Update 12/11/2024

FCC Naples

Work of:
Lucrezia Borriello (Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Napoli)

Study of sum of all waveforms for BSO angle 0° and 180°





Sum of all waveforms for BSO angle 0° and 180°







Sum of all waveforms for E





Sum of all waveforms for BSO angle 0° and 180°







in green we have the difference between histogram of the sum of total wf at 180° - the histogram of the sum of total wf at 0°

Sum of all waveforms for PWO angle 0° and 180°







Sum of all waveforms for PWO a







Sum of all waveforms for PWO angle 0° and 180°







in green we have the difference between histogram of the sum of total wf at 180° - the histogram of the sum of total wf at 0°

Backup Slides

First Fit Test for BSO e- template on single wf

In this case this template does not fit the initial peak of wf well.
Therefore, I am working on improving the template to fit the single wf better, so that it also fits the initial peak



First Fit Test for PWO e- template on single wf

Again, the template does not fit the initial peak of the wf well.



Summary SiPM 6x6 Calibration

SiPM	Gain	**Gain amplitude conversion**	Method	$p_0 + error$	$p_1 + error$ [mV/n_{pe}]	$\tau(ns)$	conversion factor charge $\tau(1 - 0{,}0497)$
6x6	28	25,12	A	0,4±0,1	3,46±0,02	132,26	125,7
6x6	28		B	15±1	3,49±0,006		
6x6	18	7,94	A	1,31±0,06	1,161±0,005	120,72	114,7
6x6	18		B	3,0±0,4	1,156±0,003		
6x6	24	15,85	A	0,20±0,05	2,27±0,01	149,72	142,3
6x6	24		B	17,5±0,7	2,169±0,003		

Summary SiPM 3x3 Calibration

SiPM	Gain	**Gain amplitude conversion**	Method	$p_0 + error$	$p_1 + error$ [mV/n_{pe}]	$\tau + error(ns)$	conversion factor charge $\tau(1 - 0{,}0497)$
3x3	28	25,12	B	-1,4±0,4	1,236±0,002	46,9±0,2	44,61
3x3	24	15,85	B	-0,9±0,2	0,785±0,002	45,2±0,2	42,93
3x3	18	7,94	B	-0,3±0,1	0,401±0,002	46,3±0,6	44,02
3x3	Passive Preamp	-	B	0,064±0,005	0,0425±0,0009	18,3±0,3	17,41

Gain conversion factor at various temperatures

$$V_{OV}(26°C) = V_{OP}(25°C) - V_{BD}$$

$$V_{BD}(T°) = V_{BD}(25°) + 0{,}034\frac{V}{°C}\,\delta T$$ ⟹ This is in case of temperature increase from 25°C, or in case of temperature decrease ⟹ $$V_{BD}(T°) = V_{BD}(25°) - 0{,}034\frac{V}{°C}\,\delta T$$

$$G(26°C) = \alpha V_{OV}(26°C) = calculated \rightarrow \alpha = \frac{G(26°C)}{V_{OV}(26°C)}$$

$$G(23°C) = \alpha V_{OV}(23°C)$$

We then have the 26°C gain and we want to know how much is the gain at 23°C:

SiPM	$V_{OP}(V)$ tabulated 25°C	$V_{BD}(V)$ tabulated 25°C	V_{OV}(26°)	G(26°C)	α	V_{OV}(23°)	V_{BD}(23°)	G(23°C)
6x6	40,7	38	2,67	3,461	1,30	2,77	37,93	3,59
3x3	44	39	4,97	1,236	0,25	5,07	38,93	1,26

Analysis of the 264-278 BSO angular scan with e+ 10GeV

- Ch1 CAEN amp 18
- CH2 CAEN amp 18 e Filtro UG11
- CH3 LYSO
- CH4 MPC
- CH5 Plastico 1x1x1 cm3
- CH6 Trigger signal (from MIB plastic)





2D Histogram and fit for BSO e+10GeV

```
# Calcolo l'integrale delle waveforms
integral_ch1 = np.sum(np.abs(wf_channel1))
integral_ch2 = np.sum(np.abs(wf_channel2))

a=integral_ch1/(44.02*0.401)
b=integral_ch2/(114.7*1.16)
```

- If there were only scintillation the slope would always be equal depending on the angle

number of photoelectrons ch2 vs number of photoelectrons ch1 run278



number of photoelectrons ch2 vs number of photoelectrons ch1 run264



Wf for BSO e+10GeV





Wf for BSO e+10GeV





Calibration procedure

We want to calibrate the Silicon Photomultiplier (SiPM) that we used at the test beam: 2 SiPM of different sizes and using a variable-gain preamplifier that allows us to have various gains.

Procedure:

- Reproduce the SiPM - Preamplifier - Oscilloscope chain in the Naples lab
- Use a led drive that to generate light for our sipm in a controlled way
- Acquire our signal with the oscilloscope
- Calibrate the SiPM response to derive the number of incoming photons.

Two different methods have been used (to be described in the next slides):

A) Waveform integral measurement from the amplitude (Peak id)
B) Photoelectrons counting (PE Count)

Setup:

- SiPM Hamamtsu S14160-6050HS:
  -photosensitive area 6x6 mm^2
  -number of pixels= 14331

- SiPM Hamamatsu S14160-3010PS:
  - photosensitive area 3x3 mm^2
  - number of pixels= 89984

- Preamplifier CAEN serie A1423B:
  -Gain range from +18dB to +54dB
- CAEN Led Driver SP5601
- CAEN NIM HV Power supply module N1419ET
  - 4 Ch Reversible 500 V/200 µA
- Tektronix Oscilloscope MSO66B:
  - 1,5 GHz Bandwidth
  - 6 Analog channels





SiPM 6x6 at Gain 28 Calibration





SiPM 6x6 at Gain 18 Calibration





Calibrations SiPM 6x6 Gain 18 with other method and Comparison 2 methods





SiPM 6x6 at Gain 24 Calibration





Calibrations SiPM 6x6 Gain 24 with other method and Comparison 2 methods





Calibrazioni sipm 3x3 Gain 28

- Power suply 44V
- CAEN Preamplifier at gain 28

- In red= cut on waveform at 33<Time<100

- In orange= pedestal to subtract



Calibrazioni sipm 3x3 Gain 28





Comparison 2 methods





Calibrations SiPM 3x3 Gain 28 with other method



Calibrations SiPM 3x3 Gain 24 with other method



Calibrations SiPM 3x3 Gain 18 with other method



Calibrations SiPM 3x3 with passive preamp with other method