# Tensor force, Equation of states and Particlevibration coupling

### Ligang Cao Beijing Normal University

Multifaceted aspects of collaborative research on nuclear structure at UNIMI and INFN-MI

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO INFN

Celebrating F.Camera's, G.Colò's and S.Leoni's 60th birthday



they

talking

about?

### **Ligang Cao Beijing Normal University**

Multifaceted aspects of collaborative research on nuclear structure at UNIMI and INFN-MI

UNIVERSITÀ **DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO** 

elebrating F.Camera's, G.Colò's and S.Leoni's 60th birthday



## Gets to know (2004) and meet (2007) Gianluca



LNS Skyrme effective interaction

Euro-Asian Link

Exoct 07 at Catania



# As postdoc, works with Gianluca 2008

- 1. Skyrme tensor force and its applications
- **2. Equation of states of nuclear matter**
- **3. Single-particle states from particle-vibration coupling**
- Totally published 18 papers, 14 papers in the journals and 4 papers contributed the proceedings of the conferences.

# **1** Skyrme Tensor force and its applications

$$V_{tensor} = \frac{T}{2} \{ [(\sigma_1 \cdot k')(\sigma_2 \cdot k') - \frac{1}{3}(\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)k'^2] \delta(r_1 - r_2) \\ + \delta(r_1 - r_2) [(\sigma_1 \cdot k)(\sigma_2 \cdot k) - \frac{1}{3}(\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)k^2] \} \\ + U[(\sigma_1 \cdot k')\delta(r_1 - r_2)(\sigma_2 \cdot k) - \frac{1}{3}(\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)\delta(r_1 - r_2)(k' \cdot k)]$$

The energy density founctional for centeral exchange and tensor part:

$$H_{sg} = -\frac{1}{16}(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2)J^2 + \frac{1}{16}(t_1 - t_2)[J_p^2 + J_n^2] \qquad \qquad J_q(r)$$
  
$$H_{tensor} = \frac{5}{24}(T + U)J_nJ_p + \frac{5}{24}U(J_n^2 + J_p^2) \qquad \text{is the spin density}$$

$$\Delta H = \frac{1}{2} \alpha (J_n^2 + J_p^2) + \beta J_n J_p \qquad \alpha = \alpha_c + \alpha_T, \beta = \beta_c + \beta_T$$

$$\alpha_{c} = \frac{1}{8}(t_{1} - t_{2}) - \frac{1}{8}(t_{1}x_{1} + t_{2}x_{2}); \beta_{c} = -\frac{1}{8}(t_{1}x_{1} + t_{2}x_{2})$$
$$\alpha_{T} = \frac{5}{12}U; \beta_{T} = \frac{5}{24}(T + U)$$









G. Colo, et. al. PLB646(2007)227

$$\alpha_{c} = 80.2 MeV fm^{5}$$
$$\beta_{c} = -48.9 MeV fm^{5}$$
$$\alpha_{T} = -170 MeV fm^{5}$$
$$\beta_{T} = 100 MeV fm^{5}$$
$$\alpha = -89.8 MeV fm^{5}$$
$$\beta = 51.1 MeV fm^{5}$$
$$T = 888.0 MeV fm^{5}$$
$$U = -408.0 MeV fm^{5}$$

 $\mathcal{E}_{h9/2}$ 

# We included Skyrme tensor force into RPA to investigate its effects on excited states

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 80, 064304 (2009)

#### Effects of the tensor force on the multipole response in finite nuclei

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 81, 044302 (2010)

#### Spin and spin-isospin instabilities and Landau parameters of Skyrme interactions with tensor correlations

Li-Gang Cao (曹李刚),<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> Gianluca Colò,<sup>3,4</sup> and Hiroyuki Sagawa<sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Science, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 83, 034324 (2011)

#### Effects of tensor correlations on low-lying collective states in finite nuclei

Li-Gang Cao (曹李刚),<sup>1,2,3</sup> H. Sagawa,<sup>2</sup> and G. Colò<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Science, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China <sup>2</sup>Center for Mathematics and Physics, University of Aizu, Aizu-Wakamatsu, Fukushima 965-8560, Japan <sup>3</sup>Center of Theoretical Nuclear Physics, National Laboratory of Heavy Ion Accelerator of Lanzhou, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China

<sup>4</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano, via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy <sup>5</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Sez. di Milano, via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy (Received 4 January 2011; revised manuscript received 17 February 2011; published 29 March 2011)

We present a systematic analysis of the effects induced by tensor correlations on low-lying collective states of magic nuclei, by using the fully self-consistent random phase approximation (RPA) model with Skyrme interactions. The role of the tensor correlations is analyzed in detail in the case of quadrupole  $(2^+)$  and octupole  $(3^-)$  low-lying collective states in <sup>208</sup>Pb. The example of <sup>40</sup>Ca is also discussed, as well as the case of magnetic dipole states  $(1^+)$ .

