# Shape coexistence of octupole shapes in the superheavy nucleus <sup>286</sup>No

Ischia, 14. Int. Spring Seminar, May. 22, 2025

Peter Ring Technical University Munich Peking University



TECHNISCHE

MÜNCHEN

Collaborators: Fangfang Xu, Li Bo, Pengwei Zhao



- Why Covariant Description of Nuclei ?
- Applications for Exotic Deformations in 286No
- Problems with Tensor-Term
- Ab-Initio Derivation of Cov. DFT

#### Why covariant ?

- 1) Large fields V  $\approx$  350 MeV, S  $\approx$  400 MeV (from QCD)
- 2) Large spin-orbit splittings in nuclei (not fitted)
- 3) Success of relativistic Brueckner calculations
- 4) No three-body forces
- 5) Success of int. energy proton scattering (spin obs.)
- 6) Relativistic saturation mechanism
- 7) Consistent treatment of time-odd fields
- 8) Natural explanation of pseudo-spin symmetry
- 9) Connection to underlying theories ?
- 10) Use as many symmetries as possible !

- many exotic deformations have been discussed in recent years
- we investigate the superheavy nucleus <sup>286</sup>No
- we use covariant density functional theory
- with the parameter set PC-PK1
- we solve the Dirac-equation on a 3D lattice space
- the intrinsic frame is defined by the octupol deformation
- the energy surface is obtained for
   5 quadrupole deformations α<sub>2µ</sub> (µ=-2,-1,0,1,2) and
   4 octupole deformations α<sub>3µ</sub> (µ=0,1,2,3)

# Energies for groundstate and isomer

a <sub>2µ</sub>	a30	a <sub>31</sub>	a32	a33	E [MeV]
0.00	0.07	0.07	0.01	0.07	-2046.65
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	-2046.53



#### Calculations for <sup>286</sup>No

g.s: pure non-axial octupole isomer: tetrahedral

isomer 120 keV barrier 500 keV

# **Density distributions**



FU Fangfang, LI Bo, P.R., ZHAO Pengwey, Phys. Lett. B 856 (2024) 138893

## Softeness around the groundstate

 $(\mathbf{c})$ 

0.15



non-axial groundstate  $\alpha_{30} = \alpha_{31} = \alpha_{33} = 0.07$ 

soft in octupole directions



# Potential energy surfaces in:



• non-axial oct. g.state

•

- tetrahedrial isom.st.
- prear-like isom.state 0.11 0.5 0

# Conclusions:

- we study the shape of <sup>286</sup>No
- we use covariant density functional theory
- on a three-dimensional lattice space

**Results:** 

- we find:
- a non-axial ground state with octupole shape
- a tetrahedral isomeric state with 0.12 MeV excitation energy
- In addition a pear-like isomeric state

Future: calculations with coll. Hamiltonian to determine vibrational states



Tensor interaction by pion exchange:

$$V_{T} = (\tau_{1}\tau_{2}) ( [\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}]^{(2)} Y^{(2)}(\Omega) ) Z(r)$$
  
contributes  
only to S=1 states  
relative motion

#### **π** meson : primary source





Yukawa

thanks to Takaharu Otsuka

#### Monopole effects due to the tensor force:



### An example are the Sb isotopes (Z=51):

Experiment: J. P. Schiffer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett, 92 162501, (2004)



change is driven by neutrons in 1h<sub>11/2</sub>

$$h_{11/2} - h_{11/2}$$
 repulsive   
 $h_{11/2} - g_{7/2}$  attractive

tensor force increases splitting by ~ 2 MeV

Without tensor, no EDF (Skyrme, Gogny, RMF) explains these facts.



Theory: Lalazissis, Karatzikos, Serra, Otsuka, P.R., Phys. Rev. C89, 041301 (2009)



#### **Influence of Particle-Vibrational Coupling**



 Ab-initio derivation of density functional theory first attempts of ab-initio go back to the fifties: Brueckner theory:

> based on the mean-field concept effective density-dep. interaction: G[p] mother of nuclear density functional theory

- Non-relativistic BHF fails: Three-body forces
- 1980: Relativistic BHF: no NNN necessary problems:
  - a) no exact solution of RBHF in nuclear matter many different approximations
  - b) we need RBHF in finite nuclei (tensor?)

# Brueckner theory (1958):

Brueckner, Gammel, Phys. Rev. 109, 1023 (1958)

- The nucleons in the interior of the nuclear medium do not feel the same bare force V, as the nucleons feel in free space.
- They feel an effective force G.
- The Pauli principle prohibits the scattering into states, which are already occupied in the medium.
- Therefore this force G(p) depends on the density
- This force **G** is much weaker than the bare force **V**.
- Nucleons move nearly free in the nuclear medium and feel only a strong attraction at the surface (shell model)





## Ab-initio: Relativistic Brueckner Hartree-Fock:



Summing up all ladder diagramms

## Ab-initio: Relativistic Brueckner Hartree-Fock:





Relativistic BHf for finite nuclei:



Relativistic BHf for finite nuclei:





# Single particle spectrum:



a first *ab initio* calculations for finite nuclei in the **relativistic** scheme
 Spin-orbit splitting is reproduced well from the bare interaction
 benchmark for various LDA calculations

Outlook for the future:

• simplify the calculations:

Brueckner theory with renormalized forces  $(V_{low k}) \dots$ Local density approximation under control

- heavy nuclei and the tensor force
- open shell nuclei: pairing, deformation
- optical potential
- short range correlations

## Thanks to my collaborators:

Shihang Shen(Jülich)S.Q. Zhang(Beijing)Jie Meng(Beijing)Haozhao Liang(RIKEN)Sibo Wang(Beijing)H. Tong(Beijing)

S. Shen, et al., Chin. Phys. Lett. 33, 102103 (2016)
S. Shen, et al., Phys. Rev C 96, 014316 (2017)
S. Shen, et al., Phys. Lett. B 781, 227 (2018)
S. Shen, et al., Phys. Rev. C 97, 054312 (2018)
S. Shen, et al., Phys. Lett. B 778, 344 (2018)
S. Shen, et al., Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 109, 103713 (2019)
S. Wang et al., Phys. Rev. C 103, 054319 (2021)
S. Wang et al., Phys. Rev. C 106, 021305 (2022)
S. Wang et al., Phys. Rev. C 108, L031303 (2023)

