## **Central Drift Chamber**

- The CDC in Belle was operating very successfully at KEKB
- The Belle II CDC is an adiabatic evolution of this successful design
- However, the background situation at SuperKEKB is significantly more challenging than anticipated at the design stage
- As its name says, the CDC is **central** to the Belle II physics program

DESY.

tracking, triggering, PID

program





#### Questions

- How compatible are the presently considered running/upgrade scenarios with the projected development of CDC performance?
- What options do we have to mitigate possible serious performance degradation
  - between LS1 and LS2 ?
  - in LS2 (whenever it will happen) ?

### Concerns

- Uncertainties are still significant, but there are justified concerns related to
  - use of He/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> gas mixture in high background environment: ageing
    - integrated charge per wire length will substantially exceed the Belle level
    - temporary and local appearance of Malter effect seen very early on (this triggered the formation of the CDC task force in 2018)
      - L54 kept off/standby until 2020c; since Dec 2020 it was turned on again and operating stably since then  $\sqrt{}$

Addressed by existing Eol

- vulnerability against increasing injection background
- hit rate limitations
  - ► space charge effects (→ efficiency, dE/dx resolution)
  - occupancy for tracking
    - even amplified by cross talk
  - radiation hardness of frontend electronics
- Safety factors at design parameters of SuperKEKB are marginal

# **Run Gain Variations before and after LS1**



# **Run Gain Variations before and after LS1**



- Observed gain variation, mainly due to inadvertent changes in gas conditions and increasing beam background, completely masks expected gain loss extrapolated from pre-Belle ageing study
  - pre-LS1: too high (~10x) H<sub>2</sub>O level (unreliable H<sub>2</sub>O & O<sub>2</sub> monitors)
  - post-LS1: H<sub>2</sub> fraction reaching ~7%





# **Run Gain Variations before and after LS1**



# **CDC Gas System**

Current layout (including many LS1 improvements) Simplified and somewhat outdated principle Gas cylinder storage area 0.34MP -1284-0.30MPa Neck Valve MKS PS 7 0.23MPa Controller PDR-C-1C 12V Set 30mbar Ai MFC MFC MFC MFC Ai ( P H Neck Valve(NC) 4.5~5.5kg/cm FM -17 -17 2cc/min. ~2x0.1L/min DPT 223B 1 0~133mbar 4 He+ethane He bombe C2H6 bombe Z EX1 11.8MPa 10kg MFC MFC ∼5.5m<sup>3</sup> Scale Ground Safety Valve(NO) exhaust H2O (30+30)~(100+100)cc/min. bubbler FM -1200 ethane Helium EX24 Safety Lir × H20-→ 🔀 FM -b≪l→ex2 TOP KH-D&1-Max.30mbar (1/4)x8m Gas Analyzer **Bvpass** Manometer valve valve ULF-B06-W2-V -1280-(H2O) pressure H2O IN/OUT #8 monitor (P) Mixer **+**∞ Filter Bubbler FM φ40x250 Bypass Line 0~10kPa Glass Trap 25cc/min. -1×1-(P) × pressure EX2 MFM \_(OPT buffer buffer - Purge -1283-CDC conroller tank 1 tank 2 FWD exhaust BWD -D&CH MFC4 --D&C+ Bypass XLF-D-S2P 8000 L safety 8200L 0~0.06MPa (1')x3.5m Max.1L/min. (1/4)x3m 20Ax30m 20Ax30m valve (P)-100-Middle Tank Large Tank 1201 -1280 Filter -1980) Manifold MFC -O2 filter Hydrogen (3/8) 100L 200L (1/4)(3/8)1 (APT)690A13 4x(3/8)x3m CDC EX2 A O2 Sensor DPS DPS small pump ->4x(1/4)x2m MFC MFC MFC EX2 Purge buffer tank oxyIQ HD 8x(1/4)x1m Flex+1.2m VAISALA Dew IQ  $2H_2+O_2 \rightarrow 2H_2O$ DPS Holder ->4x(3/8)x5m DMT143 ~4L/min Purge 4.5 L/min. MKS MKS Parge EX -Den Purge MKS N&D&V 0~20L/min. 270 246 ? ΕX H2O filter MFC1 -1>< Set Pressure Set Flow Rate 02 02 GN2 -DX Purge P = Pressure Gauge -1281-Filter monitor ~0.5L/min KLM **4**−D&C PD = Pressure Gauge : Diaphragm Seal Type ΕX EX2 🛉 Purge APT = Absolute Pressure Transducer H2O . × DPT = Differential Pressure Transducer monitor Nafion DPS = Dew Point Sensor ~0.5L/min <Pump⊢ Small Tank MFC2 -1001 Paraffin TOP EX = Exhaust, EX1=Pressure Control, EX2=Purge Dryer ->>> 0.5 L/min. FM = Area Flow Meter 5L Metal Bellows Pump 0~1L/min. MFC = Mass Flow Controller MB-601(Single) Oxygen-F From LN2 CE Purge S = Solenoid Valve EX2 4 4 O2 Cal. (1/4) = Pipe , Valve or Joint Size 1/4 inch. MFC5 O2 Sensor Pressure at flow rate = 4.5 L/min. 20240822R9 1~20cc/min. 20240529R8, 20240515R7 MFC3 oxyIQ P1 = 0.004 MPa = 4kPa=40 mbar DF-150E  $P2 = 1.5 \text{ kPa} = 15 \text{ mbar}(0 \sim 30 \text{ mbar})$ 20240315R6, 20231225R5 SERVOMEX 0.5 L/min. MB-601(Single) Flow OUT DPT1 = 15.3 mbar=1.53kPa 20231006R4, 20230929R3 (SLM) (MPaA) (MPaA) 0~1L/min. Pmax=±7kPa DPT2 = 159 mmH2O = 15.6 mbar=1.56kPa (0~30mbar) 20230927R2、20230926R1 71.60 0.101 0.101 Max. Pressure 27.17 0.101 0.304 DPT2 - DPT1 = 0.3 mbar Belle 2 CDC 20230925

