USING AMS DATA TO MODEL COSMIC RAY PROPAGATION IN THE HELIOSPHERE

Stefano Della Torre, Ph.D.

INFN Sez. Milano-Bicocca - Italy Stefano.dellatorre@mib.infn.it

AMS Italy – 2024 November 28, 2024

1

OUTLINE

- Brief introduction to Solar Modulation and to Heliosphere
- Cosmic Rays Transport in the Heliosphere
- Applications and implications

DEFINITION #1: SOLAR MODULATION

Solar Modulation is Energy dependent and is effective <30 GeV/nuc

Solar Modulation affect all Ions in a similar way

The temporal variation is anti-correlated in time with parameters inferred from solar observations...

therefore, whatever the cause of the variability, it is linked to our star.

DEFINITION #2: THE HELIOSPHERE

Simple definition: Region of space directly influenced by Sun dynamics

Plasma emitted by the Sun (solar wind) transport the magnetic field defining the shape and dimension of the heliosphere

HELIOSPHERE BOUNDARIES MOVES AS FUNCTION OF TIME

At Voyager 1 latitude:

- Moving average 2-years amplitude applied to the average

Estimated TS cross

• 89.5AU on 16 Dec 2004

At Voyager 2 latitude:

- Moving average 2-years amplitude applied to the average

Fast Wind

Solar wind expansion creates the Neutral Current Sheet that divide heliosphere in two hemisphere of opposite solar polarity

This sheet is more tilted with increasing of solar activity

Due to Solar rotation field line create na Archimedean spiral also known as "Parker Spiral"

S. Della Torre - AMS Italy 9/30/2024 8

The global shape of the heliosphere is still debated.

SHAPE OF THE GLOBAL HELIOSPHERE (1961-2022) // A ROUGH DIAMAGNETIC BUBBLE; A JETS STRUCTURE; A COMET...

CR TRANSPORT IN THE HELIOSPHERE

What cause Solar Modulation?

Modulation along horizzontal line

Energy loss e.g. Force-Field Model Convection-diffusion

Vertical reduction in intensity

Solar modulation consists of both of these processes that act simultaneously

Figure 1. Graphical representation of the description of the modulation with the force field solution (horizontal line) and the convection-diffusion solution (vertical line). The sloped line represents the actual modulation as a combination of intensity reduction and energy loss.

Parker Equation

Parker (1965) proposed a Fokker-Planck like equation to describe the passage of charged particle in interplanetary medium

DIFFUSION IN TURBULENT MAGNETIC FIELD

Motion defined by Lorentz's equation

Uniform magnetic field Nonuniform magnetic field

Motion is not well defined

DIFFUSION IN TURBULENT MAGNETIC FIELD

$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left[\tilde{K} \cdot \nabla U \right]
$$

Diffusion due to scattering Small Scale Magnetic Field irregularity

Nonuniform magnetic field

Motion is not well defined

Drift motion due to Large Scale structure of magnetic field

MAGNETIC DRIFT

$$
\hat{\kappa} = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_\parallel & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa_\perp & \kappa_A \\ 0 & -\kappa_A & \kappa_\perp \end{pmatrix}
$$

Drift motion due to Large Scale

MAGNETIC DRIFT IN THE HELIOSPHERE

Inside the heliosphere, drift motion create flown of particles from/toward the inner part according to the solar polarity & particle charge

Rev1.18Mar'99

MAGNETIC DRIFT IN THE HELIOSPHERE

This process affect differently particles with opposite charge

THE **HEL**IOSPHERE **MOD**ULATION MODEL

Is a Monte Carlo code that solves numerically the Parker equation in a 2D approximation with the *backward-intime Stocastic Differential Equation (SDE)* approach.

THE COMPUTATIONAL MODEL

Diffusion

coefficients

HelMod provide the transformation functions needed to modify the Local Interstella Spectra (i.e. outside heliosphere) into modulated spectra

LIS is a critical element in evaluating the modulated spectra

The GALPROP-HelMod join effort allow to intercalibrate the two model in a energy region without direct measurements (outside heliosphere)

S. Della Torre - AMS Italy 9/30/2024 22

S. Della Torre - AMS Italy - AMS Italy 9/30/2024 23

APPLICATIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

METHODOLO

In this work, we modify the value of K_0 parameter in the first shell (i.e. ~5 AU) emulating the effect of a local perturbation around Earth and not affecting the rest of the heliosphere

Using a scanning strategy we vary the value of K0 for each energy and day independently to fit AMS-02 daily spectra

Events from Wang et al ApJ 950:23, 2023.

