Conceptual differences between mechanics and field theory

Marko Vojinović

Group for Gravitation, Particles and Fields, Institute of Physics Belgrade



CA23115 RQI COST Action & CA23130 BridgeQG COST Action

INTRODUCTION

The idea of this lecture is to distinguish the ontology of field theory from the ontology of mechanics, and emphasize differences between them.

I will discuss three statements, lessons we (should) have learned from field theory:

Statement 1: There are no particles!

Statement 2: There is no Schrödinger equation!

Statement 3: There are no initial conditions!

These sound deliberately provocative, in order stimulate a discussion...

And remember — gravity is a field!

NO PARTICLES

Experimental confusion, due to the Unruh effect — put an ideal particle detector in an ideal vacuum:

 \Rightarrow Keep the detector at rest — it does not click.

 \Rightarrow Shake the detector around — it starts clicking!

Are particles being detected? Is the real world made out of such particles?

Theoretical confusion — two very different concepts described as a "particle":

 \Rightarrow Electron and photon — described as delocalized plane wave solutions of field equations.

 \Rightarrow A hydrogen atom — described as a well localized kink solution of field equations.

A plane wave is not the same as a point-mass!

NO SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

Stone-von Neumann theorem: for a system of *countably* many dofs, the Heisenberg and the Scrödinger pictures are unitarily equivalent.

Haag theorem: for a system of *uncountably* many dofs, the Heisenberg and the Scrödinger pictures are *not* unitarily equivalent.

 \Rightarrow Harmonic oscillator equations of motion in mechanics, in Heisenberg picture:

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \omega^2\right]\hat{q}(t) = 0, \quad \text{can correspond to} \quad |\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\hat{H}(t-t_0)} |\psi(t_0)\rangle,$$

 \Rightarrow Harmonic oscillator equations of motion in field theory, in Heisenberg picture:

$$\left[\eta^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}} + m^2\right]\hat{\phi}(x) = 0\,, \qquad \text{corresponds only to} \qquad \hat{\phi}(x) = \int d^4y \ G(x-y) \ \hat{\phi}(y)\,.$$

Hamiltonian \hat{H} describes evolution in *time*,

while

propagator G describes evolution in time and in space!

NO BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Start from an action for N identical harmonic oscillators:

$$S[q] = \int dt \quad \sum_{k=1}^{N} \quad q_k(t) \quad \left[\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \qquad + \omega^2 \right] \quad q_k(t) \,.$$

Generalize to uncountably many identical harmonic oscillators, one at each point in space:

$$S[q] = \int dt \int d^3 \vec{x} \ q(t, \vec{x}) \left[\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \omega^2 \right] q(t, \vec{x})$$

Compare to the action of a Klein-Gordon field:

$$S[\phi] = \int dt \int d^3 \vec{x} \ \phi(t, \vec{x}) \left[\frac{d^2}{dt^2} - \nabla^2 + m^2 \right] \phi(t, \vec{x}) \,.$$

A purely spatial derivative (the red term) is alien to mechanics!

To avoid confusion, recall that ∇^2 term in the Schrödinger equation is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_k^2}, \quad \text{which is not the same as} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k^2} \quad (q \neq x \parallel !)$$

Initial conditions not enough, one must also deal with spatial boundary conditions!

THANK YOU!