

A.D. 1308 unipg

**UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PERUGIA** 

## **Solving the homogenous Bethe-Salpeter equation with a quantum annealer**

**Filippo Fornetti** (Università degli Studi di Perugia, INFN Sezione di Perugia) Alex Gnech (Old Dominion University, Jefferson Laboratory) Tobias Frederico (ITA Brasil) Francesco Pederiva (Università di Trento, INFN-TIFPA) Matteo Rinaldi (INFN Sezione di Perugia) Alessandro Roggero (Università di Trento, INFN-TIFPA) Giovanni Salmè (INFN, Sezione di Roma) Sergio Scopetta (Università degli Studi di Perugia, INFN Sezione di Perugia) Michele Viviani (INFN Sezione di Pisa)









### **Introduction to the physics problem**



Our aim is to apply a variational method, tailored to a Quantum Annealer, for solving the **homogeneous Bethe-Salpeter equation (hBSE)** in Minkowski momentum-space

**[E.E Salpeter and H.A. Bethe, Phys. Rev. 84, 1232 (195)]**

 $\phi_b(k, p) = G_0^{(12)}(k, p) \left[ \frac{d}{\sqrt{2\pi} \lambda^4} i \mathcal{K}(k, k', p) \phi_b(k', p)$ , with  $p = p_1 + p_2$  and  $\binom{1}{0}^{(12)}(k,p)$ ∫  $d^4k'$  $(2π)^4$ 

We consider the hBSE describing a **bound system** composed by **two massive scalars** interacting through the **exchange of a massive boson**.

 $i\mathcal{K}(k,k',p)\boldsymbol{\phi}_b(k',p)$ , with  $p=p_1+p_2$  and  $k=$ *p*<sup>1</sup> − *p*<sup>2</sup> 2





The hBSE in this case can be written as a **Non Symmetric Generalized Eigenvalue Problem (GEVP)** adopting a standard discretization method\*

In **relativistic quantum-field-theory**, this equation has the same role in the **bound state** description that the **Schrödinger equation** has in non **relativistic quantum mechanics**

\***T.Frederico, G.Salmè, and M.Viviani, Phys. Rev. D 89, 016010 (2014)**

### **hBSE as a Generalized Eigenvalue Problem (GEVP)**



**[T.Frederico, G.Salmè and M.Viviani, Phys. Rev. D 89, 016010 (2014)]**

$$
A \mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i B \mathbf{v}_i
$$

- The eigenvalues are  $\lambda_i = \frac{a_i}{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha_i = \frac{b_i}{32\pi^2}$ , with  $g_i$  the **coupling constant** of the interaction. 1 *αi*  $\alpha_i =$
- We are interested **only** in the in the eigenpair corresponding to the **largest, real and**  $\textbf{positive}$  eigenvalue  $\lambda_n$ , since  $\lambda_n =$ 1  $\alpha_n$
- $\alpha_n$  is the **minimal coupling constant** that allows the existence of a **bound system** with a given mass  $M = 2m - B$ *αn*

energy  $\frac{B}{m} = 1.0$  and with an exchanged boson of mass  $\frac{\mu}{m} = 0.15$ *m*

$$
\int_{i}^{i} \boldsymbol{B} \, \mathbf{v}_{i}
$$

 $g_i^2$ *i*  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial 2\pi^2}$  , with  $g_i$ 

We are using  $(n \times n)$  matrices obtained by the **discretization of the hBSE** with binding  $\frac{B}{n}$ *m*





The transverse-Ising Hamiltonian that represents the problem to minimize is **encoded** into the target topology (**PEGASUS**) through an **embedding procedure**, with a heuristic algorithm provided by the D-Wave software



Thanks to the **D-Wave-Cineca** agreement, as part of an international project approved by Q@TN(INFN-UNITN-FBK-CNR), we used the QA **Advantage 4.1** provided by **D-Wave Systems**

To properly translate the problem on the topology of the hardware, the embedding needs to represent the logical qubits of the original problem with a **larger number** of physical qubits

With the **Simulated Annealing (SA)**, the original problem can be directly solved without the an embedding procedure

