Flavour-Changing Decays of a 125 GeV Higgs-like Particle Based on: G.B., J. Ellis, G.Isidori arXiv:1202.5704

Gianluca Blankenburg

Università degli Studi Roma Tre

IFAE 2012 - Incontri di Fisica delle Alte Energie

Outline

- The Higgs boson
 - Experimental situation
 - Higgs and flavor

- Plavor changing Higgs couplings
 - Low energy bounds
 - Higgs decay at LHC

ヘロン 人間 とくほとくほとう

Experimental situation Higgs and flavor

Hints for the Higgs Boson

After winter conferences **several hints** for Higgs boson from ATLAS, CMS, CDF and D0

- excess in many channels: $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$, $h \rightarrow WW$, $h \rightarrow ZZ$, $h \rightarrow b\bar{b}$, $h \rightarrow \tau \bar{\tau}$



Excluded region: $122.5 < M_H < 127.5 \text{ GeV}$

Is it the Standard Model Higgs?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Experimental situation Higgs and flavor

Discovering the properties

125 GeV Higgs is a particularly fortunate value for the LHC, because **many decay channels** are open for that mass



It is possible to test the Higgs in many channels and to **check if it** is exactly as in the **SM**

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

Experimental situation Higgs and flavor

Higgs and flavor in the SM



 \rightarrow when you diagonalize masses you <code>diagonalize Higgs-fermion</code> interactions



Flavor Changing Neutral Currents are very suppressed

- loop suppressed
- mass (GIM) suppressed
- CKM suppressed

(日)

Experimental situation Higgs and flavor

Higgs and flavor Beyond the SM

Many **BSM models** predict **Flavor Changing** Higgs couplings

- multi Higgs dublets model (eg 2HDM in non decoupling limit)
- pseudo-dilaton (Goldberger et al '07)
- composite Higgs in which Yukawa are function of the Higgs field (Giudice et al '08)

(日)

S. Kraml et al., CERN-2006-009, hep-ph/0608079

...

Experimental situation Higgs and flavor

Flavor Changing Higgs

Which are the FC Higgs couplings allowed by the data?

Gianluca Blankenburg Flavour-Changing Decays of a 125 GeV Higgs-like Particle

Bounds from $\Delta F = 2$ processes

Operator	Eff. couplings	95% C.L. Bound		Observables
		$ c_{eff} $	$ \text{Im}(c_{\text{eff}}) $	
$(\bar{s}_R d_L)(\bar{s}_L d_R)$	$c_{sd} c_{ds}^*$	1.1×10^{-10}	4.1×10^{-13}	$\Delta \mathfrak{m}_{K}; \mathfrak{e}_{K}$
$(\bar{s}_R d_L)^2$, $(\bar{s}_L d_R)^2$	c_{ds}^{2}, c_{sd}^{2}	$2.2 imes 10^{-10}$	$0.8 imes10^{-12}$	
$(\bar{c}_R u_L)(\bar{c}_L u_R)$	$c_{cu} c_{uc}^*$	0.9×10^{-9}	1.7×10^{-10}	$\Delta \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{D}}; \mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p} , \phi_{\mathrm{D}}$
$(\bar{c}_{R} u_{L})^{2}, \ (\bar{c}_{L} u_{R})^{2}$	c_{uc}^2 , c_{cu}^2	$1.4 imes 10^{-9}$	$2.5 imes 10^{-10}$	
$(\bar{b}_R d_L)(\bar{b}_L d_R)$	$c_{bd} c_{db}^*$	$0.9 imes 10^{-8}$	2.7×10^{-9}	$\Delta m_{B_d}; S_{B_d \to \psi K}$
$(\bar{b}_{R} d_{L})^{2}, (\bar{b}_{L} d_{R})^{2}$	c_{db}^{2}, c_{bd}^{2}	$1.0 imes 10^{-8}$	3.0×10^{-9}	u u i
$(\bar{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{L}})(\bar{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{R}})$	$c_{bs} c_{sb}^*$	2.0×10^{-7}	2.0×10^{-7}	Δm_{B_s}
$(\bar{b}_{R} s_{L})^{2}, (\bar{b}_{L} s_{R})^{2}$	c_{sb}^{2}, c_{bs}^{2}	2.2×10^{-7}	2.2×10^{-7}	-

+ similar bounds from rare B decays (B $\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$

Bounds on FC Higgs coupling very strong:

it is impossible to see at LHC a FC Higgs decay into quarks

(日)

