Study of short term variations in the cosmic proton fluxes with the AMS-02 experiment

Summary:

- Introduction: Solar Energetic Particles (SEP).
- Phase 1: Production of polar-pass proton fluxes with AMS-02.
- Phase 2: GCR Background Subtraction and SEP Spectra.

Francesco Faldi AMS-Italy, 16-10-2024



### **Solar Energetic Particles**

- or diffusive shock acceleration in CMEs.
- $(\Delta T < 1 \text{ day})$  and gradual ( $\Delta T \sim \text{several days})$ . Solar Energetic Particles, Reames 2021



#### Solar Energetic Particles (SEPs) can be accelerated by magnetic reconnection in solar flares and/

# • The two mechanisms are thought to generate different classes of SEP event on Earth: impulsive



# Production of daily "polar-pass" proton fluxes with AMS-02 data

#### **Proton Flux Definition**

 $N_{selected}(t, R)$  $\Delta R \cdot T_{exp}(t, R) \cdot A_{corr}(t, R)$  $\Phi(t,R)$ 

N<sub>selected</sub>  $T_{exp}$  $A_{corr} = A_{geom} \cdot ISS/MCCorr \rightarrow$ ISS/MC Corr

**Unfolding Method:** Iterative Folded Acceptance (standard)

Rigidity: R = p/Z

Selected counts corresponding to proton events

- Exposure Time in seconds
- Effective Acceptance: Montecarlo Acceptance multiplied by corrections
- Efficiencies on Data / Efficiencies on Montecarlo



#### **Event Selection**

- Rigidity Range: 1 60 GV
- Charge Type : Yi Jia (standard)
- Fit Type: GBL (standard)
- Pass8 Dataset (NAIA Ntuples)



#### **RTI Cuts**

- Livetime Fraction > 0.05
- Zenith Angle < 25
- Not in SAA
- Mean Difference PG-CIEMAT Trk Calib

#### **Physical Cuts**

- Any Physical trigger
- Chi2Y < 10 (Inner rigidity)
- Hits on: L2 & (L3|L4) & (L5|L6) & (L7|L8)
- At least 5 Hits in Inner Tracker
- Chi2Y < 10 (InnerL1 rigidity)
- 0.7 < Inner Charge < 1.5
- Inner Charge / Inner Charge RMS < 0.4
- 0.6 < L1 Charge < 2
- Inside Inner Fiducial (InnerL1 rigidity)
- Inside L1 Fiducial (InnerL1 rigidity)
- L1 Normalized Residual < 10
- Beta > 0.4
- 0.5 < Upper ToF Charge < 2.5
- Mass Cut
- InnerL1 Rig > SafetyFactor(R) x IGRF Cutoff



- AMS is exposed to SEP only for a small fraction of time, near magnetic poles (cutoff < 2 GV)
- **Cutoff models** (e.g. Max IGRF) are used in AMS to exclude secondary/trapped cosmic rays
- The **cutoff models** we use are too **conservative** for an SEP analysis, severely **limiting our statistics** at low rigidities



**Eliminating** the cut on **rigidity cutoff** improves statistics at low rigidities. We can now measure the proton flux from **0.5 GV**.

→ We need to define a "polar-pass" region, using cutoff-independent information.





For a given day, we can define the "SEP-sensitive" **polar-pass region**, in geographic **longitude** and **latitude**, by setting the **maximum rate** during a quiet day as threshold.





For a given day, we can define the "SEP-sensitive" **polar-pass region**, in geographic **longitude** and **latitude**, by setting the **maximum rate** during a quiet day as threshold.





We then build a **polar-pass map** by plott **above threshold**.



#### We then build a polar-pass map by plotting the longitude and latitude for each event



We then build a **polar-pass map** by plott **above threshold**.



The polar-pass map is used to select events instead of the usual requirement: InnerL1 Rigidity > SafetyFactor(R) x IGRF Cutoff

#### We then build a polar-pass map by plotting the longitude and latitude for each event



**Daily Proton Flux - Comparison with Published Results** 



#### 11

### **Daily Proton Flux - Comparison with Published Results**





### **Daily Proton Flux, Short Duration SEP**



#### NEW



# **Daily Proton Flux, Long Duration SEP**



#### NEW



### **SEP Events Association with Active Regions**



https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aacc26



15





### **Phase 2** GCR Background Forecasting and Subtraction and SEP Spectra

#### **GCR Backgorund Forecasting**





#### **Background Normalization**



3 GV

- N(t) should include effects of solar modulation (SM) and Forbush decreases (FD), but not SEPs.
- The **SEP contribution** to the total flux becomes **negligible** above **3 GV**.
- The normalization N can be calculated from the flux at **higher rigidities** (> 3 GV), excluding the SEP contribution.



