#### Instabilities Simulations with Wideband Feedback Systems: CMAD, HeadTail, WARP

K. Li<sup>1</sup>, H. Bartosik<sup>1</sup>, J. Cesaratto<sup>2</sup>, J. D. Fox<sup>2</sup>, W. Höfle<sup>1</sup>, M. Furman<sup>3</sup>, G. Iadorola<sup>1</sup>, M. Pivi<sup>2</sup>, C. Rivetta<sup>2</sup>, G. Rumolo<sup>1</sup>, R. Secondo<sup>1</sup>, O. Turgut<sup>2</sup>, S. Uemuda<sup>2</sup>, J.-L. Vay<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> European Organization for Nuclear Research
 <sup>2</sup> SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory
 <sup>3</sup> Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Work supported by DOE







### Outline

- i. Simulation models CMAD, HeadTail, WARP
- ii.Feedback system model
- iii.Damping the centroid motion
- iv.Electron cloud instabilities
- v. Damping the intra-bunch motion
- vi.Conclusions and outlook





### C-MAD, HeadTail, WARP - Models

	CMAD	HeadTail	WARP
Language	Fortran	C++	Fortran with Python interface
Parallel	yes	no	yes
Optics	Smooth or lattice (MAD-X import)	Smooth or lattice (MAD-X import)	Smooth or lattice
PIC	2D FFT	2D FFT	AMR (includes space charge)
Binning	Constant space or constant charge	Constant space	Constant space
Distributions	Internal & external	Internal & external	Internal, external & build-up
Extras	IBS, radiation damping, quantum excitation	ZBASE impedance database	Build-up + tracking (strong-strong)





### Feedback system implementation



Kevin Li

### Feedback system - specifications

5 tap delay







### Feedback system - specifications

- 5 tap delay
- FIR transfer functions (left)







 $V_{c1}$ 

V<sub>c2</sub>

G

 $\Delta p_1 \Delta p_2 \Delta p_{Nsl}$ 

ECLOUD'12

Ampl.

Kicker

### Feedback system - specifications

Y1 Y2 ..... YNSI

 $V_{in1}$ 

V<sub>in2</sub>

Receiver

FIR

FIR

FIR

- 5 tap delay
- FIR transfer functions (left)
- Kicker transfer functions (right)



Kevin Li

### Centroid motion – gain scan

- Bandwidths:
   200MHz, 500MHz, 700MHz, 1GHz
- Perturbation: 2mm initial vertical offset  $\rightarrow$  monitor bunch response





### Centroid motion – gain scan

- Bandwidths:
   200MHz, 500MHz, 700MHz, 1GHz
- Perturbation: 2mm initial vertical offset → monitor bunch response
- For high gains, the limited (200MHz) bandwidth makes the system become unstable







### Centroid motion – gain scan

- Bandwidths:
   200MHz, 500MHz, 700MHz, 1GHz
- Perturbation: 2mm initial vertical offset → monitor bunch response
- For high gains, the limited (200MHz) bandwidth makes the system become unstable
- For all cases, the bunch response (centroid motion) to the initial perturbation is similar → the systems perform equally well





ERN

### **Comparision CMAD-HeadTail**



- Perturbation: 2mm initial vertical offset
- Gain  $g \approx 8.5e-3$

Kevin Li

CÉRN



Intensity	1.1e11 ppb	
Energy	26 GeV	
Emittances [epsx, epsy]	2.8, 2.8 microns	
Beta-functions [βx, βy]	42, 42 m	
Tunes [Qx, Qy, Qs]	26.130, 26.185, 0.0059	
E-cloud region	Bends	





		3	
Intensity	1.1e11 ppb	2	6
Energy	26 GeV		- 5 ·
Emittances [epsx, epsy]	2.8, 2.8 microns		μή] <sup>n</sup> <sub>9</sub> 4
Beta-functions [ $\beta x$ , $\beta y$ ]	42, 42 m	-2	3
Tunes [Qx, Qy, Qs]	26.130, 26.185, 0.0059	-3 0 <u>100 200 300 400 500 600</u> Turn	2 0 100 200 300 400 500 600 Turn
E-cloud region	Bends	Cloud — 16 — 13.76	d density in 1e11 9.92 8
		— 11.52	— 6.08 —