### **Formulate a QUBO problem**



**Goal**: Write the **GEVP** as a problem **suitable** by the QA **First step:** write the non symmetric **GEVP** as a **variational problem**\*

**Second step:** decompose the B matrix to transform the GEVP into a non symmetric **eigenvalue problem** with the standard **LDL** decomposition

$$
\lambda(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda^R(\mathbf{v}) \pm i\lambda^I(\mathbf{v}) \Rightarrow \mathsf{We want }\lambda^I
$$

$$
f(A, B, \mathbf{v}, \tilde{\lambda}) = \mathbf{v}^T[A - \tilde{\lambda}B]^T[A - \tilde{\lambda}B]
$$

The **objective function (OF)** is minimized by all the eigenvectors **v**:

$$
f(A, B, \mathbf{v}, \tilde{\lambda}) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^R(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}^T \frac{AB^T + B^T A}{2}
$$

$$
CL^{T}\mathbf{v}_{i} = \lambda_{i}L^{T}\mathbf{v}_{i}, \mathbf{w}_{i} = L^{T}\mathbf{v}_{i}
$$

$$
f(C, \mathbf{w}, \tilde{\lambda}) = \mathbf{w}^{T}[C - \tilde{\lambda}I]^{T}[C - \tilde{\lambda}I]\mathbf{w}
$$

We have to exploit the **non singularity** of *B*

**\*S. Alliney, F. Laudiero and M. Savoia, Applied mathematical modelling 16, 148 (1992)**

- 
- 
- $\mathbf{v} \geq 0$
- 
- 2 **v**  $\lambda^{I}(\mathbf{v}) = 0$
- 
- $I$ **]w** = **w**<sup>*T*</sup>*S*( $\tilde{\lambda}$ )  $)w \geq 0$ 
	-
	-

### **Formulate a QUBO problem**



The **QA** is designed to deal with transverse-Ising model and **QUBO** problems in an hypercube

 $\mathbf{x} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{y}}$  $\mathbf{x}$ ∈ $C_{n,b}$  $\mathbf{x}^TQ\mathbf{x}$ 

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} P S(\tilde{\lambda}) P^{T} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^{T} Q \mathbf{x}$ 

 $C_{n,b} = [0,1]^{n \cdot b}$ . After a **single annealing cycle** the QA returns: *n*\**b*

We need to approximate our **quadratic form** into a **QUBO** one, rewriting the matrices elements in a **binary basis**

$$
f(C, \mathbf{W}, \tilde{\lambda}) = \mathbf{W}^T S(\tilde{\lambda}) \mathbf{W} \simeq
$$

$$
\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, ..., w_n)^T \in [-1, 1]^n \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} = (q_{1,1}, ..., q_{b,1}, ..., q_{1,n}, ..., q_{b,n})^T \in C_{n,b}
$$

$$
\mathbf{w} \simeq P^T \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow w_{\alpha} = -q_{b,\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^{b-1} \frac{q_{i,\alpha}}{2^i} \ \ P^T = diag(\mathbf{p}^T, ..., \mathbf{p}^T) \ \mathbf{p} = (-1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, ..., \frac{1}{2^{b-1}})
$$



### **Gershgorin Theorem**



We can guide the "guess phase" by using the **Gershgorin circle theorem\***:

$$
\mathcal{C}_i : |y - c_{ii}| = \leq \sum_{j \neq i} |c_{ij}| = R_G(c_{ii})
$$

If a circle  $\mathscr{C}_i$  is disconnected from the others then it contains one ad only one real eigenvalue

**If**  $\mathscr{C}_{N}$  is **disconnected** from the **others**, we can set  $\lambda = c_{11} > c_{22} > c_{33}...$  and we select only the solutions  $\in \mathscr{C}_N$ 

\* **R. S. Varga, "Gershgorin and his circles", Vol. 36 (Springer Science & Business Media, 2010)**

$$
f(C, \mathbf{w}, \tilde{\lambda}) = \mathbf{w}^T [C - \tilde{\lambda} I]^T [C - \tilde{\lambda} I] \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^T S(\tilde{\lambda})
$$