#### **GCR Background Forecast**





### Forecast Parameter: Ratio with Lag1 Flux



For each time (day) and rigidity bin, **e** is defined as the **ratio** of the **current** daily flux with the flux of the **previous** day.

**Properties:** 

- **Stationary**: removes seasonal trend. It is still time dependent, i.e. solar modulation and Forbush decreases are preserved.
- **Rigidity independent** during quiet periods: unique normalization factor for every rigidity bin.



### Forecast Parameter: Ratio with Lag1 Flux





#### **Background Normalization**



3 GV

The **normalization N(t)** is given by the average of the *e* parameter, in the rigidity interval ~ [3, 6] GV, above the maximum rigidity reached by SEPs.



 $\phi_{BG}(t,r) = \langle \rho(t) \rangle \times \phi_{BG}(t-1,r)$ 

N(t) is the same for any rigidity bin, thanks to rigidity independence.



### **Background Subtracted SEP Spectra**

#### The SEP only flux is the subtraction of the background from the total flux.





### Forecasted Background on Quiet Flux

Distributions of the relative variation between forecasted background and measured flux, during quiet periods

$$\frac{\phi_{BG}-\phi}{\phi}$$





#### **Spectral Fit Functions**

The **Ellison-Ramaty** function describes a **power law** with **exponential rollover**, typical of **diffusive shock** acceleration with limited spatial and temporal extension.



 $\Phi_{ER}(E) = AE^{-\gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{E}{E_{m}}\right)$ 

- The function has been used on Pamela data (event-integrated fluence) to perform a fit of **SEP spectra**.
- The results are in **agreement** with the **DSA** hypothesis.

https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aacc26



#### **Spectral Fits with AMS at Peak Intensity**

**Background-subtracted** SEP spectra are **fitted** with the **Ellison-Ramaty** function (red) and its asymptotic limits: single **power law** (dashed) and **exponential** (dotted).



Our result **supports** the presence of an **exponential** rollover, which might be related to the spatial and temporal limits of diffusive shock acceleration, in agreement with PAMELA results.



### **Spectral Fits with AMS at Peak Intensity**



### **Spectral Index Temporal Evolution**

shows that the **spectral index increases**.



#### Fitting the Ellison-Ramaty function to the consecutive daily spectra of a given SEP event

### **Spectral Index Temporal Evolution**

- The spectral softening is consistent with the lowest energies.
- The delayed source at low energy might be front of the CME.



• The spectral softening is consistent with the measurements from the GOES observatory at

• The delayed source at low energy might be interplanetary protons accelerated by the shock

### **Spectral Index Temporal Evolution**

- The spectral softening is consistent with the lowest energies.
- The delayed source at low energy might be front of the CME.



• The spectral softening is consistent with the measurements from the GOES observatory at

• The delayed source at low energy might be interplanetary protons accelerated by the shock

### Summary

- The daily proton flux is compatible with the recent publication.
- Improved selection for SEP protons with polar-pass regions to maximise statistics at low energy.
- An algorithm to forecast and subtract the GCR background was developed for the polar-pass flux, in order to obtain SEP-only spectra.
- Fitted each SEP spectrum at peak intensity with "power law + exp. rollover".
- Spectral temporal evolution of a given SEP events shows spectral softening at low energy.
- The spectral softening is supported by the comparison with GOES.

# Backup

### **SEP Events Found With AMS**

#	Start Time	Flare Class									
1	2011 Jun 07	M2	13	2012 Jul 07	X1	25	2013 Oct 28		37	2017 Sep 12	<b>X8</b>
2	2011 Aug 04	M9	14	2012 Jul 12	X1	26	2013 Nov 02		38	2022 Feb 17	
3	2011 Aug 09	<b>X6</b>	15	2012 Jul 17	M1	27	2013 Dec 28	<b>C</b> 9	39	2022 Mar 28	M4
4	2011 Sep 07		16	2012 Jul 23		28	2014 Jan 06	X1	40	2023 Mar 13	
5	2011 Sep 23	X1	17	2012 Sep 28	C3	29	2014 Feb 25	<b>X</b> 4	41	2023 Jul 16	M5
6	2011 Nov 04		18	2012 Nov 08		30	2014 Apr 18	M7	42	2023 Jul 24	
7	2012 Jan 23	M8	19	2013 Mar 05	M1	31	2014 Sep 02		43	2023 Sep 01	M1
8	2012 Jan 28	X1	20	2013 Apr 11	M6	32	2014 Sep 11	X1			
9	2012 Mar 07	<b>X5</b>	21	2013 Apr 24		33	2014 Sep 25				
10	2012 Mar 13	M7	22	2013 May 23	M5	34	2015 Oct 24	M7			
11	2012 May 17	M5	23	2013 Jun 23	M2	35	2017 Jul 14	M2			
12	2012 Jun 16	M1	24	2013 Oct 11		36	2017 Sep 07	M5			