We apply our study to the five most relevant FD events seen by AMS-02. **For each FD we select a time windows that influence up to 4 quiet days before and after the event**.

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Black point = AMS-02 normalized data Color band = Simulations best fit

We notice that the daily K_0

- 1. decrease following the forbush decrease
- 2. has similar values for all considered rigidities

PRELIMINARY RESUL

We found a linear correlation between K_0 **and Rigidity**. This may be due to LIS uncertainties or an incorrect rigidity dependence of diffusion tensor.

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

 \mathbf{S} . Della Torre - ECRS24 \blacksquare **and perturbed days.**
S. Della Torre - ECRS24 \blacksquare **and perturbed days.**

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Similar results are observed on others FD of the sample

perturbed days. 2024 **This Linear Correlation maintains the same slope both quiet and**

PRELIMINARY RESUL

Similar results are observed on others FD of the sample

perturbed days. **Example 1998 This Linear Correlation maintains the same slope both quiet and**

WEBSITE

We developed a website where selected results from HelMod are provided to users

Is under development a new service that allows to run "customized" HelMod Simulation on MiB GPU local Farm

HelMod-4 The Heliospheric Modulation Model
Online Calculator (version 5.1)

COSMICA AND BEYOND

We are working on the next generation of modulation code, that exploit the potential of GPUs

The objectives are

- a) Made the code to run faster and optimized on GPU (and HPC in general) *- in work*
- b) Set up and analysis environment to search for modulation parameters with state-of-art algorithm – *started with Ca' Foscari university*
- c) Review the model descriptions with state-of-art theories *planned*
- d) Make the code public for world-wide collaboration toward a common mantained Modulation model – planned

CONCLUSIONS

- Solar modulation is an interesting phenomena that involves several disciplines
- The study of Solar modulation allows to better understand the space close to Earth
- An accurate model for solar modulation is needed in order to study fine structures in actual measurement
- New model and tools are in development, so stay tuned or propose yourself for collaboration

THANKS FOR THE ATTENTION

DEFINITION #2: THE HELIOSPHERE

Simple definition: Region of space directly influenced by Sun dynamics

Image Credit: NASA

Plasma emitted by the Sun (solar wind) transport the magnetic field defining the shape and dimension of

SHAPE OF THE GLOBAL HELIOSPHERE (1961-2022) // A ROUGH DIAMAGNETIC BUBBLE; A JETS STRUCTURE; A COMET...

Conceptual illustration of modulation processes Modulation mechanisms $qA<0$ Convection Diffusion Drift direction of electrons in *qA<0 Maggiore capacità di* Perpendicular $A > 0$ cycle *penetrazione ai equatore,* diffusion *svuotamento della regione Polare* G,C & NS Drifts Shock-drift $\langle v_A \rangle_r = -\frac{A}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta K_{\theta r}),$ **Drift direction** of electrons in $\langle v_A \rangle_{\theta} = -\frac{A}{r} \left[\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \left(K_{\phi \theta} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r K_{r \theta} \right) \right],$ $A < 0$ cycle *qA>0 Maggiore capacità di* qA>0 *penetrazione ai poli, svuotamento* $\langle v_A \rangle_{\phi} = -\frac{A}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (K_{\theta \phi})$ *della regione equatoriale*

Solar Activity change the sun configuration continously

Equazione del trasporto – Parker 1965

$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (U\mathbf{V}) + \nabla \cdot \left[\vec{K} \cdot \nabla U \right] + \frac{(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V})}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\alpha_{rel} T U \right)
$$

L'equazione del trasporto fa parte delle equazioni di Fokker-**Planck**

$$
\partial_t F = -\sum_i \partial_i [A_i(\mathbf{x}, t)F] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \partial_i \partial_j \{ [\tilde{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{x}, t)]_{ij} F \}
$$

