

深圳综合粒子设施研究院

Institute of Advanced Science Facilities, Shenzhen

Cycle of Seminars by Carlo Pagani Seminar # 5

European XFEL: from prototypes to large scale production

Shenzhen, 30 September 2022 / INFN LASA, 30 October 2024





carlo.pagani@mi.infn.it







1. Introduction

- 2. Prototypes with industry
- 3. Preparing series production: the cavity example
- 4. Series production better than prototypes





1. Introduction

- 2. Prototypes with industry
- 3. Preparing series production: the cavity example
- 4. Series production better than prototypes



Eu-XFEL by-product of TESLA



The European XFEL has been the by-product of TESLA, the Superconducting Linear Collider developped since 1990 by a large International Collaboration







Basic goals on SRF Technology

- Increase gradient by a factor of 5: from 5 to 25 MV/m
 - Push cavity performances close to the physical limit, understanding practical limits
 - Set all the required quality control for reproducibility and industrial production
- Make possible pulsed operation: Lorentz force detuning
 - Combine SRF and mechanical engineering in cavity design
 - Develop efficient Modulators and Klystrons
 - Develop new ancillaries: slow and fast tuners, couplers
- Reduce cost per MV by a factor 20: to make the LC feasible
 - New cryomodule concept for cryolosses, cost and filling factor (for real estate gradient)
 - All subsystems designed for large scale production
 - Reliability and quality control as a general guideline

Basic goals on Machine Design

- · Design a Linear Collider based on the Cold Linac peculiarities
- Maximize Luminosity and optimize cost for a given plug power
- Design and quote major subsystems: DR, Positron Source, BDS, etc.
- · Put all together in a consistent TDR, including cost estimation





Major contributions from: CERN, Cornell, DESY, CEA-Saclay, INFN-LASA

9-cell, 1.3 GHz





TESLA cavity parameters

R/Q	1036	W
E_{peak}/E_{acc}	2.0	
B_{peak}/E_{acc}	4.26	mT/(MV/m)
$\Delta f/\Delta I$	315	kHz/mm
K _{Lorentz}	≈ -1	Hz/(MV/m) ²





Eddy-current scanning system for niobium sheets

Cleanroom handling of niobium cavities

Preparation Sequence

- Niobium sheets (RRR=300) are scanned by eddy-currents to detect avoid foreign material inclusions like tantalum and iron

- Industrial production of full nine-cell cavities:

- Deep-drawing of subunits (half-cells, etc.) from niobium sheets
- Chemical preparation for welding, cleanroom preparation
- Electron-beam welding according to detailed specification

- 800 °C high temperature heat treatment to stress anneal the Nb and to remove hydrogen from the Nb

- 1400 °C high temperature heat treatment with titanium getter layer to increase the thermal conductivity (RRR=500)

- Cleanroom handling:

- Chemical etching to remove damage layer and titanium getter layer

- High pressure water rinsing as final treatment to avoid particle contamination



TESLA cavity design and rules





1276 mm

type of accelerating structure standing wave accelerating mode TM0 π mode fundamental frequency 1300 MHz design gradient Eacc (TTF) 15 MV/m design gradient Eacc (TESLA) 25 MV/m > 3 × 10⁹ unloaded quality factor Q₀ (TTF) > 5 × 10⁹ unloaded quality factor Q₀ (TESLA) shunt impedance R/Q **1036** Ω 2.0 Epeak / Eacc B peak / E acc 4.26 mT / (MV/m) cavity bandwidth at $Q_0 = 3 \times 10^6$ 430 Hz



TTF: a new infrastructure at DESY







Preparation of TESLA Cavities









1. Introduction

2. Prototypes with industry

- 3. Preparing series production: the cavity example
- 4. Series production better than prototypes





- In parallel with the machine design, all major TESLA sub-components have been conceived, designed and protopyped for TTF.
- Most of the process has been performed in strict collabotration with industry.
- Concerning SRF cavities, all the technology already mastered by industry have been further improved with the help of Labs experience. All the mechanical fabrication done in industry.
- The acquisition of an EB Welding machine at DESY had the strong opposition by the Director Biorn Wiik
- The matured experience on the Niobium welding has been transferred directly from Labs to industry
- Clean room operation and special surface treatments were developped at the DESY infrastructure and promptly tranferred to Industry



Eddy Current Scanner for Nb Sheets





Scanning results



- Rolling marks and defects are visible on a niobium disk to be used to print a cavity half-cell.
- Surface analysis is then required to identify the inclusions





