Machine-Learned Fixed-Point Actions in Four-Dimensional SU(3) Gauge Theory

Andreas Ipp

Institute for Theoretical Physics, TU Wien, Austria

EuCAIFCon 2025 Cagliari, Sardinia, June 18, 2025





Der Wissenschaftsfonds.



Overview

Lattice gauge equivariant convolutional neural networks (L-CNNs)



Favoni, AI, Müller, Schuh, Phys.Rev.Lett. 128 (2022) 032003



Andreas Ipp

David Müller

Open source: https://gitlab.com/openpixi/lge-cnn

Learning fixed-point actions



Holland, AI, Müller, Wenger, Phys.Rev.D 110 (2024) 7, 074502 Holland, AI, Müller, Wenger, arXiv:2504.15870





Kieran Holland (U. Pacific) Urs Wenger (U. Bern)

Machine-Learned Fixed-Point Actions

QCD on the lattice

Lattice Gauge Theory allows for precision determination of non-perturbative quantities in quantum chromodynamics (QCD).

Calculate hadron masses, decay constants, thermodynamic quantities at finite temperature (QCD phase transition), topological properties, or glueball spectra.

Solve Feynman path integral numerically using Monte Carlo methods

Gauge transformation: Rotation in internal color space at each space-time point

Wilson action for gluons:

$$S_W[U] = \frac{2}{g^2} \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \sum_{\mu < \nu} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathbb{1} - U_{x,\mu\nu} \right]$$



Machine learning approaches:

- Normalizing flow Kanwar, Albergo, Boyda, Cranmer, Hackett, Racanière, Rezende, Shanahan (2020), ...

- Continuous normalizing flow Gerdes, de Haan, Bondesan, Cheng (2024)

- Diffusion models Zhu, Aarts, Wang, Zhou, Wang (2024)

- Fixed point action Holland, AI, Müller, Wenger (2024)

Gauge transformation of link variables:

$$U_{\mu}(n) \rightarrow U'_{\mu}(n) = \Omega(n) U_{\mu}(n) \Omega(n+\hat{\mu})^{\dagger}$$

Machine-Learned Fixed-Point Actions

Quantum field theory on a lattice



Partition function for $SU(N_c)$ gauge theory



Expectation values of observables can be calculated as

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\xi}(\beta) \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}U \exp\{-\beta A[U]\} \mathcal{O}_{\xi}[U]$$

for a characteristic length scale ξ

Renormalization group transformation



Introduce a (real space) renormalization group transformation (RGT) $\exp\{-\beta'A'[V]\} = \int \mathscr{D}U \exp\{-\beta(A[U] + T[U, V])\}$ Blocking kernel
Blocking kernel
The function of the transformation of the transformation (RGT)
The function of the transformation of the transformation (RGT)
The function of the transformation of the transformation (RGT)
The function of the transformation of

The fixed point is the saddle point in the classical limit $\beta \to \infty$, which can be found by a minimization condition.

P. Hasenfratz, F. Niedermayer, Nucl.Phys.B 414 (1994) 785

The effective action $\beta' A'[V]$ is described

by infinitely many couplings $\{c'_{\alpha}\}$

Blocking kernel



Choice of blocking kernel determines how couplings are modified across scales.

Renormalization group transformation and Fixed point action



Fixed point action using older parametrizations



fit to $V(r) = V_0 - \frac{\alpha}{r} + \sigma r$

Extraction of string tension σ



Niedermayer, Rüfenacht, Wenger, Nucl.Phys.B 597 (2001) 413, hep-lat/0007007

Machine-Learned Fixed-Point Actions

Symmetries on the lattice

Translational symmetry

 → Convolutional neural networks (CNNs)



Bulusu, Favoni, Al, Müller, Schuh, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 074504





Cohen, Welling, ICML 2016

Lattice gauge symmetry

→ Lattice gauge equivariant CNNs (L-CNNs)



Favoni, AI, Müller, Schuh, Phys.Rev.Lett. 128 (2022) 032003

L-CNN data

Combine lattice links *U* and locally transforming objects *W*

tuple $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$

 $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{x,\mu}\} \text{ SU}(N_c) \text{ matrices} \\ \mathcal{W} = \{W_{x,i}\} \text{ with } W_{x,i} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_c \times N_c}$



from: Gattringer, Lang (2010)

Gauge transformation

 $T_{\Omega}U_{x,\mu} = \Omega_x U_{x,\mu} \Omega_{x+\mu}^{\dagger}$ $T_{\Omega}W_{x,i} = \Omega_x W_{x,i} \Omega_x^{\dagger}$

Gauge equivariant (gauge covariant) function

$$f(T_{\Omega}\mathcal{U}, T_{\Omega}\mathcal{W}) = T'_{\Omega}f(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$$

Gauge invariant function

$$f(T_{\Omega}\mathcal{U}, T_{\Omega}\mathcal{W}) = f(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$$



Lattice gauge equivariant layers

Convolution (L-Conv)