0 7

Max. Flow

Vacuum -77.54 kPaG

# **CDC Gas System**

Current layout (including many LS1 improvements)

Simplified and somewhat outdated principle



4

#### **Gas Recirculation Rate**



#### **Gas Recirculation Rate**





- Use number of CDC hits that are not associated with tracks as an indicator of CDC background
- Varies greatly with machine and beam conditions

## **Evolution of Beam-related CDC Background in 2022/2024**



- Use number of CDC hits that are not associated with tracks as an indicator of CDC background
- Varies greatly with machine and beam conditions
  - difference of more than a factor of two between b36 (2022b, damaged collimators) and b41 (2024b)
- Hit rates already reach >100 kHz/wire when trying to approach  $L = 0.5 \times 10^{35}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>



250 200 150 0 0 06/27 07/04 07/18 07/18 07/25





carsten.niebuhr@desy.de

- Goal: reproduce pre-Belle result
- So far, no evidence of ageing at 66 mC/cm accumulated charge
- If unacceptable ageing rate is observed, repeat test with a hydrocarbon-free gas mixture
- Caveats
  - difficult to achieve multi-C/cm regime with this set-up
  - gas volume exchange rate similar to CDC, but
    - no recirculation (injection of fresh He/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>)
    - very low ratio of irradiated volume to test chamber volume compared to CDC

7

#### **Background Dependence of Run Gain**



• Pre-LS1 run gain found to be significantly dependent on chamber current

## **Background Dependence of Run Gain**



(1) Estimated gain reduction due to voltage drop across resistor in HV distribution

(2) H.Ozaki: "I calculated the voltage drop [due to space charge] by solving the Poisson eq. for a geometry similar to Belle2 CDC (multi-square cells w/o staggering), instead of using a simple formula derived for a cylindrical tube in 1969."

- Pre-LS1 run gain found to be significantly dependent on chamber current
- Ozaki-san (KEK) tried to model this dependence, taking into account
  - (1) gain reduction due to **voltage drop** across resistor in HV distribution
    - significant improvement expected from resistor replacement in LS1

(2) **space charge** as a function of ion mobility  $\mu$ 

- (1)+(2) can reasonably reproduce pre-LS1 gain drop assuming  $\mu = 1.4 \sim 6 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V/s}$
- some tension with estimated value of  $\mu = 10 \sim 20$  cm<sup>-2</sup>/V/s in He/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> estimated by Rob Veenhof (CERN)

## **Background Dependence of Run Gain**



Expected slope change due to resistor replacement

(1) Estimated gain reduction due to voltage drop across resistor in HV distribution

(2) H.Ozaki: "I calculated the voltage drop [due to space charge] by solving the Poisson eq. for a geometry similar to Belle2 CDC (multi-square cells w/o staggering), instead of using a simple formula derived for a cylindrical tube in 1969."