• The instability threshold is around  $\rho_{e} \approx 4e11 \text{ m}^{-3}$ 



Kevin Li



4.16 2.24 0.16

		4	
Intensity	1.1e11 ppb	3	
Energy	26 GeV		
Emittances [epsx, epsy]	2.8, 2.8 microns		
Beta-functions [ $\beta x$ , $\beta y$ ]	42, 42 m	-2 3	
Tunes [Qx, Qy, Qs]	26.130, 26.185, 0.0059	-4 20 20 30 40 50 60 20 Turn	<sup>3</sup> <sub>2</sub> 0 <sup>0</sup> <sub>2</sub> 0 <sup>0</sup> <sub>3</sub> 0 <sup>0</sup> <sub>4</sub> 0 <sup>0</sup> <sub>5</sub> 0 <sup>0</sup> <sub>6</sub> 0 <sup>0</sup> Turn
E-cloud region	Bends	ρ = [1,16] >	k 1e11 m⁻³

• The instability threshold is around  $\rho_{e} \approx 4e11 \text{ m}^{-3}$ 





Intensity	1.1e11 ppb	
Energy	26 GeV	
Emittances [epsx, epsy]	2.8, 2.8 microns	
Beta-functions [βx, βy]	42, 42 m	
Tunes [Qx, Qy, Qs]	26.130, 26.185, 0.0059	
E-cloud region	Bends	

- The instability threshold is around  $\rho_{e} \approx 4e11 \text{ m}^{-3}$
- Unstable modes are {0, -1, -2}





Kevin Li

ECLOUD'12, 5-9 June 2012, La Biodola, Isola d'Elba

27 ECLOUD'12

# $ECI - \rho_e \approx 6e11 \text{ m}^{-3}$



• Clear coherent motion above the instability threshold





# $ECI - \rho_e \approx 6e11 \text{ m}^{-3}$



- Clear coherent motion above the instability threshold
- The mode evolution reveals the presence of predominantly modes {0, -1, -2} (shifted)





# Intra-bunch – $\rho_e \approx 6e11 \text{ m}^{-3}$ – gain scan

- Perturbation: electron cloud
- Bandwidths: 200MHz, 500MHz, 700MHz, 1GHz





# Intra-bunch – $\rho_e \approx 6e11 \text{ m}^{-3}$ – gain scan

- Perturbation: electron cloud
- Bandwidths:
   200MHz, 500MHz,
   700MHz, 1GHz
- For high gains, the limited (200MHz) bandwidth makes the system become unstable







# Intra-bunch – $\rho_e \approx 6e11 \text{ m}^{-3}$ – gain scan

- Perturbation: electron cloud
- Bandwidths:
   200MHz, 500MHz,
   700MHz, 1GHz
- For high gains, the limited (200MHz) bandwidth makes the system become unstable
- A gain of 6e-3 appears to damp the ECI







## $500MHz - \rho_e \operatorname{scan} - \operatorname{gain} = 6e-3$

• Coherent motion is damped within the range  $\rho_{a} = [1,10] \times 1e11 \text{ m}^{3}$ 







## $500MHz - \rho_e \operatorname{scan} - \operatorname{gain} = 6e-3$

- Coherent motion is damped within the range ρ<sub>e</sub> = [1,10] x 1e11 m<sup>-3</sup>
- The power in modes {-1,0,1} is effectively diminished
- The remaining power appears to be distributed over a wide range of modes





# $500MHz - \rho_e \approx 6e11 \text{ m}^{-3} - \text{gain} = 6e-3$



- Clear damping of the coherent motion
- Remaining power is distributed over modes {2,6}





#### **Feedback power required**

#### Kick applied to individual bunch slices by the feedback system



Same scale: Low feedback power is required to stabilize the beam in the presence of electron cloud





## $500MHz - \rho_e \approx 14e11 \text{ m}^{-3} - \text{gain} = 6e-3$



- Clear re-emerging of the coherent motion
- Power is concentrated predominantly in modes {6} (shifted)





### Conclusions & outlook

- Successful implementation of realistic feedback system into multi-particle instability codes
- Mode analysis of ECI reveals unstable modes up to mode 2 (for the investigated configuration)
- 200MHz feedback is insufficient to damp ECI
- 500MHz feedback provides damping within for gains > 6e-3
- Study the impact of noise on the feedback effectiveness







### Thank you for your attention!



Kevin Li

