High Precision X-ray Measurements 2025 16-20 June 2025, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati INFN

Beamlines of the EuPRAXIA@SPARC_LAB X-ray FEL facility

F. Nguyen on behalf of the EuPRAXIA@SPARC_LAB Collaboration





High Precision X-ray Measurements – INFN-Frascati – June 16th 2025

EuPRAXIA@SPARC_LAB: AQUA

1st international design of a plasma accelerator facility

EuPRAXIA is designed to deliver at 10-100 Hz ultrashort pulses of

- Electrons (0.1-5 GeV, 30 pC)
- Positrons (0.5-10 MeV, 10⁶)
- Positrons (GeV source)
- Lasers (100 J, 50 fs, 10-100 Hz)
- Betatron X rays (5-18 keV, 10¹⁰)
- FEL light (0.2-36 nm, 10⁹-10¹³)

The AQUA (water in *Latin*) beamline of the EuPRAXIA@SPARC_LAB project is a FEL facility to be operated in Self-Amplified Stimulated Emission SASE for experiments around 4-10 nm wavelength, i.e. 120-310 eV photon energy. At 3-4 nm we have lower attenuation length in water \rightarrow water window relevant to study biological samples

See F. Stellato's talk for more details

ESFRI certified EuPRAXIA through intermediate goals, as stated in the CDR (from R. Aßmann):

- 150 MeV → 1 GeV → 5 GeV (FEL + other applications)
- 1 plasma stage → 2 plasma stages → multiple
- factor 3 facility size reduction → factor 10
- low charge, 10 Hz e⁻ beam applications (and e⁺ generation)
 - \rightarrow high charge, 10 Hz \rightarrow 100 Hz applications (FEL)





EuPRAXIA@SPARC_LAB: ARIA

The **ARIA** (air in *Italian*) beamline of the EuPRAXIA@SPARC_LAB project is going to be an **EUV-VUV** seeded HGHG FEL facility for gas phase (50-180 nm), providing longitudinal coherence 10-100 μ J pulse energy class, with continuous tunability and selectable polarization \rightarrow less demanding electron beam parameter space \rightarrow user operations at early stage



Light induced molecule ring structure opening reactions to be studied with time resolved photoemission spectroscopy \rightarrow formation of the previtamin D₃ in the skin under the sun \leftarrow absorption of UV rays

Vitamin D involves several biological functions and ring opening is an aspect

S. Pathak et al., Nat. Chem. 12 (2020) 795



- No other seeded FEL facility covers the full 50-180 nm range, except for the DALIAN light source
- Overlap with HHG sources, but without limitations on polarization, wavelength tuning & intensity
- Can be synchronized with HHG sources or external lasers for multicolor multi-pulse pump and probe operations



Time: EuPRAXIA@SPARC_LAB timeline



ENE

Space: EuPRAXIA@SPARC_LAB layout





Light from magnetic undulators: strength order



Traditional and cheapest design





Permanent

Magnets

Vacuum

Chamber

Permanent

Magnets

Cryogenic PMU



Superconducting



Highest B and SC electromagn. coils

Best performance

Magnets inside vacuum but not cheap & no polarization Good performance

Improved B but not cost-effective, also increased complexity Better performance



EuPRAXIA@SPARC_LAB FEL beamlines



Two foreseen FEL beamlines:

1) AQUA: Soft-X ray SASE FEL – Water window optimized for 4 nm (baseline)

SASE FEL: 10 UM Modules, 2 m each – Two technologies under study: Apple-X PMU and planar SCU

2) ARIA: VUV seeded HGHG FEL beamline for gas phase



Variable polarization undulator for AQUA



Polarization: variable polarization meets the scientific case requests \rightarrow circ. polar. guarantees high gain (~ L_{mod})

Advanced Planar Polarized Light Emitter-type: APPLE-X much higher field at the same undulator aperture \rightarrow extended tuning range, K_{max} independent of polarization \rightarrow fully symmetric



5.25

 # of periods (eff.) N = 110 (L_u=1990mm)



max λ_0 (nm) (@ 1 GeV)

FEL performance vs. beam energy



Tunability in beam energy $\gamma m_e c^2$ and in undulator gap g_u weighted in terms of photons number $\lambda = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2} \left(1 + a_w^2(g_u)\right)$