- Pre-LS1 run gain found to be significantly dependent on chamber current
- Ozaki-san (KEK) tried to model this dependence, taking into account
  - (1) gain reduction due to **voltage drop** across resistor in HV distribution
    - significant improvement expected from resistor replacement in LS1

(2) **space charge** as a function of ion mobility  $\mu$ 

- (1)+(2) can reasonably reproduce pre-LS1 gain drop assuming  $\mu = 1.4 \sim 6 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V/s}$
- some tension with estimated value of  $\mu = 10 \sim 20$  cm<sup>-2</sup>/V/s in He/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> estimated by Rob Veenhof (CERN)
- Contrary to expectation, no significant change in slope seen after LS1
  - i.e. no visible effect of resistor exchange
    - $\Rightarrow$  so far have only partial understanding of the gain drop

September 26th, 2024 CDC Operational Issues

carsten.niebuhr@desy.de

# Zoom in: Short-term Effect of Injection on CDC Gain

Worst conditions reached at the end of 2022b

 $I_{HER} = 1035 \text{ mA}, \text{ Q} = 1.7 \text{ nC}, \text{ rep rate} = 25 \text{ Hz}$  $I_{LER} = 1293 \text{ mA}, \text{ Q} = 2.0 \text{ nC}, \text{ rep rate} = 21 \text{ Hz}$  $n_{\text{bunch}} = 2346, 2$ -bunch injection for both beams



- Level of injection background varies greatly with time and injection parameters, e.g.
  - bunch charge; 1- or 2-bunch injection; repetition rate (so far limited to 25 Hz per beam); injection duty cycle
- Generally very similar time dependence before and after LS1
  - typically takes 10-20 ms to return to base level



- However, due to the reduced beam lifetime caused by the Touschek effect, to achieve the target beam currents, the bunch charge must be increased, the 2-bunch injection mode must be used consistently, and a high injection duty cycle is required
- Note: Doubling repetition rate to 2x50 Hz being considered for LS2
  - $\Rightarrow \overline{\Delta t_{inj}} = 10 \text{ ms}$ , i.e. will never operate in stable regime

#### **LS2 Machine Upgrade Plans under Consideration**

# Requested upgrade during LS2

| Gain 🗸                   | ltem v   | Start timing and duration $$   | Cost 🗸      | / | Overview ~   | Prio | rity | ~ | Comments ~   |
|--------------------------|--|--|-------------|---|--|------|------|---|--|
| Increase<br>beam current | Linac current reinforcement  | Under estimation   | ~6B JPY     |   | Doubling the injection current e.g. by increasing the repetition rate for both electron and positron beams.  | **   | *    |   | $2x25 Hz \rightarrow 2x50 Hz$  |
| Increase<br>beam current | High power RF reinforcement  | Start: 2029 or later<br>Duration: half of year or more                       | ~2B JPY     |   | Increase max. HER current to over 2.0A by adding additional RF stations, reinforcing the SCC cooling system, and installing more HOM dampers.  | **   | *    |   |  |
| Stabilize operation      | Linac LLRF timing system upgrade                                     | Under estimation   | ~2B JPY     |   | Improve the stability of the beam injection by updating the LLRF control system  | **   | *    |   |  |
| Stabilize operation      | Mechanical isolation of BPM and Q<br>magnet in Tsukuba straight line | In LS2<br>Duration: about 1 year   | 0(0.1B) JPY |   | Mechanically isolate the BPM and the quadrupole magent near<br>the sextupole magnet in order to eliminate optical disturbances<br>caused by thermal deformation of the beam pipe due to<br>synchrotron radiation | **   | *    |   |  |
| Stabilize<br>operation   | Mechanical isolation of BPM and Q magnet in arc sections             | Can be done step-by-step in<br>normal shutdowns.<br>Duration: multiple years | ~1B JPY     |   | Mechanically isolate the BPM and the quadrupole magent near<br>the sextupole magnet in order to eliminate optical disturbances<br>caused by thermal deformation of the beam pipe due to<br>synchrotron radiation | **   |      |   | Effectiveness is currently under<br>review. Initially, we would like to<br>proceed with modifications only in<br>the Tsukuba straight section to check<br>the effects              |
| Stabilize<br>operation   | Improve air conditioning in power supply building                    | Under estimation   | ~1B JPY     |   | Due to the excessively high room temperature in the power<br>supply building, the output of the magnet power supply<br>becomes unstable (especially in June)   | **   |      |   | We should first consider the idea of<br>continuous operation through the<br>New Year period (no winter<br>shutdown) to eliminate the need for<br>operations during warmer seasons. |
| Stabilize operation      | Fast MR BPM readout electronics                                      | Under estimation   | ~1.6B JPY   |   | Renew the BPM readout circuits for measures against aging and faster beam tuning   | *    |      |   |  |

