

An analysis of entanglement harvesting beyond perturbation theory

Ireneo James Membrere^{1,2,3,*} (in collaboration with Adam Teixidó-Bonfill^{1,2,3} and Eduardo Martín-Martínez^{1,2,3})

¹Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, N2L3G1, Canada ²Institute for Quantum Computing, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, N2L3G1, Canada ³Perimeter Institute, Waterloo, ON, N2L 2Y5, Canada

*imembrere@uwaterloo.ca

Introduction

- QFT predicts the existence of correlations between different regions in a quantum field [1-2]
 - Entanglement harvesting protocol: entanglement between detectors is induced due to their interaction with a quantum field in its vacuum state [3]
 - Protocol has never been tested experimentally



^[1] S. J. Summers and R. Werner, "The vacuum violates bell's inequalities", Physics Letters A 110, 257–259(1985).

^[2] S. J. Summers and R. Werner, "Bell's inequalities and quantum field theory. i. general setting", Journal of Mathematical Physics 28, 2440–2447 (1987).

^[3] B. Reznik, "Entanglement from the vacuum", Foundations of Physics 33, 167–176 (2003).

^[4] C. Sabín, J. J. García-Ripoll, E. Solano, and J. León, "Dynamics of entanglement via propagating microwave photons", Phys. Rev. B 81, 184501 (2010).

^[5] S. Ren, "Design and implementation of an entanglement harvesting experiment with superconducting flux qubits", Master's thesis (University of Waterloo, 2022).

Introduction

- QFT predicts the existence of correlations between different regions in a quantum field [1-2]
 - Entanglement harvesting protocol: entanglement between detectors is induced due to their interaction with a quantum field in its vacuum state [3]
 - Protocol has never been tested experimentally
- Some experimental proposals for realizing the entanglement harvesting protocol use superconducting circuits [4-5]
 - consist of superconducting qubits (detectors) coupled to a transmission line (electromagnetic field)



^[1] S. J. Summers and R. Werner, "The vacuum violates bell's inequalities", Physics Letters A 110, 257–259(1985).

^[2] S. J. Summers and R. Werner, "Bell's inequalities and quantum field theory. i. general setting", Journal of Mathematical Physics 28, 2440–2447 (1987).

^[3] B. Reznik, "Entanglement from the vacuum", Foundations of Physics **33**, 167–176 (2003).

^[4] C. Sabín, J. J. García-Ripoll, E. Solano, and J. León, "Dynamics of entanglement via propagating microwave photons", Phys. Rev. B 81, 184501 (2010).

^[5] S. Ren, "Design and implementation of an entanglement harvesting experiment with superconducting flux qubits", Master's thesis (University of Waterloo, 2022).



- Ultrastrong coupling between superconducting qubit and transmission line has been demonstrated experimentally [6]
 - Regime outside validity of perturbation theory
 - Experiment in [5] utilizes ultrastrong coupling





- Ultrastrong coupling between superconducting qubit and transmission line has been demonstrated experimentally [6]
 - Regime outside validity of perturbation theory
 - Experiment in [5] utilizes ultrastrong coupling
- Goals of our study:
 - 1) Develop tools to model entanglement harvesting in regimes of current superconducting experiments: strong coupling, finite interaction time, field confined to a finite region
 - 2) Compare with perturbative model



Setup

 Consider two stationary point-like detectors (bosonic modes e.g. harmonic oscillators) interacting with a scalar quantum field on 1+1-dimensional Minkowski spacetime confined to a cavity of length *L*



$$\hat{H}_{\text{free}}^{H} = \Omega_{1}\hat{a}_{d_{1}}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{d_{1}} + \Omega_{2}\hat{a}_{d_{2}}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{d_{2}} + \sum_{k}\omega_{n_{k}}\hat{a}_{n_{k}}^{\dagger}\hat{a}_{n_{k}}$$
$$\hat{H}_{\text{int}}^{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{2}\sum_{k}\lambda_{jk}\chi_{jk}(t)(\hat{a}_{d_{j}} + \hat{a}_{d_{j}}^{\dagger})(\hat{a}_{n_{k}}v_{n_{k}}(x_{j}) + \hat{a}_{n_{k}}^{\dagger}v_{n_{k}}^{*}(x_{j})) , u_{n}(t,x) = e^{-i\omega_{n}t}v_{n}(x_{n_{k}})$$