Low energy bounds Higgs decay at LHC

 $m_{\rm h} = 125 \, {\rm GeV}$

Lepton sector: tree level

Three body decays and $\mu \to e$ conversion in nuclei

Operator	Eff. couplings	Bound	Constraint
$(\bar{\mu}_R e_L)(\bar{q}_L q_R), \dots$	$ c_{\mu e} ^2, c_{e\mu} ^2$	3.0×10^{-8} [*]	$\mathcal{B}_{\mu \to e}(\mathrm{Ti}) < 4.3 \times 10^{-12}$
$(\bar{\tau}_R \mu_L)(\bar{\mu}_L \mu_R), \dots$	$ c_{\tau\mu} ^2, c_{\mu\tau} ^2$	$2.0 imes 10^{-1}$ [*]	$\Gamma(au o \mu ar{\mu} \mu) < 2.1 imes 10^{-8}$
$(\bar{\tau}_{R} e_{L})(\bar{\mu}_{L} \mu_{R}), \dots$	$ c_{\tau e} ^2$, $ c_{e\tau} ^2$	$4.8 imes 10^{-1}$ [*]	$\Gamma(au ightarrow ear{\mu}\mu) < 2.7 imes 10^{-8}$
$(\bar{\tau}_{R} e_{L})(\bar{\mu}_{L} e_{R}), \dots$	$ c_{\mu e}c_{e\tau}^* , c_{\mu e}c_{\tau e} $	0.9×10^{-4}	$\Gamma(\tau ightarrow \mu ee) < 1.5 imes 10^{-8}$
$(\bar{\tau}_{R} e_{L})(\bar{\mu}_{R} e_{L}), \dots$	$ c_{e\mu}^* c_{e\tau}^* , c_{e\mu}^* c_{\tau e} $		
$(\bar{\tau}_R \mu_L)(\bar{e}_L \mu_R), \dots$	$ c_{e\mu}c_{\mu\tau}^{*} , c_{e\mu}c_{\tau\mu} $	1.0×10^{-4}	$\Gamma(au o ar{e}\mu\mu) < 1.7 imes 10^{-8}$
$(\bar{\tau}_{R} \mu_{L})(\bar{e}_{R} \mu_{L}), \dots$	$ c_{\mu e}^{*}c_{\mu \tau}^{*} , c_{\mu e}^{*}c_{\tau \mu} $		

- \blacktriangleright ... \rightarrow other possible operators of the same type but with different chiral structures
- [*] \rightarrow assuming diagonal couplings as in the SM $\rightarrow c_{\ell\ell} = y_{\ell} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{2}m_{\ell}}{v}$

Low energy bounds Higgs decay at LHC

Lepton sector: 1 loop

logarithmically-divergent corrections to the lepton masses

$$\delta \mathfrak{m}_{\ell} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \sum_{j \neq \ell} c_{\ell j} c_{j\ell} \mathfrak{m}_j \log\left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}_h^2}{\Lambda^2}\right) \qquad \rightarrow \qquad |\delta \mathfrak{m}_{\ell}| < \mathfrak{m}_{\ell} \qquad (3)$$

anomalous magnetic moments and electric diapole moments ►

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta a_{\ell}| &= \frac{4m_{\ell}^2}{m_{h}^2} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \sum_{j \neq \ell} \operatorname{Re}(c_{\ell j} c_{j \ell}) \frac{m_j}{m_{\ell}} \left(\log \frac{m_{h}^2}{m_j^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right) , \qquad (4) \\ |d_{\ell}| &= \frac{2m_{\ell}}{m_{h}^2} \frac{e}{(4\pi)^2} \sum_{j \neq \ell} \operatorname{Im}(c_{\ell j} c_{j \ell}) \frac{m_j}{m_{\ell}} \left(\log \frac{m_{h}^2}{m_j^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Lepton Flavor Violating decays

$$\Gamma(l_{i} \to l_{j}\gamma) = m_{i}^{3} \frac{e^{2}}{16\pi} (|A_{ij}^{L}|^{2} + |A_{ij}^{R}|^{2})$$
(6)

with coefficients

$$|A_{\mu e}^{R}| = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} |c_{e\tau} c_{\tau\mu}| \frac{m_{\tau}}{m_{h}^{2}} \left(\log \frac{m_{h}^{2}}{m_{\tau}^{2}} - \frac{3}{2} \right), \quad |A_{\mu e}^{L}| \text{ for } c_{ij} \to c_{ji} (7)$$

$$|A_{\tau \ell}^{R}| = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} |c_{\ell\tau}| y_{\tau} \frac{m_{\tau}}{m_{h}^{2}} \left(\log \frac{m_{h}^{2}}{m_{\tau}^{2}} - \frac{4}{3} \right), \quad |A_{\tau \ell}^{L}| \text{ for } c_{ij} \to c_{ji} (8)$$
Gialact Blackaphere Element provided 125 GeV Higgs like Particle