# **ISGQR:**

### Cao L. G. et.al., PRC 80, 064304(2009)



# **ISGQR:**



# M1 states:

### Cao L. G. et.al., PRC 80, 064304(2009)



#### PHYSICAL REVIEW C 102, 034327 (2020)

#### Electric and magnetic dipole strength in <sup>112,114,116,118,120,124</sup>Sn

S. Bassauer,<sup>1,\*</sup> P. von Neumann-Cosel<sup>(0)</sup>,<sup>1,†</sup> P.-G. Reinhard,<sup>2</sup> A. Tamii,<sup>3</sup> S. Adachi,<sup>3</sup> C. A. Bertulani,<sup>4</sup> P. Y. Chan,<sup>3</sup>
A. D'Alessio,<sup>1</sup> H. Fujioka,<sup>5</sup> H. Fujita,<sup>3</sup> Y. Fujita,<sup>3</sup> G. Gey,<sup>3</sup> M. Hilcker,<sup>1</sup> T. H. Hoang,<sup>3</sup> A. Inoue,<sup>3</sup> J. Isaak,<sup>1,3</sup> C. Iwamoto,<sup>6</sup>
T. Klaus,<sup>1</sup> N. Kobayashi,<sup>3</sup> Y. Maeda,<sup>7</sup> M. Matsuda,<sup>8</sup> N. Nakatsuka,<sup>1</sup> S. Noji,<sup>9</sup> H. J. Ong,<sup>10,3</sup> I. Ou,<sup>11</sup> N. Pietralla,<sup>1</sup>
V. Yu. Ponomarev,<sup>1</sup> M. S. Reen,<sup>12</sup> A. Richter,<sup>1</sup> M. Singer,<sup>1</sup> G. Steinhilber,<sup>1</sup> T. Sudo,<sup>3</sup> Y. Togano,<sup>13</sup>
M. Tsumura,<sup>14</sup> Y. Watanabe,<sup>15</sup> and V. Werner<sup>1</sup>
<sup>1</sup>Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, D-64289 Darmstadt, Germany
<sup>2</sup>Institut für Theoretische Physik II, Universität Erlangen, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany
<sup>3</sup>Research Center for Nuclear Physics, Osaka University, Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan
<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Texas A&M University–Commerce, Commerce, Texas 75429, USA
<sup>5</sup>Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan
<sup>6</sup>RIKEN, Nishina Center for Accelerator-Based Science, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako 351-0198, Saitama, Japan
<sup>7</sup>Department of Applied Physics, Miyazaki University, Miyazaki 889-2192, Japan



# Pairing effect:







M1 states:

Sun S. et.al., PRC 109, 014312(2024)





M1 states:

Sun S. et.al., PRC 109, 014312(2024)



	T	U	$\alpha$	eta	$lpha_C$	$eta_C$	$lpha_T$	$eta_T$
SLy5	888.0	-408.0	-89.8	51.1	80.2	-48.9	-170.0	100.0
T11	258.9	-342.8	-60.0	-60.0	82.8	-42.5	-142.8	-17.5
T15	-500.9	173.3	180.0	-60.0	107.8	8.3	72.2	-68.3



### **2.** Equation of states of nuclear matter

$$\frac{E}{A}(\rho, I) \approx E_{SNM}(\rho) + S_2(\rho)I^2$$

$$E_{SNM}(\rho) = E_0 + \frac{K_0}{2} \left(\frac{\rho - \rho_0}{3\rho_0}\right)^2 + \frac{Q_0}{6} \left(\frac{\rho - \rho_0}{3\rho_0}\right)^3 + O(4)$$

$$S_{2}(\rho) = E_{sym} + L\left(\frac{\rho - \rho_{0}}{3\rho_{0}}\right) + \frac{K_{sym}}{2}\left(\frac{\rho - \rho_{0}}{3\rho_{0}}\right)^{2} + \frac{Q_{sym}}{6}\left(\frac{\rho - \rho_{0}}{3\rho_{0}}\right)^{3} + O(4)$$

 $K_{0} = 9\rho_{0}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} E_{SNM}(\rho)}{\partial \rho^{2}} \bigg|_{\rho = \rho_{0}}$  $L = 3\rho_{0} \frac{\partial S_{2}(\rho)}{\partial \rho} \bigg|_{\rho = \rho_{0}}$ 