Setup

 Consider two stationary point-like detectors (bosonic modes e.g. harmonic oscillators) interacting with a scalar quantum field on 1+1-dimensional Minkowski spacetime confined to a cavity of length L



$$\hat{H}_{\text{free}}^{H} = \Omega_{1} \hat{a}_{d_{1}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{d_{1}} + \Omega_{2} \hat{a}_{d_{2}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{d_{2}} + \sum_{k} \omega_{n_{k}} \hat{a}_{n_{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{n_{k}}$$
$$\hat{H}_{\text{int}}^{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{k} \lambda_{jk} \chi_{jk}(t) (\hat{a}_{d_{j}} + \hat{a}_{d_{j}}^{\dagger}) (\hat{a}_{n_{k}} v_{n_{k}}(x_{j}) + \hat{a}_{n_{k}}^{\dagger} v_{n_{k}}^{*}(x_{j})) , u_{n}(t, x) = e^{-i\omega_{n}t} v_{n}(x)$$

- Dirichlet BCs: $u_n(t,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_n L}} e^{-i\omega_n t} \sin(k_n x), \omega_n = \sqrt{m^2 + k_n^2}, k_n = \frac{n\pi}{L}$
- Periodic BCs: $u_n(t,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_n L}} e^{-i\omega_n t + ik_n x}, \omega_n = \sqrt{m^2 + k_n^2}, k_n = \frac{2n\pi}{L}$



Setup

 Consider two stationary point-like detectors (bosonic modes e.g. harmonic oscillators) interacting with a scalar quantum field on 1+1-dimensional Minkowski spacetime confined to a cavity of length L



$$\hat{H}_{\text{free}}^{H} = \Omega_{1} \hat{a}_{d_{1}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{d_{1}} + \Omega_{2} \hat{a}_{d_{2}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{d_{2}} + \sum_{k} \omega_{n_{k}} \hat{a}_{n_{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{n_{k}}$$
$$\hat{H}_{\text{int}}^{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{k} \lambda_{jk} \chi_{jk}(t) (\hat{a}_{d_{j}} + \hat{a}_{d_{j}}^{\dagger}) (\hat{a}_{n_{k}} v_{n_{k}}(x_{j}) + \hat{a}_{n_{k}}^{\dagger} v_{n_{k}}^{*}(x_{j})) , u_{n}(t,x) = e^{-i\omega_{n}t} v_{n}(x)$$

- Dirichlet BCs: $u_n(t,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_n L}} e^{-i\omega_n t} \sin(k_n x), \omega_n = \sqrt{m^2 + k_n^2}, k_n = \frac{n\pi}{L}$
- Periodic BCs: $u_n(t,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_n L}} e^{-i\omega_n t + ik_n x}, \omega_n = \sqrt{m^2 + k_n^2}, k_n = \frac{2n\pi}{L}$
- Simplifying assumptions:
 - Identical coupling constants and switching $\lambda_{jk} = \lambda, \chi_{jk}(t) = \chi(t), \forall j \forall k$
 - Initial state: $\hat{\rho}(t=0) = |0\rangle\langle 0|_{d_1} \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|_{d_2} \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|_{\widehat{\phi}}$



Quantifying Entanglement

[7] E. Martín-Martínez, E. G. Brown, W. Donnelly, and A. Kempf, "Sustainable entanglement production from aquantum field", Physical Review A 88, 10.1103/physreva.88.052310 (2013).



Quantifying Entanglement

For a two-mode system *AB*, negativity is necessary and sufficient for the presence of entanglement [7]

$$\mathcal{N}(\hat{\rho}_{AB}) = \max\left(0, \sum_{\lambda_j \in \operatorname{Eig}(\hat{\rho}_{AB}^{\Gamma_A}), \lambda_j < 0} |\lambda_j|\right)$$

[7] E. Martín-Martínez, E. G. Brown, W. Donnelly, and A. Kempf, "Sustainable entanglement production from aquantum field", Physical Review A 88, 10.1103/physreva.88.052310 (2013).