### Layer 1 Pickup Efficiency



#### Denominator

- RTI cuts (see event selection)
- Any Physical trigger
- Chi2Y < 10 (Inner rigidity)
- Hits on: L2 & (L3|L4) & (L5|L6) & (L7|L8)
- At least 5 Hits in Inner Tracker
- 0.7 < Inner Charge < 1.5
- Inner Charge / Inner Charge RMS < 0.4
- Beta > 0.4
- 0.5 < Upper ToF Charge < 1.7
- Mass Cut (Inner rigidity)
- 0.5 < Lower ToF Charge < 1.7
- Less than 5 ToF Clusters
- ToF Chi2 Coo < 2
- Only one inner track
- No ACC fired
- Match Inner TRD Fit
- Inner Rigidity > SafetyFactor(R) x IGRF Cutoff

- Denominator
- Chi2Y < 10 (InnerL1 rigidity)
- 0.6 < L1 Charge < 2
- Inside Inner Fiducial (InnerL1 rigidity)
- Inside L1 Fiducial (InnerL1 rigidity)
- L1 Normalized Residual < 10



### ToF Efficiency



#### Denominator

- RTI cuts (see event selection)
- Any Physical trigger
- Chi2Y < 10 (Inner rigidity)
- Hits on: L2 & (L3|L4) & (L5|L6) & (L7|L8)
- At least 5 Hits in Inner Tracker
- Chi2Y < 10 (InnerL1 rigidity)
- 0.7 < Inner Charge < 1.5
- Inner Charge / Inner Charge RMS < 0.4
- 0.6 < L1 Charge < 2
- Inside Inner Fiducial (InnerL1 rigidity)
- Inside L1 Fiducial (InnerL1 rigidity)
- L1 Normalized Residual < 10
- InnerL1 Rigidity > SafetyFactor(R) x IGRF Cutoff

- Denominator
- Beta > 0.4
- 0.5 < Upper ToF Charge < 2.5



#### Inner Tracker Efficiency



#### Denominator

- RTI cuts (see event selection)
- Any Physical trigger
- 0.6 < L1 Charge < 2
- Beta > 0.4
- 0.5 < Upper ToF Charge < 1.5
- Lower ToF Charge > 0.5
- ToF Chi2 Coo < 2
- ToF Chi2 Time < 2
- ToF Track Inside Inner Fiducial
- ToF Track Inside L1 Fiducial
- TRD Track Inside L1 Fiducial
- Less than 5 ToF Clusters
- InnerL1 Rigidity > SafetyFactor(R) x IGRF Cutoff

- Denominator
- Chi2Y < 10 (Inner rigidity)
- Hits on: L2 & (L3|L4) & (L5|L6) & (L7|L8)
- At least 5 Hits in Inner Tracker
- 0.7 < Inner Charge < 1.5
- Inner Charge / Inner Charge RMS < 0.4



### Trigger Efficiency

#### **PhysBPatt**

		Bit	Description
		1	Unbiased Charged (prescale: 1/100)
		2	Single Charge
2	<b>9</b> 	3	Normal Ion
n. 1		4	Slow Ion
De		5	Electron
		6	Photon
		7	Unbiased EM (prescale: 1/1000)

#### Denominator

- RTI cuts (see event selection)
- Chi2Y < 10 (Inner rigidity)
- Hits on: L2 & (L3|L4) & (L5|L6) & (L7|L8)
- At least 5 Hits in Inner Tracker
- Chi2Y < 10 (InnerL1 rigidity)
- 0.7 < Inner Charge < 1.5
- Inner Charge / Inner Charge RMS < 0.4
- 0.6 < L1 Charge < 2
- Inside Inner Fiducial (InnerL1 rigidity)
- Inside L1 Fiducial (InnerL1 rigidity)
- L1 Normalized Residual < 10
- Beta > 0.4
- 0.5 < Upper ToF Charge < 2.5
- Mass Cut
- InnerL1 Rigidity > SafetyFactor(R) x IGRF Cutoff
- Unbiased Trigger (PhysBPatt & 01000001)

- Denominator
- Any Physical trigger



### **Global Trigger Correction**





Selected Counts and Exposure Time





### Layer 1 Efficiencies and Correction





#### **ISS/Montecarlo Corrections**





#### Acceptance





# Integral Flux - Comparison with PR 2021





### Daily ISS/Montecarlo Corrections



Compute Daily/Integral ratio and fit with spline (3 knots) up to 20 GV



**Daily Corr = Integral Corr x Spline** 

