





Trigger proposal

Igor Pains with Rafael Nóbrega

18/07/2024 Analysis & reconstruction meeting

1. Introduction

Introduction

- Motivation: reduce data to manageable levels by selecting only events of interest, saving storage and processing resources.
 - Each run may need up to 2 Gb to be stored after the compression.
 - ~1 Tb per day considering the current frequency.

Proposal

- Develop algorithms to be tested as online trigger to decide whether to save or not images taken by the detector.
 - Convolution of the image with several kernels: look for high correlation points. <u>Link of the last presentation</u>
- on going $\rightarrow \circ$ Explore Machine Learning methods (CNN)



Simulation

▷ We started using Pietro's simulation, which contains:

- ER events with 1, 3, 6, 10, 30 and 60 keV (1k each)
- NR events with 1, 3, 6, 10, 30 and 60 keV (1k each)
- The 1 keV simulation was used to create smaller energies simulations (0.25 and 0.5 keV).

Datasets

Datasets

- Training:
 - Noise dataset: 600 images from pedestal runs (Run 4 underground).
 - ER and NR signal simulation: 600 images each containing 0.25-1 keV signals added to pedestal runs (different from noise dataset).
- Validation:
 - Noise dataset: 200 images from pedestal runs.
 - ER and NR signal simulation: 200 images each containing 0.25-1 keV signals.
- Test:
 - Same configuration as validation.

Signal split



The signal split was done in a way to maintain the three distributions as similar as possible.

Reco uppercut

Noise

- A 2304x2304 image is too big for a CNN to handle, meaning that a size reduction is needed.
- A possible approach is to send fractionated patches from the original image to the CNN.
 - Tensorflow extract patches function was used.
- The right images were divided into 63 patches with 288x288 pixels each with an overlap region between them.







CNN architecture

- After some tests, the best CNN had the following architecture:
 - Feature extraction: Six combinations of convolutional layers (3x3 kernels) with ReLu activation, MaxPooling layers (3x3 kernels), dropout layers.
 - Binary classification: Fully connected layer with 512 neurons with ReLu activation, dropout layer, batch normalization and output layer with sigmoid activation.

Model: "sequential_2"			
Layer (type)	Output	Shape	Param #
conv2d_12 (Conv2D)	(None,	288, 288, 32)	320
max_pooling2d_12 (MaxPooling	(None,	144, 144, 32)	0
dropout_14 (Dropout)	(None,	144, 144, 32)	0
conv2d_13 (Conv2D)	(None,	144, 144, 32)	9248
<pre>max_pooling2d_13 (MaxPooling</pre>	(None,	72, 72, 32)	0
dropout_15 (Dropout)	(None,	72, 72, 32)	0
conv2d_14 (Conv2D)	(None,	72, 72, 32)	9248
<pre>max_pooling2d_14 (MaxPooling</pre>	(None,	36, 36, 32)	0
dropout_16 (Dropout)	(None,	36, 36, 32)	0
conv2d_15 (Conv2D)	(None,	36, 36, 32)	9248
max_pooling2d_15 (MaxPooling	(None,	18, 18, 32)	0
dropout_17 (Dropout)	(None,	18, 18, 32)	0
conv2d_16 (Conv2D)	(None,	18, 18, 32)	9248
max_pooling2d_16 (MaxPooling	(None,	6, 6, 32)	0
dropout_18 (Dropout)	(None,	6, 6, 32)	0
conv2d_17 (Conv2D)	(None,	6, 6, 128)	36992
<pre>max_pooling2d_17 (MaxPooling</pre>	(None,	2, 2, 128)	0
dropout_19 (Dropout)	(None,	2, 2, 128)	0
flatten_2 (Flatten)	(None,	512)	0
dense_4 (Dense)	(None,	512)	262656
<pre>batch_normalization_2 (Batch</pre>	(None,	512)	2048
dropout_20 (Dropout)	(None,	512)	0
dense_5 (Dense)	(None,	1)	513
Total params: 339,521 Trainable params: 338,497 Non-trainable params: 1,024			

CNN training

- Both ER and NR were used together during the CNN training.
 - The signal was randomly rotated and placed in a position among the noise.
- 4800 images with 288x288 pixels were used on CNN training and 1600 on validation.
 - Every signal from the split was used twice.
 - The noise patch used was always different.
- ▷ The best result was achieved by using 0.5 keV signals on training.
 - 0.25 keVs signals generally led to overfitting.

Signal image



CNN training





CNN test

- Since the actual image has 2304x2304 pixels, the \triangleright test should be performed in way to use all that information.
 - The highest prediction of the CNN on each one of the 0
 - 63 patches from noise images is stored. The highest prediction on the CNN on each one of the signal is Ο stored.
- This procedure was used on the 400 images \triangleright separated for test.
 - ER and NR were tested separately to see the CNN Ο performance.
 - 0.25 and 0.5 keV signals were used for test. 0



CNN predictions 0.25 keV



CNN ROC 0.25 keV



CNN predictions 0.5 keV



CNN ROC 0.5 keV





Reconstruction 0.25 keV



The reconstruction found noise clusters on 66 events (33% false alarm) and detected ~135 signals (67.5% signal detection).

CNN ROC 0.25 keV vs Reco



Reconstruction 0.5 keV



The reconstruction could detect almost all of the signal clusters with 0.5 keV

Time analysis

- Gaussian filter method is slightly faster than the CNN.
 - The CNN method times also consider the time needed to split the image into patches.
 - Gaussian Filter uses the scipy function.
- These times do not consider the time needed to load the image.





Conclusion

- The CNN was able to achieve good results comparing to the reconstruction and correlation methods.
- It may be optimized with a GPU to make the training and it's prediction faster.



- Train the CNN with different patch sizes and see if the performance in detection and time is increased.
- ▷ See how much a GPU may fast up the prediction.

Thanks!