Perturbative Methods

• At time *t* > 0, the evolution of the system can be calculated using the time evolution operator

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = \hat{U}(t)\hat{\rho}(t=0)\hat{U}^{\dagger}(t), \hat{U}(t) = \mathcal{T}\exp(-i\int_{0}^{t}dt'\hat{H}_{\text{int}}^{I}(t'))$$



Perturbative Methods

• At time *t* > 0, the evolution of the system can be calculated using the time evolution operator

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = \hat{U}(t)\hat{\rho}(t=0)\hat{U}^{\dagger}(t), \hat{U}(t) = \mathcal{T}\exp(-i\int_{0}^{t}dt'\hat{H}_{int}^{I}(t'))$$

• As in [8], the time evolution operator can be expanded in a Dyson series giving the state of the detectors as

$$\hat{\rho}_{d_1d_2}(t) = \operatorname{Tr}_{\hat{\phi}}(\hat{\rho}(t)) = \begin{bmatrix} M(t) & O_{7\times 2} \\ O_{2\times 7} & O_{2\times 2} \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$$

in the basis $\{|0\rangle_{d_1}, |1\rangle_{d_1}, |2\rangle_{d_1}\} \otimes \{|0\rangle_{d_2}, |1\rangle_{d_2}, |2\rangle_{d_2}\}$, where



Perturbative Methods

• At time *t* > 0, the evolution of the system can be calculated using the time evolution operator

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = \hat{U}(t)\hat{\rho}(t=0)\hat{U}^{\dagger}(t), \hat{U}(t) = \mathcal{T}\exp(-i\int_{0}^{t}dt'\hat{H}_{int}^{I}(t'))$$

• As in [8], the time evolution operator can be expanded in a Dyson series giving the state of the detectors as

$$\hat{\rho}_{d_1d_2}(t) = \operatorname{Tr}_{\hat{\phi}}(\hat{\rho}(t)) = \begin{bmatrix} M(t) & O_{7\times 2} \\ O_{2\times 7} & O_{2\times 2} \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$$

in the basis $\{|0\rangle_{d_1}, |1\rangle_{d_1}, |2\rangle_{d_1}\} \otimes \{|0\rangle_{d_2}, |1\rangle_{d_2}, |2\rangle_{d_2}\}$, where

• Negativity $\mathcal{N}(t) = \max(0, \sqrt{|\mathcal{M}(t)|^2 + \frac{(\mathcal{L}_{11}(t) - \mathcal{L}_{22}(t))^2}{4}} - \frac{\mathcal{L}_{11} + \mathcal{L}_{22}}{2}) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$





Non-Perturbative Methods

• For quadrature operators $\hat{q}_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{a}_j + \hat{a}_j^{\dagger}), \hat{p}_j = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{a}_j^{\dagger} - \hat{a}_j), j \in \{d_1, d_2, n_1, n_2, ..., n_N\}$, the Hamiltonian is quadratic

 $\hat{H}^{H} = \hat{\vec{x}}^{T} F_{\text{free}} \hat{\vec{x}} + \hat{\vec{x}}^{T} F_{\text{int}} \hat{\vec{x}} \qquad \hat{\vec{x}} = [\hat{q}_{d_{1}}, \hat{p}_{d_{1}}, \hat{q}_{d_{2}}, \hat{p}_{d_{2}}, \hat{q}_{n_{1}}, \hat{p}_{n_{1}}, ..., \hat{q}_{n_{N}}, \hat{p}_{n_{N}}]^{T}$ where

$$\begin{split} F_{\text{free}} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{diag}(\Omega_1, \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_2, \omega_{n_1}, \omega_{n_1}, \dots, \omega_{n_N}, \omega_{n_N}) \\ F_{\text{int}} &= \begin{bmatrix} O_{4 \times 4} & B^T \\ B & O_{2N \times 2N} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} B &= \begin{bmatrix} \vec{b}_1 & \vec{0} & \vec{b}_2 & \vec{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \vec{b}_j &= \lambda \chi(t) [\Re(v_{n_1}(x_j)), -\Im(v_{n_1}(x_j)), \dots, \Re(v_{n_N}(x_j)), -\Im(v_{n_N}(x_j))]^T \end{split}$$



Non-Perturbative Methods

• For quadrature operators $\hat{q}_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{a}_j + \hat{a}_j^{\dagger}), \hat{p}_j = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{a}_j^{\dagger} - \hat{a}_j), j \in \{d_1, d_2, n_1, n_2, ..., n_N\}$, the Hamiltonian is quadratic

