What quantum foundations teach us about black holes Ladina Hausmann ETH Zürich

Based on joint work with Renato Renner, arXiv:2504.03835

A black hole puzzle



Hayden, Preskill, 2007































S₂





U and V are typical unitaries





Typical unitarity \Longrightarrow















Combining the perspectives S_2 $|0\rangle_{S_1}|0\rangle_{S_2}$ U_{retrieve} S_1



 $|0\rangle_{S_2}$

The following premises lead to a contradiction: (Q) Universality of quantum theory (C) State compatibility

- (G) Gravity assumptions:
 - 1. Smooth horizon

 - 3. A black hole evolves according to a typical unitary
 - 4. ...

2. A black hole is a quantum system with a finite dimensional Hilbert space

Abstracting the puzzle













 S_2



R



Isolated system



















Combining the perspectives











 $|0\rangle_{S_2}$









Wigner's friend paradox!

(S₂)



The following premises lead to a contradiction: (Q) Universality of quantum theory (C) State compatibility

- (G) Gravity assumptions:
 - 1. Smooth horizon

 - 3. A black hole evolves according to a typical unitary
 - 4. ...

2. A black hole is a quantum system with a finite dimensional Hilbert space

The following premises lead to a contradiction: (Q) Universality of quantum theory (C) State compatibility (G) Gra rssumpt ch horizon k hole is a quantum system with a finite dimensional Hilbert space ole evolves according to a typical unitary A bla 4. ...

The following premises lead to a contradiction: (Q) Universality of quantum theory (C) State compatibility

What can we learn from this?





Application to other paradoxes

Quantum collaboration paradox: (Q) Universality of quantum theory



Frauchiger, Renner, 2018

Firewall Paradox: (Q) Universality of quantum theory (C) (x=0) (x=

Almheiri, Marolf, Polchinski, Sully, 2012