BCP = Buffered Chemical Polishing

3 cavity productions from 4 European industries: Accel, Cerca, Dornier, Zanon













EP (Electro-Polishing) developed at KEK by Kenji Saito (originally by Siemens) Coordinated R&D effort: DESY, KEK, CERN, CEA-Saclay & INFN LASA





EP at DESY from the KEK Experience









EP system at RI







EP system at Zanon







Not Just Cavities: From Prototype to Cry 3







Cryomodule Evolution in TTF-II







800 MeV



120 MeV





ILC Acc. Workshop 16 August 2005



10 MW Klystrons Developped by Industry



10 MW MULTI BEAM KLYSTRON

TESLA The LC cold option

ITRP Visit to DESY, 5th/6th April 2004

Multi-cathode gur

3 TUBES DELIVERED TO DESY

MULTI BEAM KLYSTRON

One of the parameters which determines the efficiency of a klystron is the perveance defined as $p = I/U^{3/2}$, where U is the klystron cathode voltage and 1 the current. For a perveance of 2.10^{6} AV/ $^{3/2}$ the efficiency is typically 45%, whereas at 0.5 10^{6} AV/ $^{3/2}$, efficiency of 70% is theoretically achievable. This is due to the lower space charge forces witch enables strong beam bunching and consequently higher efficiency.

The RF power of long pulse single beam kiystrons is limited by the high voltage the gun can withstand. To limit the cathode high voltage, the perveance of these conventional kiystrons is closed to the maximum practical value of 2.10⁶ A/M²². To increase the current and then the power, the **multi beam concept** is to use several low perveance parallel beams. These beams propagate in separated drift tubes and interact with the RF field of the common cavilies they travel through. The advantage of this solutions is the **lower voltage** required and the **higher efficiency** compared to the single beam klystron.

. 5.5 A/cm²at 10 MWp

7kV/mm at 120 kV

eam convergence = 9

confined flow

MAIN DESIGN PARAMETERS





MEASURED PERFORMANCES



≻Gun design improvement under progress to minimize gun arcing occurrence



TH 1801







The LC cold option









RF Waveguide Components @ 1.3 GHz



3 Stub Tuner (IHEP, Bejing, China)



Hybrid Coupler (RFT, Spinner)



E and H Bends (Spinner)





Peak Power = 1 MW

Circulator (Ferrite)



RF Load (Ferrite)





Ancillaries: Coupler R&D at LAL-Orsay





High Power Coupler Test Stand

Alternative Designs



Clean room assembly



Ancillaries: Cavity Tuners



The Saclay Tuner in TTF





The INFN Blade-Tuner



Successfully operated with superstructures





RF Distribution: the TESLA RF Unit



1 klystron for 3 accelerating modules, 12 nine-cell cavities each





Pulse Transformer Modulator Layout







New QC tools in 4th Production





INF TESLA Technical Design Report: March 2001







Industrial Studies for TESLA TDR & E-XFEL









Overview on industrial studies for superconducting	lipper (TESLA VEEL CAPE) status dos 05 D Broch
	IIIIacs (TESEA, ATEE,CARE), Status dec.05, D.FTOCH

	main issues	design	fabrication	cost	Contract	Status
	500 to of Nb sheet production		х	х	DESY	finished
ion	20000 cavities, welding		х	х	DESY	finished
ion	1000 cavities, welding		х	х	DESY	finished
ion	20000 cavities, hydroforming		х	х	DESY	finished
ng	20000 cavities, VT test, 1400°C, BCP		х	х	DESY	finished
bly I	Assembly of 20000 cavities		х	х	DESY	finished
ng	Substitute BCP by EP, 1000 cavities		х	х	XFEL	in preparation
bly II	Improvements to study 6, 1000 cavities	х	х	х	XFEL	started
	improvement to TTF3 design, 1000 couplers	х	х	х	XFEL	in preparation
	Industrial aspects of EP		х	х	CARE	in preparation
of components	Reliability aspects of critical components	х	х		CARE	in preparation
	Principle layout, prototype	х	х		CARE	finished



Cavity Fabrication Industrial Studies









TTF2 as XFEL Injector Prototype













Outstanding Results with module #5







TESLA/XFEL Milestones









TTF: 10 years of experience









1. Introduction

2. Prototypes with industry

3. Preparing series production: the cavity example

4. Series production better than prototypes





At the end of the long prequalification process (TTF, FLASH, ILC,...)