We **must set**  $\lambda \simeq \lambda_n$  if we want to obtain  $\mathbf{w}_n$  from a QA cycle  $\widetilde{\imath}$  $\simeq \lambda_n$  if we want to obtain  $\mathbf{w}_n$ 



- 
- 
- $c_{ii}$  elements of  $C$
- 
- $\widetilde{\bm{l}}$  $= c_{11} > c_{22} > c_{33}...$
- 



### **Algorithm I: Guess Phase**



$$
= c_{11}), \lambda^R(\mathbf{w}_\alpha), \lambda^I(\mathbf{w}_\alpha)\}
$$

- 
- 

Among the surviving solutions, we take the one that satisfies:

**At the end** of the Guess Phase the best eigenpair  $(\mathbf{w}_{\alpha_{GP}}, \lambda^R(\mathbf{w}_{\alpha_{GP}}))$  is passed to the ))



$$
f_{best}^{GP} = \min_{\mathbf{w}_{\alpha}} f(A, \mathbf{w}_{\alpha}, \tilde{\lambda} = \lambda^{R}(\mathbf{w}_{\alpha}))
$$

$$
f(C, \mathbf{w}, \tilde{\lambda}) = \mathbf{w}^T S(\tilde{\lambda}) \mathbf{w} \simeq \mathbf{x}^T P S(\tilde{\lambda}) P^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x}
$$

We analyze the set  $\{w_{\alpha}, f(C, w, \lambda)\}$  $\widetilde{\iota}$ 

We eliminate the **solutions** outside the disc  $\mathscr{C}_n$ 

**Gradient-Descent phase** in order to improve the precision on that solution



After  $\alpha = 1,...,N_A^{GP}$  annealing cycles, the QA or the SA returns  $N_A^{GP}$  binary vectors  $\{{\bf x}_\alpha\}$ 



F.F., A.Gnech, T.Frederico, F.Pederiva, M.Rinaldi, A.Roggero, G.Salmè, S.Scopetta and M.Viviani, Phys.Rev.D 110 (2024) 5, 056012

• Single run on the SA ( $N_A^{GP} = 2000$ ) for a 32x32 matrix  $A$ <sup>TGP</sup> = 2000

![](_page_8_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **Algorithm II: gradient-descent**

10

New **OF**: *f*(*C*, *δ*(*z*), *λ* ̂  $\widetilde{\bm{l}}$  $\tilde{\partial}$  =  $\delta(z)^T \mathcal{Q}(z, \tilde{\lambda})$ 

At the **end of the GP** of the algorithm we find a solution  $\mathbf{w}^{(z=0)} = \mathbf{w}_{\alpha_{GP}}$ 

**Gradient-descent (GD):** iterative algorithm that founds a new solution **w**(*z*)

 $\partial$  $(z)$   $Q(z, \lambda)$  $\widetilde{\bm{l}}$  $\big)_{ij} =$ 1 2 *S*(*λ*  $\widetilde{\bm{l}}$ )*ij* + *δij*  $\left[\mathbf{w}^{(z-1)T}S(\tilde{\lambda})\right]$ )]*i*

) $\delta(z) + \delta(z)$  $T\frac{S(\lambda)}{S(\lambda)}$  $\widetilde{\bm{l}}$ ) 2 *δ*(*z*)

$$
f(C, \mathbf{w}^{(z)}, \tilde{\lambda}) = f(C, \mathbf{w}^{(z-1)}, \tilde{\lambda}) + \left| \mathbf{w}^{(z-1)T} S(\tilde{\lambda}) \right|
$$

$$
\mathbf{w}^{(z)} = \mathbf{w}^{(z-1)} + \frac{1}{z}
$$

The **OF** can be expanded around  $\mathbf{w}^{(z-1)}$ .

