Charm baryon amplitude analyses and polarisation measurements

Daniele Marangotto

INFN and Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy

Seminar for AdR activity, Milano, 24th Sep 2024







Motivation: Baryon amplitude analyses

- Spectroscopy
- Baryon decays often feature complicated phase-space structures
- Excellent place to search for new baryonic and exotic pentaquark states
- Parity-violation
- Measurement of decay asymmetry parameters
- CP-violation
- Still unobserved for baryons
- Comparison of baryon-antibaryon amplitude models or basis to tailor model-independent searches
- Resonance interference patterns can enhance sensitivity

Motivation: Polarisation

- Baryon amplitude analyses can be used to measure baryon polarisation vector P
- Polarisation is excellent probe for baryon production physics, with no meson counterpart (see e.g. JHEP 1511 (2015) 067)
- Strong production: anchor points for low-energy QCD
- Weak production: additional observable for New Physics tests
- Once amplitude model is determined, can be applied to other polarisation measurements

Motivation: Baryon EMDMs

- Access to charm baryon polarisation allows the measurement of electromagnetic dipole moments (EMDMs) measurement via spin precession
- Amplitude model provides the polarimeter needed to probe polarisation before and after spin precession in electromagnetic field
- Polarisation measurements for different energies and production systems needed to understand underlying physics mechanism
- EDMs background-free probe for new-physics, MDMs interesting for low-energy QCD
- EMDMs measurement of short-lived particles using bent crystals is the target of the ERC CoG <u>SELDOM</u> project
- Proposed a dedicated fixed-target experiment at the LHC, ALADDIN
- Letter-of-Intent recently submitted to the LHCC

Analyses in LHCb

- LHCb data feature charm baryon decays in a wide variety of production modes: from beauty hadron semileptonic (SL) decays, prompt *pp* collisions or heavy ion collisions, also in fixed target mode
- SL samples studied to determine amplitude models for polarisation measurements
- Large polarisation from parity-violating weak decay gives full sensitivity on decay amplitude
- Cleaner samples with more regular detector efficiency, suitable for amplitude fit with tens of free parameters
- Other samples can be used to study kinematic-dependent production-dependent charm baryon polarisation
- From 100 $\,\mathrm{GeV}$ (fixed-target) up to 13 TeV (pp collisions) center-of-mass energy

Analyses at LHCb Milano

- Λ⁺_c → pK⁻π⁺ amplitude analysis from SL production (published, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 012023)
- $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$ amplitude analysis from SL (paper in preparation, LHCb-PAPER-2024-034)
- Prompt Ξ⁺_c → pK⁻π⁺ polarisation measurement (completed, in LHCb review)
- A⁺_c polarisation measurement in p-Ne fixed-target collisions (ongoing)
- Will focus on the last three analyses

$\varXi_c^+ ightarrow ho K^- \pi^+$ SL analysis

$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \rho K^- \pi^+$ SL decay selection

- Selection consisting of
- BDT selection against combinatorial background
- Vetoes removing charm meson and clone bkgs
- Signal region for amplitude fit $|m(pK^{-}\pi^{+}) m(\Xi_{c}^{+})_{PDG}| < 15 \text{ MeV}$ (efficiency $\geq 99\%$)
- Signal events: 151887 (35265) for Run 2 (Run 1)
- *f_b* is 14.4% (15.6%) for Run 2 (Run 1),
- Fixed in amplitude fit



$\Xi_c^+ ightarrow ho K^- \pi^+$ amplitude analysis

- Amplitude analysis done closely following $\Lambda_c^+ \to p K^- \pi^+$ one
- Same definitions, code
- Amplitude model built from contributions visible in the Dalitz plot and PDG resonances
- Contributions improving the fit quality are retained
- Those with similar quality considered for systematic uncertainty evaluation



Ξ_c^+ polarisation frame

- polarisation measured in two Ξ_c^+ helicity rest frames, with orthogonal components defined from the muon direction
- Approximate *B* rest frame: default for amplitude fit
- Laboratory frame: specific polarisation fit

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}_{\Xi_{c}^{+}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}(\Xi_{c}^{+})$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\Xi_{c}^{+}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{p}(\Xi_{c}^{+}) \times \boldsymbol{p}(\mu^{-})}{|\boldsymbol{p}(\Xi_{c}^{+}) \times \boldsymbol{p}(\mu^{-})|} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}(\Xi_{c}^{+})$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{\Xi_{c}^{+}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}_{\Xi_{c}^{+}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\Xi_{c}^{+}}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{\Xi_{c}^{+}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}_{\Xi_{c}^{+}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\Xi_{c}^{+}}$$

