Thank you



Co-funded by the European Union (EU). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the EU or European Research Executive Agency (REA). Neither the EU nor the REA can be held responsible for them.

RD_MUCOL - Italia - Riunione di collaborazione @ TORINO



Backup slides



Co-funded by the European Union (EU). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author only and do not necessarily reflect those of the EU or European Research Executive Agency (REA). Neither the EU nor the REA can be held responsible for them.



Crystal-assisted beam collimation

- Unavoidably particles diverge from desired trajectory and form a halo around beam
- Traditional approach stops the halo with a series of amorphous absorber
- Cons: development of showers in collimators





- Channeled particles in bent crystal while being steered avoid strong scattering: shower development strongly suppressed
- High efficiency in removing halo from beam in controlled manner

13



Crystal-assisted beam manipulation

Past uses:

- U70 proton beam extraction
- Spin precession measure of Σ+ baryons at FERMILab

Present and Past Proposal

- UA9: SPS collimation, septum shadowing, extraction
- CRYSBEAM: LHC beam extraction
- SELDOM: charmed baryons spin precession
- SHERPA: positron slow extraction at LNF
- DESY electron baem extraction

Current R&D

• LHC ion beam collimation



"INFN KE4350/EN/HL-LHC" between INFN and CERN was signed: 4 *crystals collimators* have been already provided to CERN **by INFN Ferrara**



Negative particle steering

- Planar channeling is significantly less efficient for negative particles, as incoherent scattering with atomic nuclei is enhanced instead of being suppressed.
- Axial Stochastic Deflection was first proposed by A.A. Greenenko and N.F. Shul'ga in 1991
- Experimentally observed by H8-RD22/UA9 collaboration at CERN in 2008 for protons and in 2009 for π⁻ mesons
- The mechanism involve over-barrier motion: particles scattering converge stochastically along the axis direction. Efficient for both positive and negative particles





Axial Stochastic Deflection and Planar channeling

- Negative particles in planar channeling exploit weaker potential and more incoherent nuclear scattering wrt positive case
- Stochastic axial deflection has the potential to steer efficiently both negative and positive particles
- Maximum deflection angle scale with different power law: E^{-0.075} (planar) vs E^{-0.25} (axial)





Comparison of planar and axial stochastic deflection at 1 TeV

- A large simulation campaign has been carried out with both muon and anti-muon of 1 TeV/c momentum
- Optimal radius of curvature has been obtained for deflection angles from 2 µrad up to 200 µrad
- Cases for 200µrad, 50µrad are presented as example in this work









MInternational UON Collider Collaboration











1mm<Optimal crystal length <2mm, possible increase with multi-turn efficiency



22



µ- planar channeling efficiency 5TeV

2mm<Optimal crystal length <5mm, possible increase with multi-turn efficiency





Conclusion

- Comparison of axial stochastic deflection and planar channeling as steering mechanism for TeV muon was carried out. Planar channeling was more efficient option as we reach multi-TeV scale
- Optimal single pass efficiency condition was obtained for 1.5 TeV and 5 TeV negative muon case.
- Future study of volume reflection phenomena such as Multiple Volume Reflection in One Crystal and Multiple Volume reflection in a series of aligned bent crystals.



Multiple Volume Reflection

Normalized Coun

- Volume reflection deflects small angle, but a series of crystals can add up steering with high efficiency
- This can provide deflection comparable with channeling, with good efficiency for both negative and positive particles
- Or near axial alignemtn, multiple volume reflections can occur in a single crystal (MVROC)