![](_page_9_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Algorithm II: gradient-descent**

11

At **each zoom step** *z* (*z* = 1,…,*zmax*) an **inner loop is opened** *i*

Among the ensemble we select the one with the minimal energy:

$$
\hat{f}_{best;i}^{DP}(z) = \min_{\delta_{\alpha_i}(z)} \hat{f}(C, \mathbf{w}_{\alpha_i}^z, \tilde{\lambda} = \lambda_{best})
$$

If  $\lambda^R(\mathbf{w}_{best}^z) \ge \lambda_{best}$  we pass the next zoom step  $z + 1$ .  $\lambda_{best;i}^{z}$ )  $\geq \lambda_{best}$  we pass the **next zoom step**  $z+1$ .  $i$ 

 $i_{max}^z$ : iterations needed to find the best solution for each  $Z_{max}$ : iterations needed to find the **best solution for each**  $Z$ 

- *z max*  $= i$ *z*
- 

$$
\lambda_{best} = \lambda(\mathbf{w}^{(z-1)}) \text{ and } \mathbf{w}_{best} = \mathbf{w}^{(z-1)}
$$

**Total annealing time**: *T* ∝ *NGD A zmax* ∑ *i z max*

- $z = 1, 2, \ldots$
- The QA or the SA returns en ensemble of  $\alpha_i = 1,...,N_A^{GD}$  qubits states  $\{\mathbf{x}_{\alpha_i}\}$ 
	-

*z*=1

12

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

- 
- Trade-off between b and  $z_{max}$ , already found in the symmetric case \*  $b$  and  $z_{max}$
- **\* B. Krakoff, S. M. Mniszewski and C. F. A. Negre, arXiv:2104.11 ( 2021)**

#### F.F., A.Gnech, T.Frederico, F.Pederiva, M.Rinaldi, A.Roggero, G.Salmè, S.Scopetta and M.Viviani, Phys.Rev.D 110 (2024) 5, 056012

• 500 independent samples on the SA ( $N_A^{GP} = 200$ , $N_A^{GD} = 20$ ) for a 32x32 matrix

![](_page_12_Picture_71.jpeg)

F.F., A.Gnech, T.Frederico, F.Pederiva, M.Rinaldi, A.Roggero, G.Salmè, S.Scopetta and M.Viviani, Phys.Rev.D 110 (2024) 5, 056012

•  $z_{max} = 9$ 

![](_page_12_Picture_4.jpeg)

 $\cdot$  Euclidean distance and magnitude of the imaginary part slightly increase with  $n_M \times b$ 

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

•  $4 \times 4$  matrices with  $b = 3$ .  $N_{run} = 1000$  on the SA and  $N_{run} = 10$  on the QA

• Actual 0 of the OF  $\simeq 10^{-16} \Rightarrow$  Plateau at  $10^{-8}$ 

14

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_8.jpeg)

- 
- **Lower SA**'s performance in managing large matrices

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

 $\cdot$  32  $\times$  32 matrices with  $b=2$ .  $N_{run}=1000$  on the SA and  $N_{run}=10$  on the QA

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_117.jpeg)

- 
- $T$  is slightly increasing when the matrix dimension  $n_M \times b$  increase
- Quadratic growth of  $N_{qubits}$  with the total dimension  $n_M \times b$

F.F., A.Gnech, T.Frederico, F.Pederiva, M.Rinaldi, A.Roggero, G.Salmè, S.Scopetta and M.Viviani, Phys.Rev.D 110 (2024) 5, 056012

![](_page_15_Picture_8.jpeg)

# $\bullet$  Total annealing time  $T$  and total number of physical qubits  $N_{qubits}$ , averaged on  $N_{run}$

![](_page_16_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

- A hybrid algorithm, suitable for a quantum annealer, was implemented to evaluate the **largest real eigenvalue and corresponding eigenvector** of a **GEVP** for the **discretization of the hBSE**
- Numerical results obtained by running our **two-phase algorithm** both on **Advantage 4.1** and a **SA**
- The results obtained by the **SA** established a **practical set of input parameters**  $(b, N_A^{GP}, N_A^{GD})$
- We successfully approached the target eigenpair by **running the code on the D-Wave QA**, obtaining very encouraging results, up to a matrix with dimension  $n=32$  and  $b=2$
- **The next challenge** is to **improve the algorithm** in order to address the GEVP without exploiting the **non singularity of the symmetric matrix** *B*