Circular Polarization:

wider undulator gap tunability than Linear
→ "water window" wavelengths probed
with higher photon yields and shorter
saturation lengths
By increasing beam energy → chance to
cover 3nm wavelength
The same undulator beamline can smoothly
operate in the 0.7-1.2 GeV range

Linear Polarization:

undulator gap gives limited lever arm shorter $\lambda \rightarrow$ lower K values \rightarrow lower power and smaller tunability



0.75

0.8

0.85

0.9

0.95

Beam Energy (GeV)

1.05

1.1

0.7

1.2

1.15

FEL acceptance on other parameters



- Modified Ming Xie-Dattoli model to analyze the FEL performance
- Working point: photon energy of 4 nm = 310 eV at 1 GeV
- Gaussian beam in current, energy, energy spread, transverse momenta and spatial distributions
- Peak current 1.5 kA, FWHM bunch duration 15 fs, average β_x= β_y =10 m, and ε_x= ε_{y.}

Circular polarization operations can be sustained even with non-optimal beam parameters

Effects of non-optimal parameters can be partially compensated by decreasing the Twiss β values

FEL performance from realistic beam distributions

electron beam parameter	Value
charge [pC]	29.5
beam energy [GeV] ([Lorentz γ])	0.995~(1946)
peak current [kA]	3.3
slice (proj.) rel. energy spread $[\%]$	0.2 (0.5)
slice emittance x,y [mm \times mrad]	0.69, 0.66

Α	QUA @ 4 nm
	wavelength
with	n undulators set
	cular polarization

FEL light yield	Value
$E_{pulse} \ [\mu J]$	19.6
N_{γ} [10 ¹¹]	3.93
L_{sat} [m]	21
peak λ [nm]	4.011
bandwidth [%]	0.16
trans. size $[\mu m]$	165
divergence $[\mu rad]$	32



Start-2-end electron beam current and emittance profiles: the best slice is shown

100 replicas of the machine are generated via an MC approach, randomly sampling from below distributions
→ produced e⁻ bunches are transferred to the FEL

Subsystem	Parameter	Jitter (RMS)
S-band Gun and Accelerating Sections	RF Voltage	±0.02 %
S-baild Guil and Accelerating Sections	RF Phase	±0.02°
X-band Accelerating Sections	RF Voltage	±0.02 %
A-band Accelerating Sections	RF Phase	±0.10°
	Charge	±1 %
Cathode Laser System	Time of arrival	±20 fs
	Spot size	±1 %
Plasma Accelerator	Density	±1%

ENEL

Linac jitter effects on the FEL performance



3.8 3.9 4.0 4.1 4.2 4.3 Resonant wavelength [nm]

3.7

ARIA baseline layout



Radiator undulators for ARIA

Two options under scrutiny

(1)

APPLE-II Similar to FERMI FEL-1 radiators built by KYMA in 2009-2010

Main features:

- variable gap, variable phase for adjustable polarization (six motors)
- $\lambda_u = 55.2 \text{ mm}, Np = 42, L_u = 2.4 \text{ m}$
- working gap: 10 ÷ 32 mm



(2) APPLE X Similar to AQUA radiators built by KYMA in 2022-2024 for SABINA

Main features:

- variable gap, variable phase for adjustable polarization – K_{RMS} independent of polarization
- $\lambda_u = 48 \text{ mm}, Np = 41, L_u = 2.0 \text{ m}$
- working gap: 10 ÷ 32 mm





ARIA performance with realistic beam distributions

From 10 replicas of 50 pC charge electron beams		
beam parameter	average value	
beam energy [MeV]	1001	
peak current [kA]	2.16	
proj. energy spread [MeV]	4	
slice energy spread [keV]	76	
slice emittance x,y $[mm \times mrad]$	1.24,0.98	

FEL light yield	$n = 3, \lambda = 153 \text{ nm}$	$n = 9, \lambda = 51 \text{ nm}$
	after 3 modules	after 4 modules
rel. λ deviation [%]	1.0	1.2
pulse energy $[\mu J]$	127	53
bandwidth [%]	1.5	0.6
FWHM duration [fs]	18	17
trans. size [mm]	0.8	0.4
divergence [mrad]	0.3	0.08
saturation length [m]	2.9	5.5