Ettore Zanon, EZ & Research Instruments, RI

were contracted at the end 2010 to produce each

- 8 Cavities for infrastructure rump/up and qualification 4 Dummy CVs (DCV), 4 Reference CVs (RCV)
- 280 XFEL type series cavities (first 8 are PCV) 12 ILC HiGrade cavities
- Additional 120 cavities allocated end 2012 to each companiy Nb & NbTi supplied by DESY
- Production precisely following detailed specifications which also include the exact definition of infrastructure to be used (build to print)
- Final treatment after main EP: final EP for RI / flash BCP for EZ





- No performance guaranty by the vendors, i.e. the risk of unexpected low gradient or field emission is taken over by XFEL/DESY (responsibility for re-treatment).
- Goal: average usable XFEL gradient 23.6 MV/m at Q₀=1x10¹⁰, X-Rays <1x10⁻²mGy/min
- First series cavities (PCV) to be delivered end 2012 begin 2013
- All cavities to be delivered till end 2014 begin 2015
- Delivery rate: about 8 CVs/week (4/each vendor)
- Supervision of the CV production: **DESY & INFN/LASA**







Prior surface treatment.

EP 110-140 µm (main EP), ethanol rinse, outside BCP, 800°C annealing, tuning

Final surface treatment - two alternative options

 Final EP of 40 μm, ethanol rinse, high pressure water rinsing (HPR) and 120°C bake
 Final BCP of 10 μm (BCP Flash), HPR and 120°C bake.

Integration of the helium tank, assembly of HOM, pick up and high Q antennas before vertical RF test



e-Documentation in EDMS - Data Bank





Procedure related

- All XFEL SC cavity documents (specifications, inspection sheets, meeting minutes, PED data etc.) recorded in EDMS
- EZ and RI have access to documents and data (to relevant only)



PED Activities and TUEV Control



Test piece (TP) is composed by 2 cell with helium vessel, without end groups, representing all pressure bearing parts and welds. It is built using exactly the same manufacturing methods and welding parameters that will be later used for production. Two EBW machines/company. Then two test pieces had been built.



Good performance of reference cavities

8 RCVs: acceptance test successful



D.Reschke, A.Sulimov, D.Kostin





- 2 EBW (Electron Beam Welding) plants, equipped with cryo-pumps
- ISO 7 and ISO 4 Clean Rooms with cleaning and rinsing facility
- UPW (Ultra Pure Water 18 MΩ-cm) production system (>3000 l/h)
- HPR (High Pressure Rinsing 100 bar) apparatus
- 800 °C and 120 °C ovens operating with High Vacuum.
- Cavity Tuning Machine, CTM, provided by DESY
- RF tests set up (for HalfCell, DumbBells and EndGroups), provided by DESY
- US (Ultra Sonic) cleaning baths
- Complete **chemical processing** plants (**EP**, **BCP** or both)
- Cavity internal visual inspection systems





Special Equipments installed in Industry





Machine for warm cavity tuning TM (tuning machine)

Provided with CE certification according EU regulations Equipment for RF measurement of half cells, dumb bells and end groups

INGERTARY CTM cavity tuning machine and HAZEMEMA



DESY developed, build and delivered to both companies a cavity tuning machine CTM and equipment for RF measurement on half cells, dumb-bells and end groups HAZEMEMA



CTM and HAZEMEMA installed at RI

CTM in installation at EZ



Cavity Infrastructure Layout at EZ





Building layout: clean rooms ISO10, ISO7, ISO4, US and BCP treatment, 120°C baking, 800°C oven, EBW, tuning machine etc.





Examples of EZ Infrastructure (courtesy of EZ)







Examples of EZ Infrastructure (courtesy of EZ)









- US cleaning and BCP in ISO 10 clean room
- ISO 4 installed in the new building
- The UPW (Ultra Pure Water) production system

Deep Defect Analysis Capability @ Labs - 1



Topographical defects at the welding seams



GF Deep Defect Analysis Capability @ Labs - 2



Etching pits: EBSD with strain maps via grain reference orientation deviation (GROD)



Z111: Pit in heat affected zone HAZ of weld, enclosing region of strain, all within one grain (R. Croocs)



- Pits don't seem to be related to grain orientation

-Pits in weld HAZ are near areas of remaining cold work (high dislocation density)

-Pits away from the weld, in fine grain area tend to be centered on grain boundary triple junctions

Deep Defect Analysis Capability @ Labs - 3









1. Introduction

- 2. Prototypes with industry
- 3. Preparing series production: the cavity example
- 4. Series production better than prototypes



1.3 GHz E-XFEL cavities «as received»







As Received Maximum Gradient







		Max
Average	MV/m	31.4
RMS	MV/m	6.8
Median (50%)	MV/m	32.5
Yield ≥20 MV/m		92%
Yield ≥26 MV/m		85%