Low energy bounds Higgs decay at LHC

Lepton sector: 1 loop

Eff. couplings	Bound	Constraint
$ c_{e\tau}c_{\tau e} (c_{e\mu}c_{\mu e})$	1.1×10^{-2} (1.8×10^{-1})	$ \delta m_e < m_e$
$ \operatorname{Re}(c_{e\tau}c_{\tau e}) (\operatorname{Re}(c_{e\mu}c_{\mu e}))$	0.6×10^{-3} (0.6×10^{-2})	$ \delta a_e < 6 \times 10^{-12}$
$ \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{c}_{e\tau}\mathbf{c}_{\tau e}) (\mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{c}_{e\mu}\mathbf{c}_{\mu e}))$	0.8×10^{-8} (0.8×10^{-7})	$ d_e < 1.6 \times 10^{-27} \text{ ecm}$
$ c_{\mu\tau}c_{\tau\mu} $	2	$ \delta \mathfrak{m}_{\mu} < \mathfrak{m}_{\mu}$
$ \operatorname{Re}(c_{\mu\tau}c_{\tau\mu}) $	2×10^{-3}	$ \delta a_{\mu} < 4 \times 10^{-9}$
$ \text{Im}(c_{\mu\tau}c_{\tau\mu}) $	0.6	$ d_{\mu} < 1.2 imes 10^{-19} m ~ecm$
$ c_{e\tau}c_{\tau\mu} , c_{\tau e}c_{\mu\tau} $	$1.7 imes 10^{-7}$	$\mathcal{B}(\mu \to e\gamma) < 2.4 \times 10^{-12}$
$ c_{\mu\tau} ^2, c_{\tau\mu} ^2$	$0.9 imes 10^{-2}$ [*]	$\mathcal{B}(\tau \to \mu \gamma) < 4.4 \times 10^{-8}$
$ c_{e\tau} ^2, c_{\tau e} ^2$	$0.6 imes 10^{-2}$ [*]	$\mathcal{B}(\tau \to e\gamma) < 3.3 \times 10^{-8}$

Lepton sector: 2 loops

Loop suppressed but proportional to only one lepton Yukawa (enanched)

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Eff. couplings	Bound	Constraint	
$ c_{e\mu} ^2$, $ c_{\mu e} ^2$	1×10^{-11} [*]	$\mathcal{B}(\mu \to e\gamma) < 2.4 \times 10^{-12}$	m = 125 CeV
$ c_{\mu\tau} ^2$, $ c_{\tau\mu} ^2$ $ c_{\alpha\tau} ^2$, $ c_{\tau\alpha} ^2$	5×10^{-4} [*] 3×10^{-4} [*]	$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{B}(\tau \to \mu \gamma) < 4.4 \times 10^{-8} \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau \to e \gamma) < 3.3 \times 10^{-8} \end{array}$	$m_h = 125 \text{ GeV}$

Conclusions

- $\mathcal{B}(h \to q_i q_j) < \mathcal{B}(h \to b\bar{s}, \bar{s}b) < 4 \times 10^{-4}$
- $\mathcal{B}(h \to \tau \bar{\mu} + \bar{\mu} \tau) \to \mathcal{O}(10\%)$
 - CPV phases can be even O(1)
 - not unnatural couplings needed ($|c_{\mu\tau}|, |c_{\tau\mu}| \stackrel{<}{{}_{\sim}} y_{\tau}$)
 - if $|c_{e\tau(\tau e)}/c_{\mu\tau(\tau\mu)}| < 10^{-2}$
- $\mathcal{B}(h \to \tau \bar{e} + \bar{e}\tau) \to \mathcal{O}(10\%)$
 - if neglgleble CPV phases (edms)
 - if $|c_{\mu\tau(\tau\mu)}/c_{e\tau(\tau e)}| < 10^{-2}$
- the two before not togheter $(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$
- $\mathcal{B}(h \to \bar{\mu}e + e\bar{\mu}) < 3 \times 10^{-9} (\mu \to e \text{ conversion and } \mu \to e\gamma)$

To our experimental collegue:

consider these dedicated searches!!

Thank you for the attention

ヘロン 人間 とくほとくほとう