

Antikaon-nucleon/nuclei interaction studies at low energies the AMADUES project

Dr. Kristian Piscicchia* INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati on behalf of the AMADEUS Collaboration



*kristian.piscicchia@lnf.infn.it





Scientific case

The experimental investigation of the low energy interaction of antikaons (S = -1) with nucleons and nuclei is fundamental in understanding how spontaneous and explicit breaking of chiral $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ symmetry in QCD occurs in nuclear environment.

6

The isospin (I = 0) s-wave \overline{KN} interaction is quite strongly attractive around the \overline{KN} threshold (from kaonic hydrogen data), unlike the weakly repulsive kaon – nucleon (S = +1) interaction.



Chiral perturbation theory is not directly applicable to the sector with baryon number (B = 1) and strangeness (S = -1) due to the formation of the $\Lambda(1405)$ about 30 MeV below the K⁻ p threshold.

- Different theoretical approaches are followed ..
- conclusive **experimental data are necessary to set** more **stringent constraints**.



Scientific case

Deeply bound Kaonic nuclear states requires the presence of a strong attractive \overline{KN} interaction in the isospin I=0 channel ($\Lambda\pi$ channel closed for isospin selection, $\Sigma\pi$ channel energetically closed)

The pillars of the existence of narrow \overline{K} - nuclear states are:

- The low energy KN scattering data
 - The kaonic hydrogen shift and with of the ground state
- The binding energy and decay with of $\Lambda(1405)$ regarded as an isospin



Scientific case and state of the experimental search_

ر که کنده مرج مرج که وله که که مرج ک

5

100 100

• DBKNS were firstly suggested by **Wycech**. **Y. Akaishi and T. Yamazaki** '*nuclear bound* states in light nuclei' with binding energies (up to 120 MeV) and narrow with (about 20 MeV) (S. Wycech, Nucl. Phys. A450 (1986) 399c) (for K⁻ppn systems). (Phys. Rev. C65 (2002) 044005)

 Possible experimental indications of the formation of kaonic nuclear states have received alternative explanations in the framework of known processes

Recent calculations of K⁻pp systems suggests relatively moderate bindings and larger widths N. V. Sevchenko, A. Gal, J. Mares, J. Revai, Phys. Rev. C 76, 044004 (2007) A. Dote, T. Hyodo, W. Weise, Nucl. Phys. A 804, 197 (2008)

Performed experiments: E471, E549, E570 @ KEK, FINUDA @ DAΦNE, FOPI @ GSI, OBELIX

future experiments: FOPI @ GSI, E15 @ J-PARC, FAIR @ GSI ... and AMADEUS

Possibility to **explore the nature of the** $\Lambda(1405)$ (resonance, quasibound state emerging from the coupling between the KN and the $\Sigma\pi$ channels ?) **produced by KN reactions** in various targets, also observing the porly explored **clean** $\Sigma^0\pi^0$ **decay channel**.

'*Kaon induced* Λ(1405) production on a deuteron target at DAFNE' D. Jido, E. Oset, T. Sekihara Eur.Phys.J.A47:42,2011.

Experimental program

AMADEUS aims to confirm or deny the existence of such exotic states performing a **full acceptance**, **high precision measurement of DBKNS both in formation and in the decay process**, implementing the KLOE detector with an inner AMADEUS dedicated setup:

Study of the (most) fundamental antikaon deeply bound nuclear systems, the **kaonic dibaryon states: ppK⁻ and (pnK⁻)** produced in a ³He gas target, in formation and decay processes. As next step **kaonic 3-baryon states: ppnK⁻ and pnnK⁻ produced** in a ⁴He gas target.

The important state $\Lambda(1405)$ and its behaviour in the nuclear medium could be better understood with high statistics.

Measurement of the low-energy charged kaon cross sections on H, d, Helium(3 and 4), for K⁻ momentum lower than 100 MeV/c (missing today).

Study of the **K⁻ nuclear interactions in Helium** (poorly known, based on one paper from 1970 ...)

Setup performance requirements

Formation processes

$$K^{-}_{stopped}$$
 + ⁴He \rightarrow p + (K⁻pnn)

 $K^{-}_{stopped}$ + ⁴He \rightarrow **n** + ($K^{-}ppn$)

Study of the exotic states by the energy/momentum distribution of the ejected protons and neutrons. The setup should be able to measure:

- position of K⁻ stop: primary vertex and K⁺ tracking (trigger)
- outgoing neutrons and protons



Setup performance requirements



Invariant mass spectroscopy

this requires:

11013

- identification of all decay products, including protons neutrons and pions from hyperons decay
 - measurement of 4-momenta of charged and neutral particles
 - protons 200 800 Mev/c ; pions 50 200 Mev/c ; neutrons 200 800 Mev/c ; deuterons ...



requirements satisfied by ..



