

### Università degli Studi di Milano

# Precision theoretical predictions for future colliders

# Alessandro Vicini

University of Milano and INFN Milano

Riunione sull'update della European Strategy for Particle Physics, Milano 29 ottobre 2024



## Outline

### Physics at the LHC and future colliders

every option under discussion will allow to collect huge luminosities  $\rightarrow$  extremely small statistical errors a meaningful interpretation of such data requires the development of tools adequate to extract information

Developments of new tools and ideas:

predictions in QFT machine-learning powered parameterisation of some of the inputs of the theoretical predictions (e.g. the proton)

Precision is the keyword in any of the options under discussion

## When Precision is a crucial tool: deciphering the nature of the Higgs boson

Is the observed scalar particle the Standard Model Higgs boson ?





https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-022-04893-w/figures/1

>

## When Precision is a crucial tool: deciphering the nature of the Higgs boson

Is the observed scalar particle the Standard Model Higgs boson ?





https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-022-04893-w/figures/1

Quantum corrections up to third order needed for a significant comparison with the Higgs production cross sections





Motivation: statistical precision from small to large fermion-pair invariant masses

FCC-ee  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- + X)$ arXiv:2206.08326

sqrt(S) (GeV)	luminosity (ab <sup>-1</sup> )	σ (fb)	% error
91	150	2.17595 10 <sup>6</sup>	0.0002
240	5	1870.84 ± 0.612	0.03
365	1,5	787.74 ± 0.725	0.09

EW input parameters

Theoretical systematics

large QED corrections

increasingly large EW corrections

Are we able to reach (at least) the 0.1% precision throughout the whole invariant mass range? The Drell-Yan case poses the same challenges relevant for FCC-ee

### Statistical errors

LHC and HL-LHC  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- + X)$ arXiv:2106.11953

bin range (GeV)	% error 140 fb⁻¹	% error 3
91-92	0.03	6 10 <sup>-3</sup>
120-400	0.1	0.02
400-600	0.6	0.13
600-900	1.4	0.30
900-1300	3.2	0.69

### proton PDFs

increasingly large QCD, QCD-EW and EW corrections



## Motivation: impact of higher dimension operators, as a function of the invariant mass

The parameterisation of BSM physics in the SMEFT language can be probed by studying the impact of higher dimension operators as a function of energy.

Deviations from the SM prediction require to answer the question "What is the SM?"

→ SM predictions have to be
at the same precision level of the data
i.e. (sub) per mille level



### Neutral Current Drell-Yan: SMEFT vs SM predictions

Factorisation theorems and the cross section in the partonic formalism



Particles  $P_{1,2}$  can be protons ( $\rightarrow$  Drell-Yan @ LHC) or leptons ( $\rightarrow$  FCC-ee, muon collider)

The partonic content of the scattering particles can be expressed in terms of PDFs proton PDFs: ABM, CT18, MSHT, NNPDF, ... lepton PDFs: Frixione et al. arXiv:1911.12040

The partonic scattering can be computed in perturbation theory, in the full QCD+EW theory, exploiting the theoretical progress in QCD, in the understanding of its IR structure

Factorisation theorems guarantee the validity of the above picture up to power correction effects

Factorisation theorems and the cross section in the partonic formalism



The partonic scattering requires a combination of:

- fixed-order results
- resummation to all order of logarithmically enhanced terms  $\rightarrow$  curing the breakdown of perturbation theory in specific phase-space regions

The matching (removing double countings) is subject to an ambiguity



 $\rightarrow$  accuracy of the xsec normalisation

## Milano HEP Theory group

Stefano Carrazza Giancarlo Ferrera Stefano Forte Claudia Frugiuele Raoul Röntsch Alessandro Vicini Marco Zaro

Fixed-order calculation
Resummation
Monte Carlo simulation
Proton/lepton structure
Quantum Computing

in average we host 3-5 PhD students and 3-4 postdocs

IS	Tests of the Standard Model (QCD+EW)
	Searches for New Physics (through precision)
ns	Advanced modelling of physical systems
e	



## Some theoretical challenges

### Advanced simulation and numerical integration

multi particle final states, large phase-spaces, challenges for the numerical integration algorithms machine-learning can help, reaching 0.01% precision is hard GPU powering effective at tree-level, studies/discussions in progress at NLO and higher

### Cumbersome analytical results in higher orders

the frontier of fixed-order calculations depends on the number of energy scales: external legs + internal masses the size of analytical amplitudes can reach the GB level  $\rightarrow$  it affects all the steps of the numerical evaluation new mathematical ideas should support/complement the "brute force" approach

## The risk of absorbing New Physics signals in the proton parameterisation the non-perturbative component of the proton parameterisation is obtained with a fit to collider data the fit at high mass might inadvertently reabsorb a feeble New Physics signal in the proton PDF the presence of such a "contamination" may have consequences on the internal consistency of the parameterisation

- $\rightarrow$  systematic studies of all the parton densities (e.g. antiquark at large-x) may help to disentangle the BSM signal

## Phenomenology of Neutral Current Drell-Yan including exact NNLO QCD-EW corrections

T.Armadillo, R.Bonciani, L.Buonocore, S.Devoto, M.Grazzini, S.Kallweit, N.Rana, F.Tramontano, AV, arXiv:2106.11953, Phys.Rev.Lett. 128 (2022) 1,012002 and work in preparation



Negative mixed NNLO QCD-EW effects (-3% or more) at large invariant masses, absent in any additive combination  $\rightarrow$  impact on the searches for new physics  $\rightarrow$  impact on PDF determination



## Interplay of precision measurements at Z resonance, low-, and high-energy

The very high precision determination of EW parameters at the Z resonance is a cornerstone of the whole precision program but there is more...



## Interplay of precision measurements at Z resonance, low-, and high-energy

The very high precision determination of EW parameters at the Z resonance is a cornerstone of the whole precision program but there is more...

to BSM physics

low-energy (sub-GeV) determinations (P2 in Mainz, Møller at JLab) high-energy (TeV) determinations (CMS, ATLAS) offer a stringent test of the SM complementary to the results at the Z resonance

The running of an MSbar parameter is completely assigned once boundary and matching conditions are specified



## Interplay of precision measurements at Z resonance, low-, and high-energy

The very high precision determination of EW parameters at the Z resonance is a cornerstone of the whole precision program but there is more...

to BSM physics

low-energy (sub-GeV) determinations (P2 in Mainz, Møller at JLab) high-energy (TeV) determinations (CMS, ATLAS) offer a stringent test of the SM complementary to the results at the Z resonance

The running of an MSbar parameter is completely assigned once boundary and matching conditions are specified

The absence of higher-order SM corrections could fake a BSM signal in the Effective Field Theory language, missing SM corrections might be compensated by non-vanishing Wilson coefficients





## Conclusion

Testing the SM and searching for BSM signals are two complementary perspective in the interpretation of data at future colliders

The definition of what is the SM and its simulation at 0.01% level are incredible challenges conceptual, in QFT, mathematical, in numerical simulations

The timescale of several projects is getting longer → impact on the recruiting of postdocs and PhD students

The current progress (=precision) of the LHC physics program has triggered the opening of a new branch of studies testing the violation of Bell inequalities in several multiparticle final states ⇒ precision can help us to understand the quantum nature of the fundamental interactions