- Longitudinal (P_z) and transverse (P_x) polarisation are T-even, while normal (P_y) polarisation is T-odd
- *P_y* can be produced only by T-violation or (EM) final state interactions, see Sozzi, *Discrete* symmetries and CPV

^

$\varXi_c^+ \to \rho {\rm K}^- \pi^+ \; {\rm model}$

- Determined a default model (Figure, Run 2)
- All parameters measured, including polarisation in approx *B* system
- Alternative models with similar quality considered for systematic uncertainties
- polarisation weakly dependent on specific amplitude model



Charm AmAn & P

11/25

24/09/2024

$\varXi_c^+ ightarrow ho K^- \pi^+$ lab polarisation fit

 Default model used for a polarisation-only fit to determine *P* in lab system (Figure, Run 2)



Polarisation

- First precision measurement of the Ξ_c^+ polarisation vector, uncertainties order 0.01
- Large polarisation measured in both polarisation frames
- approx *B*: more longitudinal than transverse, $P \approx 66\%$
- lab: more transverse (P_x) than longitudinal (P_z), $P \approx 63\%$
- Normal polarisation (P_y) , sensitive to \hat{T} -odd effects and final-state interactions, compatible with zero

Component	Value (%)
P_x (approx B) P_y (approx B) P_z (approx B)	$\begin{array}{c} 22.15 \pm 0.70 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.81 \\ -0.69 \pm 0.69 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.35 \\ -62.8 \pm 0.8 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.5 \end{array}$
P_x (lab) P_y (lab) P_z (lab)	$\begin{array}{c} 57.5\pm0.7\pm1.0\pm1.0\\-0.64\pm0.68\pm0.13\pm0.23\\-26.8\pm0.7\pm0.6\pm2.0\end{array}$

Polarisation

- Ξ_c^+ polarisation shares same features observed for Λ_c^+ one, but for some slight differences
- Dominant component reduced, subdominant increased, reduced magnitude
- Differences at few % level
- Differences have limited significance, but are consistently observed in both polarisation systems
- First investigation of strangeness-related polarisation in charm baryons

Amplitude model

• Measured all fit parameters and fit fractions

Main contributions:

Resonance	Fit Fraction (%)		Stat. Unc.		Model Unc.		Syst. Unc.
K*(892)	28.28	\pm	0.29	±	0.53	±	0.80
$arDelta^{++}$ (1232)	17.73	\pm	0.35	\pm	0.48	\pm	0.45
$K_0^*(1430)$	14.2	\pm	0.7	\pm	3.2	\pm	1.9

- Higher contribution of Λ^* and K^* states in $\Xi_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+$ than in $\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+$ decay
- Qualitatively explainable by the presence of a valence s quark in the Ξ_c^+ baryon

Parity-violation & Sensitivity to polarisation

- Parity-violation observable from asymmetries in polarised processes
- e.g. Mme Wu et al. 1957 experiment with polarised nuclei
- Measured decay asymmetry parameters characterizing two-body decays for each resonant contribution
- Some are nonzero, indicating parity-violation in their decay
- Large sensitivity to the Ξ_c^+ polarisation of the $\Lambda_c^+ \to \rho K^- \pi^+$ decay observed
- Measured by $\sqrt{3}S$ quantity ranging between zero and one

$$\sqrt{3} \textit{S} = 0.680 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.013$$

 This, combined with excellent reconstruction efficiency of Ξ⁺_c → pK⁻π⁺ decay, makes this channel suitable for polarisation measurements

$\varXi_c^+ ightarrow ho K^- \pi^+$ prompt analysis

$\Xi_c^+ ightarrow ho K^- \pi^+$ prompt analysis

- Analysis work by S. Libralon
- Selection strategy similar to semileptonic production
- Prompt events separated from decays displaced from the *pp* collision vertex using impact parameter information
- polarisation system defined by production plane, with P_z in orthogonal direction
- polarisation within the plane forbidden by parity conservation



- All three polarisation components determined in the fit
- P_x , P_y should be compatible with zero



$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \rho K^- \pi^+$ prompt polarisation

