Approximate inverse measurement channel for shallow shadows

Lorenzo Piroli *University of Bologna*

Funded by the European Union

European Research Council Established by the European Commission **Quantum Computing @INFN, Padova** 30/10/2024

based on

R. Cioli, E. Ercolessi, M. Ippoliti, X. Turkeshi, and **LP**, *Approximate Inverse Measurement Channel for Shallow Shadows, arXiv:2407.11813.*

Riccardo Cioli, UNIBO

Matteo Ippoliti, University of Texas

Xhek Turkeshi, University of Cologne • Many-body quantum systems are hard to simulate on classical computers

- Large-scale quantum computers would be immediately useful for several tasks, e.g.:
	- Real-time digital quantum simulation
	- Many-body quantum-state preparation
- Example: quantum-state preparation
- Even when wavefunction is known, correlations could be hard to compute

• Target wavefunction encoded in output qubits of quantum computation

Cirac and Zoller, Nature Phys. (2012)

- Some tasks remain hard, even with access to ideal quantum device
- Ex: full quantum-state tomography

• Full tomography not necessary to probe observables, but in many-body physics we are often interested in more complicated quantities

- Typical quantities of interest beyond simple observables:
	- overlaps and fidelities

$$
\mathcal{F}=|\langle\Psi_{\rm target}|\Psi_{\rm out}\rangle|^2
$$

• bipartite entanglement entropy of extended systems

• […]

Entanglement in many-body physics

$$
\ket{\psi}\in\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{A}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{B}
$$

• Define reduced state

$$
\rho_A = \operatorname{tr}_B \left[|\psi \rangle \langle \psi | \right]
$$

• Rényi and von Neumann entanglement entropies

$$
S_A^{(n)}[\rho] = \frac{1}{1-n} \log[\text{tr}(\rho^n)] \qquad S_A[\rho] = -\text{tr}(\rho \log[\rho])
$$

• They answer the question:

"how far is $\ket{\psi}$ from a (classical) product state"?

Entanglement in many-body physics

- Entanglement entropy important both in and out of equilibrium
- Famous example: diagnose criticality in ground-states of local Hamiltonians

- Entanglement entropies are famously hard do measure. Two strategies:
	- 1. Full-state tomography \rightarrow reconstruct ρ_A & compute $S_A^{(n)} = \frac{1}{1-n} \log[\text{Tr}(\rho_A^n)]$
	- 2. Quantum-interference experiments

Direct measure of purity

$$
\operatorname{Tr}[\rho^2] = P_A(0) - P_A(1)
$$

Islam et al. Nature (2015); Kaufman et al. Science (2016) Linke et al. PRA (2018)

Classical shadows

- Collect classical "snapshots" (shadows) from which to reconstruct properties of the quantum state
- "Measure first, ask questions later"
- Naturally tailored for digital devices
- Suitable to probe both observables, entanglement-related quantities & more

The randomized measurement toolbox, Elben *et al.* Nature Rev. Phys. (2022)

The recipe

- 1. Apply random unitary $|U|$
- 2. Perform on-site projective measurements with outcomes
- 3. Repeat runs $r = 1, ..., M$, and classically store $\{b_j^{(r)}\}, U^{(r)}$
- 4. Define *classical shadows*

$$
\rho^{(r)} = (2^N + 1)U^{(r)}\left(\otimes_j|b_j^{(r)}\rangle\langle b_j^{(r)}|\right)U^{(r)\dagger} - \mathbf{1}
$$

• Claim:

$$
\lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{r} \rho^{(r)} = \rho
$$

• From M measurements (shadows), construct desired estimators

Fidelity

\n
$$
\mathcal{F}^{(e)} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{r=1}^{M} \langle \Psi_{\text{target}} | \rho^{(r)} | \Psi_{\text{target}} \rangle
$$
\n**Purity**

\n
$$
\mathcal{P}^{(e)} = \frac{1}{M(M-1)} \sum_{r \neq r'} \text{Tr} \left(\rho^{(r)} \rho^{(r')} \right)
$$

• From M measurements (shadows), construct desired estimators

Fidelity

\n
$$
\mathcal{F}^{(e)} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{r=1}^{M} \langle \Psi_{\text{target}} | \rho^{(r)} | \Psi_{\text{target}} \rangle
$$
\n**Purity**

\n
$$
\mathcal{P}^{(e)} = \frac{1}{M(M-1)} \sum_{r \neq r'} \text{Tr} \left(\rho^{(r)} \rho^{(r')} \right)
$$

• The efficiency of the method controlled by the estimator variances

$$
\text{Var}\left[\mathcal{F}^{(e)}\right] \sim O(1) \qquad \text{Var}\left[\mathcal{P}^{(e)}\right] \sim \frac{2^{2N}}{(M-1)^2}
$$

• Exponential improvement over traditional tomographic methods

• Unfortunately, hard to realize random global unitaries in current NISQ era

- Unfortunately, hard to realize random global unitaries in current NISQ era
- Our work: replace global unitary with shallow random quantum circuits

Bertoni et al. PRL 2024; Akhtar et al. Quantum 2023 Arienzo et al. QIC 2023

- Challenges:
	- bound depth of the circuit (quantum computations should be fast)
	- bound the variance of observables (avoid too many measurements)
	- bound postprocessing computational cost

- We propose simple postprocessing scheme of shadows to solve the problem
- Showing that the suggested protocol works requires answering questions on the behavior of random quantum circuits

• Main result: to any error δ , efficient measurement scheme is achieved by $D \sim \log(N/\delta)$ (fidelity) $D \sim N$ (purity)

- Main result: to any error δ , efficient measurement scheme is achieved by $D \sim \log(N/\delta)$ (fidelity) $D \sim N$ (purity)
- Results derived studying '' statistical mechanics'' of random quantum circuits

Fisher et al., Ann. Rev. (2023) Dalzell et al. PRX Quantum (2022)

$$
|\Psi_{\text{out}}\rangle = |\Psi_{\text{target}}\rangle = |\text{GHZ}\rangle
$$

$$
|\text{GHZ}\rangle = (|0\cdots0\rangle + |1\cdots1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}
$$

 N $\rho_i = \cos^2(\mu)|0\rangle\langle 0| + \sin^2(\mu)|1\rangle\langle 1|,$ $\rho =$ ρ_j $i=1$

- Our work exploits and contributes to recent developments of statistical mechanics ideas in quantum information/computation
- Much room for improvements: our protocol could be combined with other schemes to speed up entanglement detection in many-body systems, see e.g.

Vermersch, Ljubotina, Cirac, Zoller, Serbyn, **LP**, *Many-Body Entropies and Entanglement from Polynomially Many Local Measurements,* PRX (2024)

• To do: systematic study of noise and decoherence, and error mitigation strategies

Thank you for your attention!