KLOE

double ring e^+e^- collider working in C. M. energy of φ , producing $\approx 600 \text{ K}^+\text{K}^-/\text{s}$

•low momentum Kaons
≈ 127 Mev/c
•back to back K⁺K⁻ topology

•96% acceptance,
•optimized in the energy range of all charged particles involved
•good performance in detecting neutrons checked by kloNe group

9

DAONE

M. Anelli et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 581, 368 (2007)



experimental setup: trigger system

e-

R&D activity is going on

prototipe of the trigger system layers of BCF-10 fibers double cladded free to rotate read at both sides by Hamamatsu S10362-11-050-U SiPM

time resolution obtained (σ) for kaons 300ps (Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research, A (2012), pp. 125-128).

KLOE data analysis

14

The drift chamber of KLOE contains mainly ⁴He (90% helium, 10% isobutane).

From the Monte Carlo simulation **0.1% of K⁻** from DAΦNE should **stop in the DC inner volume**.

We can then study the interaction of K⁻ with ⁴He filling the chamber (or with C from the drift chamber entrance wall carbon fiber).

Concluding remarks

- The AMADEUS collaboration aims to perform a complete search for DBKNS and to study the low energy interaction of K⁻ with light nuclei, by implementing a dedicated AMADEUS setup in KLOE.
- 100
- A **unic opportunity** is offered by the special features of the **DAΦNE** collider and the **KLOE** detector implemented with a specific **AMADEUS setup** !
- { -129 -
- **R&D** activity is **presently going on** for the trigger and target system.
- The **KLOE reconstruction capability** for the AMADEUS channels is already being tested by anlyzing 2002-2005 KLOE data,

<u>KLOE data analysis:</u> study of the $\Lambda(1405)$ through its neutral decay channel

The distribution shape of the $\Lambda(1405)$ depends on the observed decay channel ($\Sigma^+\pi^-$, $\Sigma^-\pi^+$, $\Sigma^0\pi^0$) and on the production mechanism. It is of **great importance to explore the** $\Lambda(1405)$ **production in KN reactions decaying in** $\Sigma^0\pi^0$ **channel** (Σ^0 decays electromagnetically in $\Lambda\gamma$). This is a **golden, still poorly explored, channel** since the main source of background $\Sigma(1385)$ can not decay in $\Sigma^0\pi^0$ for isospin selection.

We searched for signal of $\Lambda(1405)$ produced by interaction of K⁻ with a proton in the DC entrance wall or in the gas filling the DC (up to now a total luminosity of 1.2 fb⁻¹ was analyzed), according to the reaction:

 $K^-p \to \Lambda(1405) \to \Sigma^0 \pi^0 \to (\Lambda\gamma)(\gamma\gamma) \to (p\pi^-) 3\gamma.$

To this end a MC simulation was created in which K⁻ is stopped in the inner DC volume, here interacts with a proton of ⁴He forming an object with mass 1432 MeV, and momentum equal to the Fermi momentum of the proton in ⁴He nucleus.

Such object subsequently decays according to the reaction:

 $K^- p \to \Sigma^0 \pi^0 \to (\Lambda \gamma_3)(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) \to (p\pi^-)\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3.$

As first we identify $\Lambda(1116)$ through its charged decay in a pion and a proton as shortly described below.

Reconstruction of Λ decay vertex: $\Lambda \to p \pi^-$ (BR ~ 64%) requests:

Positive tracks with the last DC measurement near the calorimeter, and dE/dx > a - bp + cp^2 are identified as protons (the coefficients were optimized using dE/dx v.s. p distribution of protons already identified using the energy released in the calorimeter) (see also KLOE Memo 330 September 2006)

KLOE data analysis: Selection criteria for the $\Lambda(1116)$

vertex with at last two opposite charged particles spatial position of vertex inside DC, or in DC entrance wall

negative tracks with dE/dx < 95 ADC counts.

KLOE data analysis: selection of the photon clusters

18

ويوجه برياح فيونيا فالمراج والمراج والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع

- We select those events in which there are at least three neutral clusters (with not associated track in the DC) not coming from K⁺ decay $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$
- A chi square variable χ_t^2 based on time-of-flight is calculated for each couple of selected neutral clusters in order to identify the three photons.
- In order to distinguish the photon clusters coming from π^0 decay, from γ_3 (due to Σ^0 decay) we select the triple which minimizes a chi square variable $\chi_{\pi\Sigma}^2$ based on the combined masses of π^0 and Σ^0 .
 - Specific cuts were optimized over χ_t^2 and $\chi_{\pi\Sigma}^2$ based on MC results.
 - In order to avoid the selection of splitted clusters or background for π^0 , the following cut was introduced (see KLOE memo 311 9 June 2006):

$$R \geq \frac{a}{E_{\gamma_i} + E_{\gamma_j} + b} \quad \text{dove } a = 15000 \, MeV \, cm \ \text{e} \ b = 1 \, MeV$$

Where *R* (cm), E_i , E_i (MeV) are the distance between the clusters and the energies of the two clusters.

According to MC true information, the algorithm has an **efficiency** ~100% in recognizing photon clusters and more than 80% in recognizing the right photons triple!