As Received Usable Gradien









23.6 MV/m

Include operations spec

- $Q_0 \ge 1 \times 10^{10}$
- FE threshold (X-ray)

→ Usable Gradient



As Received Usable Gradient





• FE threshold (X-ray)

		Max	Usable
Average	MV/m	31.4	27.7
RMS	MV/m	6.8	7.2
Median (50%)	MV/m	32.5	28.7
Yield ≥20 MV/m		92%	86%
Yield ≥26 MV/m		85%	66%



Final performance: Eacc



Total after 313 retreatments performed on 237 of the 831 cavities





After:

E max 33.0 ± 4.8 [MV/m] (RI): E max 34.7 ± 4.4 [MV/m]) (EZ): E max 31.5 ± 4.9 [MV/m])

Before: E usable 27.7 ± 7.2 [MV/m] (RI): E usable 29.0 ± 7.3 [MV/m]) (EZ): E usable 26.4 ± 6.6 [MV/m])

After:

E usable 29.8 ± 5.1 [MV/m] (RI): E usable 31.2 ± 5.2 [MV/m]) (EZ): E usable 28.6 ± 4.8 [MV/m])



Final performance: Q₀

Total after 313 retreatments performed on 237 of the 831 cavities



100

90

80

70

60

30

20

10

3.3

2.8

50 (%) Xield (%) 40



Before : Q0 @ 4 MV/m 2.15 ± 0.32 [1.10¹⁰] (RI): Q0 @ 4 MV/m $2.11 \pm 0.32 [1.10^{10}]$) $(EZ): Q0 @ 4 MV/m 2.18 \pm 0.32 [1.10^{10}])$

After:

Q0 @ 4 MV/m 2.23 ± 0.34 [1.10¹⁰] (RI): Q0 @ 4 MV/m 2.21 ± 0.34 [1.10¹⁰]) (EZ): Q0 @ 4 MV/m 2.26 ± 0.33 [1.10¹⁰])

Before: Q0 @ 23.6 MV/m 1.31 ± 0.26 [1.10¹⁰] (RI): Q0 @ 23.6 MV/m 1.29 ± 0.24 [1.10¹⁰]) (EZ): Q0 @ 23.6 MV/m 1.34 ± 0.28 [1.10¹⁰])

After:

Q0 @ 23.6 MV/m 1.37 ± 0.25 [1.10¹⁰] (RI): Q0 @ 23.6 MV/m $1.34 \pm 0.22 [1.10^{10}]$) (EZ): Q0 @ 23.6 MV/m 1.41 ± 0.26 [1.10¹⁰])

E-XFEL experience on cavity production rate



EZ cavity production udated on May 6th, 2015



Vertical TEST Infrastructure at DESY



- Multiple vertical tests started in Feb 2013 (IFJ-Pan & DESY)
- 2 independent cryostats and RF stations for six 4-cavity inserts
- Parallel commissioning of inserts + vertical tests on series cavities
- New software for vertical tests

no Second Sound, no T-Mapping at AMTF







XFEL cavities: verticat test rate







Effect of HPR after transportation: E_{acc}







Effect of HPR after transportation: Q₀







EP vs BCP: average E_{acc} and Q₀ /mounth

31 April 2015





E-XFEL: Usable Installed Voltage









- Minimum of the following gradient values:
 - . MAX (i.e. quench)
 - . $Q_0 = 10^{10}$
 - . X-ray 1 (top) threshold

. X-ray 2 (bottom) threshold

In this example, usable gradient is limited by FE (X-ray₁) to ~27 MV/m
max gradient is ~34 MV/m



Some consideration on the series production



Industry, once trained, is doing as well as the lab behind Results obtained are very close to those available more than 13 years ago, the time of the ITRP

- Results depend on the technology applied
- The complete cycle in Industry improves the yield, but not dramatically

The possibility of a **second treatment is still mandatory** to improve the yield

- HPR is usually sufficient, flash BCP can be required, EP is excluded (HT installed)
- Second treatment is improving the yield, both for high field and field emission





After XFEL, EZ & RI produced all the 1.3 GHz cavities for LCLS II, including final treatment and Nitrogen doping

All the cryomodules, modified for CW, are now produced in China by Wuxi, originally with IHEP supervision

DOE sbuscribed the high nominal value for the cavity Qo (as promissed by the succesfull application of the nitrogen doping) but **doubled the cryoplant**: **just in case**

Costing of XFEL was succesfully **based on industrial studies** performed by experienced companies

New large Projects should consider a very similar approach

Cavity packadge, criomodule and ancillaries are going together

Thank you for your attention

IASF - CP Seminar #5 Shenzhen, 30 September 2022

Carlo Pagani