Nuclear structure Heavy ion collision Physics of neutron star PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 6 MARCH 2009

#### Search for the Pygmy Dipole Resonance in <sup>68</sup>Ni at 600 MeV/nucleon

O. Wieland,<sup>1</sup> A. Bracco,<sup>1,2</sup> F. Camera,<sup>1,2</sup> G. Benzoni,<sup>1</sup> N. Blasi,<sup>1</sup> S. Brambilla,<sup>1</sup> F. C. L. Crespi,<sup>1,2</sup> S. Leoni,<sup>1,2</sup> B. Million,<sup>1</sup> R. Nicolini,<sup>1,2</sup> A. Maj,<sup>3</sup> P. Bednarczyk,<sup>3</sup> J. Grebosz,<sup>3</sup> M. Kmiecik,<sup>3</sup> W. Meczynski,<sup>3</sup> J. Styczen,<sup>3</sup> T. Aumann,<sup>4</sup> A. Banu,<sup>4</sup> T. Beck,<sup>4</sup> F. Becker,<sup>4</sup> L. Caceres,<sup>4,\*</sup> P. Doornenbal,<sup>4,†</sup> H. Emling,<sup>4</sup> J. Gerl,<sup>4</sup> H. Geissel,<sup>4</sup> M. Gorska,<sup>4</sup> O. Kavatsyuk,<sup>4</sup> M. Kavatsyuk,<sup>4</sup> I. Kojouharov,<sup>4</sup> N. Kurz,<sup>4</sup> R. Lozeva,<sup>4</sup> N. Saito,<sup>4</sup> T. Saito,<sup>4</sup> H. Schaffner,<sup>4</sup> H. J. Wollersheim,<sup>3</sup> J. Jolie,<sup>5</sup> P. Reiter,<sup>5</sup> N. Warr,<sup>5</sup> G. deAngelis,<sup>6</sup> A. Gadea,<sup>6</sup> D. Napoli,<sup>6</sup> S. Lenzi,<sup>7,8</sup> S. Lunardi,<sup>7,8</sup> D. Balabanski,<sup>9,10</sup> G. LoBianco,<sup>9,10</sup> C. Petrache,<sup>9,‡</sup> A. Saltarelli,<sup>9,10</sup> M. Castoldi,<sup>11</sup> A. Zucchiatti,<sup>11</sup> J. Walker,<sup>12</sup> and A. Bürger<sup>13,§</sup> 10 da/dE [mb/MeV] GDR PDR Total 0.1 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 E<sub>v</sub>[MeV] 2024/10/18



#### The Pygmy dipole states were also found in 20,22O and 130,132Sn



2024/10/18







**Andrea Carbone Gianluca** Colo, Angela Bracco, Li-**Gang Cao, Pier** Francesco **Bortignon, Franco** Camera, and **Oliver Wieland**, **Phys. Rev. C 81,** 041301(R) (2010).

### Anti-analog giant dipole resonance and the symmetry energy



Spin-isospin GRs

\_

1) Spin dipole, Yako, PRC74, 051303(R) (2006)

$$S_{-} - S_{+} = \frac{9}{4\pi} (N \langle r^{2} \rangle_{n} - Z \langle r^{2} \rangle_{p}),$$

2) In Krmpotic's work, they claimed that the excitation energy of the AGDR is sensitive to the neutron skin thickness.

F. Krmpotic, K. Nakayama, and A. Pio Galeao, Nucl. Phys. A 399, 478 (1983). 2024/10/18





Method	Ref.	Date	$\Delta R_{np}(\text{fm})$
antiproton absorption	[31]	2001	$0.180 \pm 0.030$
$(\alpha, \alpha')$ IVGDR	[69]	2004	$0.120 \pm 0.070$
PDR	[43]	2010	$0.194 \pm 0.024$
$(\vec{p},\vec{p'})$	[35]	2011	$0.156 \pm 0.025$
$\alpha_D$	[41]	2012	$0.168 \pm 0.022$
parity violation	[29]	2012	$0.330 \pm 0.170$
AGDR from Exp1	[57]	2013	$0.216 \pm 0.048$
AGDR from Exp2	[54]	2013	$0.190 \pm 0.028$
$(\gamma, \pi^0)$	[1]	2014	$0.150 \pm 0.030$
AGDR from Exp1	present	2015	$0.254 \pm 0.062$
AGDR from Exp2	present	2015	$0.218 \pm 0.015$



 $R_np = 0.236 \pm 0.018$ fm  $J = 33.2 \pm 1.0$  MeV  $L = 97.3 \pm 11.2$  MeV



2024/10/18

### **3.** Single-particle states from particle-vibration coupling

# limitations of EDFT

- Widths of GRs.
- Single-particle states and their spectroscopic factors



### Our fully self-consistent implementation

The continuum is discretized. The basis must be large due to the zerorange character of the force. Parameters: R, E<sub>C</sub>.