### Other possible ideas under investigation

| Gain 🗸                             | ~ | ltem  | ~ | Start time and duration | ~ | Cost                | ~ | Overview  | ~ | Comments ~  |
|------------------------------------|---|---|---|-------------------------|---|---------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Increase<br>beam current           | t | Upgrade LINAC RF gun                                    |   | Under estimation        |   | Under<br>estimation | 1 | Upgrade RF gun for lower emittance in electron beam   |   | Backup plan in case the performance<br>of the current electron gun does not<br>improve. |
| Increase<br>beam current           | t | HER straight BT line                                    |   | Under estimation        |   | Under<br>estimation | ı | Gain and necesity are to be investigated  |   | Need arrangement with PF and PF-AR  |
| Increase<br>specific<br>luminosity |   | Optimization of beam crossing<br>angle with crab cavity |   | Under estimation        |   | Under<br>estimatior | 1 | Effectively reduce the beam crossing angle with crab cavity.<br>Effectiveness and feasibility are to be investigated. |   |   |

#### **Background Extrapolation before and after LS2**

| Setup  | Before LS2 | Target    | Design    |
|--|------------|-----------|-----------|
| $\beta_{\rm v}^*$ (LER/HER) [mm]                           | 0.6/0.6    | 0.27/0.3  | 0.27/0.3  |
| $\beta_{\rm x}^{*}$ (LER/HER) [mm]                         | 60/60      | 32/25     | 32/25     |
| $\mathcal{L} \ [	imes 10^{35} \ { m cm}^{-2} { m s}^{-1}]$ | 2.8        | 6.0       | 8.0       |
| I(LER/HER) [A]   | 2.52/1.82  | 2.80/2.00 | 3.6/2.6   |
| $\bar{P}_{\rm eff.}$ (LER/HER) [nPa]                       | 48/17      | 52/18     | 133/133   |
| $n_{\rm b}$ [bunches]                                      | 1576       | 1761      | 2500      |
| $\varepsilon_{\rm x}$ (LER/HER) [nm]                       | 4.6/4.5    | 3.2/4.6   | 3.2/4.6   |
| $\varepsilon_{ m y}/\varepsilon_{ m x}$ (LER/HER) [%]      | 1/1        | 0.27/0.28 | 0.27/0.28 |
| $\sigma_{\rm z}$ (LER/HER) [mm]                            | 8.27/7.60  | 8.25/7.58 | 6.0/6.0   |
| CW   | ON         | OFF       | OFF       |



Figure 3.4: Estimated Belle II background composition for predicted beam parameters Before LS2. Each column is a stacked histogram of BG rates from dedicated MC samples scaled with average Data/MC ratios listed in Table 3.3. The red numbers in rectangles are detector safety factors, showing that Belle II should be able to operate safely until a luminosity of  $2.8 \times 10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  with some important caveats, discussed in the text.

- In recent years we have achieved reasonable agreement between measured and simulated beam-induced backgrounds (excl. injection...)
- Allows reasonably good prediction of background levels up to LS2
- However, no optics yet available for post-LS2 machine setup
- Different scaling factors of scenarios account for associated uncertainties



Figure 3.5: Estimated beam background rates in Belle II for After LS2 operation at luminosity of  $6.0 \times 10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . The numbers in rectangles are detector safety factors for Scenario-2.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2023.168550

## **Future Operating Conditions very difficult to predict**



# **Future Operating Conditions very difficult to predict**



# **Future Operating Conditions very difficult to predict**



- With improved control of CDC operating conditions in the future (e.g. gas conditions), the expected performance degradation up to LS2 may perhaps remain acceptable if pre-Belle ageing results are confirmed
- However, unless machine conditions magically improve dramatically after LS2, the deterioration in CDC performance seems likely to become unacceptably large in a period when the bulk of the Belle II data is expected to be collected

... assuming 6% per C/cm (pre-Belle study)

~ O(3.5 - 7) C/cm  $\Rightarrow \Delta G/G = O(20 - 40)\%$ 

~ O(7.5 - 15) C/cm  $\Rightarrow \Delta G/G = O(45 - 90)\%$ 

# Summary

- We should be prepared that tracking, PID and triggering might soon be compromised by background related performance degradation in the CDC
- Have to develop mitigation strategies now, because changes will require studies and a lot of preparation time
- Given the potential impact on the Belle II physics program the person power available for this highly important work should be increased



# **CDC Operation in 2024**



September 26th, 2024 CDC Operational Issues

carsten.niebuhr@desy.de