SASE

Circular polarization ARIA





Conclusions

- ✓ The undulator adopted for the AQUA beamline consists of an out-of-vacuum APPLE-X: a well-known technology that allows selectable polarization and fine tuning in the water window → the same LINAC+undulator line is able to sustain E > 1 GeV beam energies and the target FEL performance is stable against variations on energy spread and transverse emittance
- Full time-dependent results with realistic electron beam distributions (from the cathode to the undulator entrance) even accounting for jitters from the reference values show that AQUA will be able to deliver 10¹¹ photons/pulse with narrow bandwidth and small deviations from the target performance
- ✓ Feasibility and expected performance of a flexible and cost-effective EUV user facility delivering 15-100 fs duration FEL pulses close to Fourier transform limit are investigated, also making use of the plasma beam driven realistic distributions → selectable polarization VUV light will allow to explore chirality and dichroism in biotic media

Please, stay FEL-tuned!

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to

I. Balossino, F. Curciarello, A. Del Dotto, M. Del Franco, A. Ghigo, L. Giannessi, A. Giribono, A. Liedl, M. Opromolla, A. Petralia, V. Petrillo, S. Romeo, A.R. Rossi, L. Sabbatini, A. Selce, G. Silvi, C. Vaccarezza

Thank you 4 your attention



AQUA tuning range: choice of the period λ_u

FEL performance evaluated with Ming Xie-Dattoli scaling formulae accounting for 60% filling factor

- Target wavelength 3-4 nm @ 1 GeV: relatively short period required (12-20 mm)
- Beta function constrains alignment tolerance and undulator module length (~ gain length)

Parameter	Symbol	Units	D (CDR)
Charge	Q	рС	30
Energy	Е	GeV	1
Peak current	I _{peak}	kA	1.8
Bunch length	σz	μm	2
Proj. norm. emittances (x/y)	E _{n,x,y}	mm-mrad	1.7
Slice, norm. emittances (x/y)	<pre> <i>ɛ</i>_{n,x,y} </pre>	mm-mrad	0.8
Proj. energy spread	$\sigma_{\delta ext{p}}$	%	0.95
Slice Energy spread	$\sigma_{\delta ext{s}}$	%	0.05



$$\lambda_{\rm res} = \frac{\lambda_{\rm u}}{2\gamma^2} \left[1 + \frac{{\rm K}^2(g_{\rm u})}{2} \right]$$

From the K vs. gap formulae of a planar PMU with remanent $B_r = 1.2T$, magnetic gap=6 mm, beam stay clear=5 mm:

- 1) 18mm implies tuning range, plus saturation length contingency if operating at 4nm wavelength;
- 2) 16mm improves the saturation length limit, but almost no tuning range



ARIA: other flavors of two-color operations





Some already explored !!

1. V. Petrillo, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 114802 (2013) <- SPARC experiment in 2012

2. Ferrari, E., et al. Widely tunable two-colour seeded free-electron laser source for resonantpump resonant-probe magnetic scattering. Nat Commun. 7, 10343 (2016).



ARIA with long bunch & low current

		- 8-	
Long e-beam	From LINA		
Charge (pC)	200		
Bunch length (rms, μm)	34	6 1	
Energy (GeV)	0.8 - 1.2		
Peak current (kA)	0.7		_
Slice energy spread (%)	0.01		-
Slice norm. emittance (mm mrad)	0.5		
		×10	
Output pulse HN	N=3 HN=9		
λ (nm) 1.	53 51		
	12 150	0 50 100 150	200
$E(\mu J)$ 88	80 180	Courtesy of M. Opromolla 🛛 🔉 (µm)	
Size (mm) 0.	85 0.35		
Div. (mrad) 0.	26 <u>0.11</u>		

Intensity and spectrum stable, ultra-narrow bandwidth pulses are produced with longer electron bunches \rightarrow high intensity allows monochromator for spectrum enhancement

Time-BW product ()

3.8

2.7

AQUA @4nm with APPLE-X: selectable polarization

focusing:
$$h_x = 2.3, h_y = -0.3$$

focusing: $h_x = h_y = 1$