 $\hat{H}^{H} = \hat{\vec{x}}^{T} F_{\text{free}} \hat{\vec{x}} + \hat{\vec{x}}^{T} F_{\text{int}} \hat{\vec{x}} \qquad \hat{\vec{x}} = [\hat{q}_{d_{1}}, \hat{p}_{d_{1}}, \hat{q}_{d_{2}}, \hat{p}_{d_{2}}, \hat{q}_{n_{1}}, \hat{p}_{n_{1}}, ..., \hat{q}_{n_{N}}, \hat{p}_{n_{N}}]^{T}$ where

$$F_{\text{free}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{diag}(\Omega_1, \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_2, \omega_{n_1}, \omega_{n_1}, ..., \omega_{n_N}, \omega_{n_N})$$

$$F_{\text{int}} = \begin{bmatrix} O_{4 \times 4} & B^T \\ B & O_{2N \times 2N} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} B = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{b}_1 & \vec{0} & \vec{b}_2 & \vec{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \vec{b}_j = \lambda \chi(t) [\Re(v_{n_1}(x_j)), -\Im(v_{n_1}(x_j)), ..., \Re(v_{n_N}(x_j)), -\Im(v_{n_N}(x_j))]^T \end{array}$$

- At t = 0 the system is in a Gaussian state, therefore it will remain in a Gaussian state for t > 0
 - State of the system is completely characterized by first and second quadrature moments [9]

 $\xi_0^{\mu}(t) = \langle \hat{x}^{\mu} \rangle_{\hat{\rho}(t)}, \sigma^{\mu\nu} = \langle \hat{x}^{\mu} \hat{x}^{\nu} + \hat{x}^{\nu} \hat{x}^{\mu} \rangle_{\hat{\rho}(t)} - 2 \langle \hat{x}^{\mu} \rangle_{\hat{\rho}(t)} \langle \hat{x}^{\nu} \rangle_{\hat{\rho}(t)}$



• Initial condition $\hat{\rho}(t=0) = |0\rangle\langle 0|_{d_1} \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|_{d_2} \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|_{\widehat{\phi}}$ corresponds to $\sigma(t=0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{\xi_0}(t) = \vec{0} \forall t$

[10] W. G. Brenna, E. G. Brown, R. B. Mann, and E. Martín-Martínez, "Universality and thermalization in the unruh effect", Physical Review D 88, 10.1103/physrevd.88.064031 (2013).
[11] E. G. Brown, E. Martín-Martínez, N. C. Menicucci, and R. B. Mann, "Detectors for probing relativistic quantum physics beyond perturbation theory", Physical Review D 87, 10.1103/physrevd.87.084062 (2013).



- Initial condition $\hat{\rho}(t=0) = |0\rangle\langle 0|_{d_1} \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|_{d_2} \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|_{\widehat{\phi}}$ corresponds to $\sigma(t=0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{\xi}_0(t) = \vec{0} \forall t$
- At time *t* > 0, covariance matrix evolves under symplectic transformation

 $\sigma(t) = S(t)\sigma(t=0)S(t)^T \qquad S(t) = S_0(t)S^I(t)$

• These symplectic matrices are generated by the Hamiltonian [10]

$$S_0(t) = \exp\left(\Omega(F_{\text{free}} + F_{\text{free}}^T)t\right)$$

$$\frac{dS^I(t)}{dt} = K_1^I(t)S^I(t), S^I(0) = I \qquad K_1^I(t) = (S_0(t))^{-1}K_1(t)S_0(t), K_1(t) = \Omega(F_{\text{int}} + F_{\text{int}}^T)$$

[10] W. G. Brenna, E. G. Brown, R. B. Mann, and E. Martín-Martínez, "Universality and thermalization in the unruh effect", Physical Review D 88, 10.1103/physrevd.88.064031 (2013).
[11] E. G. Brown, E. Martín-Martínez, N. C. Menicucci, and R. B. Mann, "Detectors for probing relativistic quantum physics beyond perturbation theory", Physical Review D 87, 10.1103/physrevd.87.084062 (2013).