The energy-weighted sum rule should be equal to the doublecommutator value: well fulfilled !



G. Colò, L. Cao, N. Van Giai, L. Capelli Comp. Phys. Comm. 184, 142 (2013).

Percentages m<sub>1</sub>(RPA)/m<sub>1</sub>(DC) [%]





FIG. 1. The four diagrams associated with the single-nucleon self-energy. See the text for details.

$$\Sigma_{i}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2j_{i}+1} \left( \sum_{nL, p>F} \frac{|\langle i||V||p, nL \rangle|^{2}}{\omega - \varepsilon_{p} - \omega_{nL} + i\eta} + \sum_{nL, h < F} \frac{|\langle i||V||h, nL \rangle|^{2}}{\omega - \varepsilon_{h} + \omega_{nL} - i\eta} \right),$$

2024/10/18





### Spectroscopic factor

$$S_{\alpha}^{\lambda} = \left(1 - \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\alpha}}{\partial \varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\alpha}^{\lambda}}^{-1}$$

TABLE IV: The energies and spectroscopic factors of the single-particle states in  $^{208}$ Pb in various approximations. The results are obtained by using SLy5 and T44 parameter sets. The experimental data are taken from Ref.[31, 32].

		$_{\mathrm{HF}}$	$\mathbf{pvc}$		$\operatorname{pvc}$		pvc			Spectroscopic		
			$\operatorname{central}$		central + S.O.		full			fac	factors	
		$\varepsilon^{(0)}$	$\Delta \varepsilon_i$	$\varepsilon_i$	$\Delta \varepsilon_i$	$\varepsilon_i$	$\Delta \varepsilon_i$	$\varepsilon_i$	$\varepsilon_i^{exp}$	$S_i^{th}$	$S_i^{exp}$	
T44	$3d_{3/2}$	0.20	-0.55	-0.35	-0.44	-0.24	-0.44	-0.24	-1.40	0.895	1.09	
	$2g_{7/2}$	0.14	-0.85	-0.71	-0.53	-0.39	-0.61	-0.47	-1.44	0.832	1.05	
	$4s_{1/2}$	-0.35	-0.48	-0.83	-0.50	-0.85	-0.47	-0.82	-1.90	0.896	0.98	
	$3d_{5/2}$	-0.88	-0.72	-1.60	-0.81	-1.69	-0.81	-1.69	-2.37	0.855	0.98	
	$1j_{15/2}$	-0.30	-0.87	-1.17	-1.80	-2.10	-1.77	-2.07	-2.51	0.583	0.58	
	$1i_{11/2}$	-2.19	-0.39	-2.58	-0.51	-2.70	-0.57	-2.76	-3.16	0.884	0.86	
	$2g_{9/2}$	-3.28	-0.52	-3.80	-0.69	-3.97	-0.68	-3.96	-3.94	0.877	0.83	
	$3p_{1/2}$	-7.91	-0.08	-7.99	0.04	-7.87	0.03	-7.88	-7.37	0.905	0.90	
	$2f_{5/2}$	-8.92	0.03	-8.89	0.19	-8.72	0.18	-8.74	-7.94	0.888	0.60	
	$3p_{3/2}$	-9.14	0.11	-9.03	0.19	-8.95	0.21	-8.93	-8.26	0.844	0.88	
	$1i_{13/2}$	-9.18	0.17	-9.01	-0.01	-9.19	0.01	-9.17	-9.24	0.903	0.91	
	$2f_{7/2}$	-12.10	0.54	-11.56	0.49	-11.61	0.68	-11.42	-9.81	0.580	0.95	
	$1h_{9/2}$	-13.14	0.13	-13.01	0.43	-12.71	0.44	-12.70	-11.40	0.831	0.98	

#### Cao LG, et.al., Phys.Rev. C89, 044314 (2014)



# Collaborators

- G. Colo, Milano University and INFN-mi
- P. F. Bortignon, Milano University and INFN-mi
- H. Sagawa, Aizu University and Riken
- X. Roca-Maza, Milano University and INFN-mi
- L. Sciacchitano, Milano University
- S. Sun BNU
- F. S. Zhang BNU



# Take this opportunity to give my deeply thanks to Gianluca, Franco and Silvia, happy 60th birthday !!!