- Polarisation measured using amplitude model from SL analysis
- No evidence of polarisation orthogonal to the production plane
- Forbidden polarisation components also compatible with zero
- Previous evidence of in-plane polarisation disappeared after completion of systematic uncertainties studies



Λ_c^+ polarisation in p-Ne collisions

Λ_c^+ polarisation in p-Ne collisions

- To date LHCb is the only experiment able to record fixed-target collisions at the LHC thanks to its gas target SMOG(2)
- p-Ne $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \rho K^- \pi^+$ data allows to measure Λ_c^+ polarisation at unprecedented center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 68.6 \text{ GeV}$
- Long-standing effort: analysis started during DM PhD thesis, continued by A. Merli
- priority to charm amplitude analysis, to provide required polarimeter
- multiple challenges posed by difficult experimental conditions

Analysis challenges

- Dataset is small for charm baryon studies: selected $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \rho K^- \pi^+$ events at 1000 order
- Large uncertainties, difficult data-driven calibrations
- Fixed-target events are overimposed with pp collisions, to be separated
- Simulation of proton-nuclear interaction is more complicated and less known w.r.t. *pp* collisions
- Measurement of polarisation vector gives unphysical components, forbidden by parity-conservation in strong interactions
- Likely due to some, still unclear, experimental effect

Re-analysis of $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \rho K^- \pi^+$ **SMOG data**

- Recently started re-analysis of $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$ SMOG data
- Simpler analysis trying to isolate origin of unphysical polarisation
- Tight selection removing all pp events
- Variations of selection, simulation calibration, polarisation definition considered
- Unphysical polarisation still present with small significance given uncertainties
- Does not impact orthogonal polarisation component
- Other solutions under consideration:
- simpler polarisation fit integrating over unphysical components
- use of latest Run 3 SMOG2 p-gas data

Conclusions

- AdR work mainly focused on the development of charm baryon amplitude analyses and polarisation measurements
- Results obtained (last two years):
- $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$ SL amplitude analysis completed, Phys. Rev. D paper draft in preparation, LHCb-PAPER-2024-034
- Amplitude model ready for Ξ_c^+ polarisation measurements, in addition to the $\Lambda_c^+ \to p K^- \pi^+$ one
- First Ξ_c^+ polarisation measurement
- First comparison of polarisation values for charm baryons with/out strangeness

Conclusions

- $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \rho K^- \pi^+$ prompt polarisation measurement completed, at LHCb review stage
- Measurement of Ξ_c^+ polarisation in p_T , x_F bins obtained
- No polarisation evidence at 13 ${\rm TeV}$ CM energy
- Λ_c^+ polarisation in p-Ne collisions, ongoing
- Started re-analysis of $\Lambda_c^+ \to \rho K^- \pi^+$ SMOG data addressing observed problems
- Possible solutions identified
- Further investigation and analysis completion will be the next main priority

Backup slides

Formalism for baryon amplitude analysis

- Full phase space amplitude analyses needed better understanding of baryon decay amplitudes
- Issues with rotational invariance observed in helicity formalism based $\Lambda_c^+ \to p K^- \pi^+$ amplitude model
- Traced back to incorrect spin state definition among different decay chains
- Basic quantum-mechanical properties of spin states under rotations neglected before
- Formalism adapted for decays featuring different interfering decay chains, AHEP (2020) 6674595
- Developed general method to ensure same definition of final particle spin state (spin matching) among different chains
- Supersedes and amends method used in previous analyses, notably LHCb pentaquark discovery paper *PRL 115 (2015) 072001*

Formalism for baryon amplitude analysis

- Demonstrated possibility to simultaneously determine Λ⁺_c → pK⁻π⁺ amplitude model and Λ⁺_c polarisation AHEP (2020) 7463073
- Analytical study of the constraints posed by the amplitude fit to the decay rate
- Amplitude fit to pseudodata generated with toy $\Lambda_c^+ \to \rho K^- \pi^+$ description
- Results:
- Interference effects among different decay chain contributions are crucial: give sensitivity to single helicity couplings and to polarisation magnitude
- Non-zero polarisation needed to determine entirely the amplitude model
- Conditions met by $\Lambda_c^+ \to p K^- \pi^+$ decays from weak production, having significant Λ_c^+ polarisation
- Promptly-produced Λ⁺_c → pK⁻π⁺ decays have insufficient polarisation to fully determine amplitude model