Advettivo (*Convettivo*, Diffusione
Deriva)

STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

Si definiscono SDE equazioni della tipologia:

STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

Formalismo di Itò

Drift term Diffusion term $dx(t) = a(x, t)dt + b(x, t)dW(t)$

Processo di Wiener

W (t) representing the Wiener process; a time stationary stochastic Lévy process where the time increments have a Normal distribution with a mean of zero (i.e. a Gaussian distribution) and a variance of dt ; dW (t) = W (t + dt) − W (t) ~ N (0, dt). In fact, the Wiener process can be understood as the integral of the stochastic Equation, i.e. in its differential form we have dW (t) = ζ (t)dt. See especially the introduction by Samuel Torre - AMS Italy 9/30/2024 42
Gardiner

STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

normal (Riemann or Itò-type stochastic In forma integrale
 $x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t a(x, t')dt' + \int_0^t b(x, t')dW(t')$

Lebesgue) integral

Non l'integrad. di calcolare l'integrale, ma certamente quello più facile da computare

Integrabile numericamente con uno schema Eulero -

 M aruy \mathcal{R} n \mathcal{P} $\rightarrow \Delta t$) = $x(t) + a(x, t) \Delta t + b(x, t) \Delta W(\Delta t)$ $\Delta W(\Delta t) = \sqrt{\Delta t} \cdot \Lambda(t),$

 $\Lambda(t)$ is a simulated Gaussian distributed pseudo-random number (PRN).

Il sistema evolve Pseudo-particelle

Evoluzione di un elemento della densità dello spazio delle fasi L'integrazione di una singola pseudo-particela non è significativa.

Occorre un gran numero di realizzazioni indipendenti al fine di avere una sorta di distribuzione di probabilità di trasmissione tra sorgente e osservatore (quatori *di green)* S. Americano di Simon di ^{9/30/2024} di Simon di

Le Schole Nyclot sono le la Sonde uscite dall'Eliosfera e hanno potuto

8.00 7.50

2012

2013

2014

2015

2016

2017

2018

2019

2020

2021

S. Della Torre - AMS Italy 9/30/2024 (1990) 9/30/2024 11:00 12:00

Se rivediamo il Metodo MC alla luce del nostro Caso Fisico (i.e. Trasporto dei raggi cosmici)

P. Dunzlaff et al. / Computer Physics Communications 192 (2015) 156-165

SDE n-dimensional

\n
$$
dx_{i} = a_{i}(x_{i}, s)ds + \sum_{j=1}^{j=1} b_{ij}(x_{i}, s) dW_{i}(s)
$$
\n**Backward Kolmogorov equation - Fokker Planck**

\n
$$
\frac{EQyG(t)}{\delta s} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(x_{i}, s) \frac{\partial \rho(x_{i}, s)}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{ij}(x_{i}, s) \frac{\partial^{2} \rho(x_{i}, s)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}
$$

Forward Kolmogorov equation - Fokker Plank Equation
\n
$$
\frac{\partial \rho(x_i, t)}{\partial t} = -\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [\tilde{a}_i(x_i, t)\rho(x_i, t)] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} [\tilde{C}_{ij}(x_i, t)\rho(x_i, t)].
$$

S. Della Torre - AMS Italy 2003 1993 1994 48

$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (U \overrightarrow{V}) + \nabla \cdot \left[K^{S} \cdot \nabla U \right] + \frac{(\nabla \cdot \overrightarrow{V}_{sw})}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} (\alpha_{rel} T U)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} [BB^{\top}]^{ij} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_i A^i_B \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} + LF.
$$