Convolution wish shared weights and proper parallel transport along coordinate axes

$$W'_{\mathbf{x},i} = \sum_{j,\mu,k} (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}')$$
$$W'_{\mathbf{x},i} = \sum_{j,\mu,k} \omega_{i,j,\mu,k} U_{\mathbf{x},k\cdot\mu} W_{\mathbf{x}+k\cdot\mu,j} U_{\mathbf{x},k\cdot\mu}^{\dagger}$$



Bilinear layer (L-Bilin)



Trace layer

Multiply W at each lattice point $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \times (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}') \rightarrow (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}'')$ $W''_{\mathbf{x},i} = \sum_{j,k} \alpha_{ijk} W_{\mathbf{x},j} W'_{\mathbf{x},k}$ Generate gauge invariant output

 $w_{\mathbf{x},i} = \operatorname{Tr} W_{\mathbf{x},i} \in \mathbb{C}$



Machine-Learned Fixed-Point Actions

Generic L-CNN



gauge inv. output

Favoni, AI, Müller, Schuh, Phys.Rev.Lett. 128 (2022) 032003

L-Bilin:

* bilinear layer, product of locally transforming objects

L-Act:

* activation functions multiply W objects by scalar, gauge-invariant functions

L-Exp:

* update link variables using exponential map

Machine-Learned Fixed-Point Actions

Trace:

* calculate gauge invariant trace

Plag:

* generate all possible plaquettes

Polv:

* generate all possible Polyakov loops

Fixed point action using L-CNNs

Parametrize action model in particular way:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{L-CNN}}[V] = \sum_{x} \mathcal{A}_{x}^{\text{pre}}[V] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b^{(n)} (N_{x}[V] - N_{x}[\mathbb{1}])^{n}$$
Prefactor controls continuum behavior L-CNN

Loss function combines action values and its derivatives $\mathcal{L} = w_1 \mathcal{L}_1 + w_2 \mathcal{L}_2$

$$\mathcal{L}_{1} = \frac{1}{L^{4} N_{\text{cfg}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{cfg}}} |\mathcal{A}^{\text{FP}}[V_{i}] - \mathcal{A}^{\text{L-CNN}}[V_{i}]|,$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{2} = \frac{1}{32L^{4} N_{\text{cfg}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{cfg}}} \sum_{x,\mu} \text{Tr} \left[(D_{x,\mu}^{\text{FP}}[V_{i}] - D_{x,\mu}^{\text{L-CNN}}[V_{i}])^{2} \right]$$

Technical remark: derivatives of L-CNNs are obtained through backpropagation

Machine-Learned Fixed-Point Actions

Scan through various architectures



Supervised learning from coarse configurations and corresponding minimized action values on fine configurations.

Also use derivatives of fixed point action for learning.

Holland, AI, Müller, Wenger, Phys.Rev.D 110 (2024) 7, 074502

Train 130 models of various sizes for 4⁴ lattice, SU(3) gauge group, and $\beta_{wil} \in [5,10]$.

Learning the fixed point action with L-CNNs



L-CNN superior to older parametrizations of FP action.

Best model: L-CNN with 3 layers with 12, 24, 24 channels and kernel size 2, 2, 1. L-CNN: Holland, AI, Müller, Wenger, Phys.Rev.D 110 (2024) 7, 074502 APE444, APE431: Niedermayer, Rüfenacht, Wenger (2000) Type IIIa, IIIb, IIIc: Blatter, Niedermayer (1996) Quadratic, Type I, II: DeGrand, Hasenfratz, Blatter, Niedermayer (1995)

Machine-Learned Fixed-Point Actions

Properties of the learned FP action



Machine-Learned Fixed-Point Actions

Hybrid Monte Carlo

 $H(p,U) = \frac{p^2}{2} + \mathcal{A}(U) \qquad \frac{dU}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} = p$ add momentum $p \qquad \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial U} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial U}$

- sample momenta p
- integrate eqs. of motion (leapfrog, Omelyan)
- correct for H non-conservation

$$\langle \exp(-\Delta H) \rangle = 1$$



Gradient flow



Machine-Learned Fixed-Point Actions

HMC + Gradient Flow with learned fixed point action

Hybrid Monte Carlo (HMC) with learned fixed point (FP) action.

Gradient flow (GF) with learned FP action. (GF with FP action is classically perfect!)

GF scale definitions:

$$t^{2} \langle E \rangle|_{t=t_{0.3}} = 0.3$$
$$t^{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(t^{2} \langle E \rangle \right)|_{t=w_{0.3}^{2}} = 0.3$$

Holland, AI, Müller, Wenger, Phys.Rev.D 110 (2024) 7, 074502

Machine-Learned Fixed-Point Actions



Comparison of various continuum predictions



Fixed point (FP) action shows very good consistency with best results from Wilson or Symanzik improved lattice actions for 4D SU(3).

Holland, AI, Müller, Wenger, arXiv:2504.15870

Summary

- L-CNNs achieve higher accuracy than previous hand-crafted parametrizations
- Fixed-point (FP) actions define a classically perfect gradient flow
- FP actions reduces lattice artifacts in gradient-flow observables

Outlook:

- Measure various quantities (critical temperature, glueballs) on the lattice using the FP action
- Construct quantum perfect actions



Open source: https://gitlab.com/openpixi/lge-cnn

Machine-Learned Fixed-Point Actions