- Initial condition $\hat{\rho}(t=0) = |0\rangle\langle 0|_{d_1} \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|_{d_2} \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|_{\widehat{\phi}}$ corresponds to $\sigma(t=0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{\xi}_0(t) = \vec{0} \forall t$
- At time *t* > 0, covariance matrix evolves under symplectic transformation

$$\sigma(t) = S(t)\sigma(t=0)S(t)^T \qquad S(t) = S_0(t)S^I(t)$$

• These symplectic matrices are generated by the Hamiltonian [10]

$$S_{0}(t) = \exp\left(\Omega(F_{\text{free}} + F_{\text{free}}^{T})t\right)$$

$$\frac{dS^{I}(t)}{dt} = K_{1}^{I}(t)S^{I}(t), S^{I}(0) = I \qquad K_{1}^{I}(t) = (S_{0}(t))^{-1}K_{1}(t)S_{0}(t), K_{1}(t) = \Omega(F_{\text{int}} + F_{\text{int}}^{T})$$

Negativity [11] $\mathcal{N}(t) = \frac{2^{E_{N}(t)} - 1}{2}, E_{N}(t) = \max\left(0, -\log_{2}\sqrt{(\Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^{2} - 4\det\sigma_{d}})/2}\right)$

$$\Delta = \det\sigma_{1} + \det\sigma_{2} - 2\det\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{d} = \begin{bmatrix}\sigma_{1} & \sigma_{12}\\\sigma_{12}^{T} & \sigma_{2}\end{bmatrix}$$

[10] W. G. Brenna, E. G. Brown, R. B. Mann, and E. Martín-Martínez, "Universality and thermalization in the unruh effect", Physical Review D 88, 10.1103/physrevd.88.064031 (2013).
[11] E. G. Brown, E. Martín-Martínez, N. C. Menicucci, and R. B. Mann, "Detectors for probing relativistic quantum physics beyond perturbation theory", Physical Review D 87, 10.1103/physrevd.87.084062 (2013).



Results

• Detector parameters:

| $\Omega_1 L$ | 25 |
|--------------|-----|
| $\Omega_2 L$ | 25 |
| x_1/L | 1/4 |
| x_2/L | 3/4 |





Results

• Detector parameters:

| $\Omega_1 L$ | 25 |
|--------------|-----|
| $\Omega_2 L$ | 25 |
| x_1/L | 1/4 |
| x_2/L | 3/4 |

• Field parameters:

| L | 2π |
|----------------------------|----------|
| m | 0 |
| Boundary Conditions | Periodic |





Results

• Detector parameters:

| $\Omega_1 L$ | 25 |
|--------------|-----|
| $\Omega_2 L$ | 25 |
| x_1/L | 1/4 |
| x_2/L | 3/4 |

• Field parameters:

| L | 2π |
|----------------------------|----------|
| m | 0 |
| Boundary Conditions | Periodic |

• Sharp switching
$$\chi(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in [0, L] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$









 \mathcal{N}



-3

log₂ h

 $\lambda L = 1/100$



$$\lambda L = 1/100$$

 $\Omega_1 L = \Omega_2 L = 25$



 $\lambda L = 1/100$

 $\lambda L = 2.5$



 $\Omega_1 L = \Omega_2 L = 25$



 $\lambda L = 1/100$

 $\lambda L = 10$



 $\lambda L = 2.5$

N 0.012 - \mathcal{N} --- Perturbative --- Perturbative ····· Non Perturbative ····· Non Perturbative 0.0006 0.010 0.0005 0.008 0.0004 0.006 0.0003 0.004 0.0002 0.002 0.0001 0.000 0.0000 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 t/L

 $\Omega_1 L = \Omega_2 L = 25$

 $\Omega_1 L = \Omega_2 L = 100$



t/L

• Summary:



• Summary:

- There exists a range of sufficiently small λ values where perturbation theory
 - 1) is a good approximation during spacelike separation
 - 2) begins to fail during light contact



• Summary:

- There exists a range of sufficiently small λ values where perturbation theory
 - 1) is a good approximation during spacelike separation
 - 2) begins to fail during light contact
- This range depends on the choice of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$



• Summary:

- There exists a range of sufficiently small λ values where perturbation theory
 - 1) is a good approximation during spacelike separation
 - 2) begins to fail during light contact
- This range depends on the choice of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$
- Perturbation theory fails as $\lambda \to \Omega$. Negativity oscillates wildly and diverges as λ grows



• Summary:

- There exists a range of sufficiently small λ values where perturbation theory
 - 1) is a good approximation during spacelike separation
 - 2) begins to fail during light contact
- This range depends on the choice of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$
- Perturbation theory fails as $\lambda \to \Omega$. Negativity oscillates wildly and diverges as λ grows
- Ongoing work:
 - Continuous switching
 - Field derivative coupling
 - Transience of finite time entanglement