$$
dx_i = A^i_B dt + \sum_j B^{ij} d\omega_j.
$$

$$
\Delta r = \left[\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial r^2 K_{rr}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}(K_{\theta r}\sin\theta)\right]\Delta t \n- [V_{SW} + v_{diff,r}] \Delta t + (2K_{rr})^{1/2}\omega_r\sqrt{\Delta t},
$$
\n
$$
\Delta \theta = \left[\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial rK_{r\theta}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\left(\frac{K_{\theta\theta}\sin\theta}{r}\right) - \frac{V_{diff,\theta}}{r}\right]\Delta t \n+ \frac{2K_{r\theta}}{r\sqrt{2K_{rr}}}\omega_r\sqrt{\Delta t} \n+ \frac{2K_{\theta\theta}}{r\sqrt{2K_{rr}}}\omega_r\sqrt{\Delta t} \n+ \left[\frac{2K_{\theta\theta}}{r^2} - \frac{2K_{rr}^2}{r^2K_{rr}}\right]^{1/2}\omega_\theta\sqrt{\Delta t},
$$
\n
$$
\Delta T = \frac{\omega_{\text{ref}}TV_{SW}}{3r}\Delta t,
$$
\n
$$
L = \frac{2V_{sw}}{r}\left(\frac{1}{3}\frac{\partial \alpha T}{\partial T} - 1\right).
$$
\n
$$
\Delta T = \frac{\omega_{\text{ref}}TV_{SW}}{3r}\Delta t,
$$
\n
$$
L = \frac{2V_{sw}}{r}\left(\frac{1}{3}\frac{\partial \alpha T}{\partial T} - 1\right).
$$
\n9/30/2024

$$
A_{B}^{r} = \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial r^{2} K_{rr}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta K_{\theta r}) + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial K_{\phi r}}{\partial \phi} - V_{sw} - V_{dr}
$$

\n
$$
A_{B}^{\theta} = \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial r K_{r\theta}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{K_{\theta\theta} \sin \theta}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^{2} \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (K_{\phi\theta}) - \frac{V_{d\theta}}{r}
$$

\n
$$
A_{B}^{\phi} = \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{r K_{r\phi}}{\sin \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{K_{\theta\phi}}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^{2} \sin^{2} \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (K_{\phi\phi}) - \frac{V_{d\phi}}{r \sin \theta}
$$

\n
$$
A_{B}^{T} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\alpha T V_{sw}}{r}
$$

\n
$$
BB^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 2K_{rr} & \frac{2K_{r\phi}}{r} & \frac{2K_{r\phi}}{r \sin \theta} \\ \frac{2K_{\phi r}}{r} & \frac{2K_{\phi\theta}}{r^{2} \sin \theta} & \frac{2K_{\phi\phi}}{r^{2} \sin^{2} \theta} \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
L = \frac{2V_{sw}}{r} \left(\frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial \alpha T}{\partial T} - 1 \right).
$$

Usando il Codice Monte Carlo per cercare gli "exit point"

DIFFUSION TERM

Parametrization of diffusion coefficients:

P is rigidity in GV

$$
\frac{K_{\parallel}}{K_{0}} = \frac{\beta}{3} \left[\frac{P}{1 \text{GV}} + g_{\text{low}}(t) \right] \left(1 + \frac{r}{1 \text{AU}} \right)
$$

 $\mathsf{K}_0(\mathsf{t})$ is the diffusion parameter obtained using cosmic ray fluxes measured with neutron monitor at different latitudes.

see Bobik et al. ApJ 745:132 (2012) Bobik et al. AdsAst,ID 793072 (2013) Boschini et al. Adv. S. Res. (2017,2019,2022,2024)

 $K_{\perp,i}/K_{\parallel}=\rho_i$

EFFECTS OF TS & HP TIME-VARIA ON GCR MODULATION

- impact on the long-term variation of cosmic rays
- appreciable effects at energies ≤1 GeV/n

The accurate prediction of the solar modulation of GCRs requires a time-dependent heliosphere structure

Some degeneracy with GCR transport properties

 \rightarrow "A priori" knowledge of TS & HP time variation is needed.

GCR solar modulation usually calculated on Carrington rotation time intervals:

 \rightarrow no large time resolution needed

AFTER >100Y OBSERVATIONS

Nowadays we improved our knowledge on cosmic rays (CR) thank to a global network of observatory both on ground and on space

The intensity of CR varies with time with a cycle of 11y (22y considering solar polarity)

CR SOLAR MODULATION MEASUREMENTS

PAMELA, on space from 2006 to 2016, and AMS-02, on International Space Station (ISS) since 2011, represented a *game changer* in this field.

They provide the highest quality Cosmic ion measurements in the GV region.

They provide high quality CR spectra