Identification of neutrons through K=

PF # PF P = 2

interaction in the KLOE DC entrance wall (DCEW)

23

The aim of this work is to test the reconstruction capability of neutron clusters (in the KLOE calorimeter) which is of **great importance** in the study of **missing**, as well as **invariant**, **mass** spectroscopy in the search for **K**-**pp** and **K**-**ppn DBKNS** (presently studied by Dr. Vasquez Doce).

At this end a MC simulation was created in which K⁻ interacts with a p in the DCEW (much statistic) giving rise to the reaction:

$$\begin{split} K^{-}p &\to \Sigma^{0}\pi^{0} \to (\Lambda\gamma_{3})(\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}) \to (n\pi^{0})\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}\gamma_{3} \to n(\gamma_{4}\gamma_{5})\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}\gamma_{3}. \\ (\Sigma^{0} \text{ decays electromagnetically in } \Lambda\gamma, \Lambda \to n\pi^{0}(\text{BR=36\%})) \end{split}$$

compared to data analysis results for the same channel.

PROBLEMS:

6 neutral clusters in each event !

No track in the calorimeter, **no** decay **vertex** !

Events are retained in which K⁺ is tagged, K⁻ is not tagged. K⁺ track is extapolated backword so to obtain the K⁻ - DCEW interaction point r_{K} . The χ_{t}^{2} variable is applied searching for 5 photon candidates. The algorithm has an efficiency of ~ 96% in selecting photons (MC true information)!

Identification of neutrons through K⁼ interaction in the KLOE DC entrance wall

24

4)

For each couple a new chi square variable is calculated based on the invariant mass of four photons in order to identify the two couples of photons coming from π^0 decay.

Many sources of background were taken into account: 1) **cluster splitting** 2) presence of a **negative kaons not tagged** by dE/dx or 2 - body decay 3) $\mathbf{K}^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$ (BR ~ 1.8%) Events in which $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{p} \pi^-$ (BR ~ 64%) while $\mathbf{K}^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$.

To conclude, for each identified π^0 a new chi square variable is calculated based on the Λ invariant mass to identify, among the two π^0 s, the one coming from Λ decay.

The width in the invariant mass of the Λ is due to the resolution of the calorimeter and shows the difficulty in performing invariant mass spectroscopy in the case of totally neutral channels.

The capability in neutron identification of our algorithm turns instead to be very interesting, and can give important informations in the study of Λ
– p or Λ - d events (produced as a consequence of K⁻ interaction in ⁴He) when Λ decays through its charged channel, in the search for DBKNS.

Scientific case $\Lambda(1405)$

 $\Lambda(1405)$ is a negative parity baryon resonance (spin = 1/2, isospin = 0, strangeness = -1) located slightly below the \overline{KN} threshold, decaying into the $\Sigma\pi$ channel trough the strong interaction.

The quark model picture has some difficulties to reproduce the $\Lambda(1405)$.

100

C

- R. Dalitz and collaborators first suggested to interpret $\Lambda(1405)$ as an \overline{KN} quasibound state.
- In the context of chiral theories the $\Lambda(1405)$ is explained as an I = 0 quasibound state emerging from the coupling between the \overline{KN} and the $\Sigma\pi$ channels.
- the distribution shape depends on the observed decay channel ($\Sigma^+\pi^-$, $\Sigma^-\pi^+$, $\Sigma^0\pi^0$) and on the production mechanism. **Great importance to explore the A(1405) production in KN reactions**.

Scientific case

3

In the context of chiral theories the $\Lambda(1405)$ is explained as an I = 0 quasibound state emerging from the coupling between the KN and the $\Sigma\pi$ channels. Here comes out that there are two poles in the neighborhood of the $\Lambda(1405)$, both contributing to the final experimental invariant mass distribution.

One pole has a mass of ~1390 MeV and a width of ~130 MeV and is strongly coupled to the $\Sigma\pi$ channel.

A second pole has a mass 1420-1430 MeV, a width of 30 MeV and mostly couples to the KN channel.

As a consequence, the distribution shape depends on the observed decay channel ($\Sigma^+\pi^-$, $\Sigma^-\pi^+$, $\Sigma^0\pi^0$) and on the production mechanism.

We then see the great importance to explore the **A(1405)** production in KN reactions decaying in $\Sigma^0 \pi^0$ channel (Σ^0 decays electromagnetically in $\Lambda \gamma$). This is a golden, still poorly explored, channel since the main source of background $\Sigma(1385)$ can not decay in $\Sigma^0 \pi^0$ for isospin selection.

Selection of the photon clusters

12

We select those events in which there are at least three neutral clusters (with not associated track in the DC) not coming from K⁺ decay $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$

In order to identify the three photon clusters, a chi square variable is calculated for each couple of selected clusters, based on the difference $t = t_i - t_j$ where..

 T_{i}

The three couples of photon candidates (in time from Λ decay vertex \mathbf{r}_{Λ}) minimizing this variable are selected (a check controls that each cluster appears two times in the three couples).

In order to distinguish the photon clusters coming from π^0 decay, from γ_3 (due to Σ^0 decay) we select the triple which minimizes:

$$\chi^2_{\pi\Sigma} = \frac{(m_{\pi^0} - m_{ij})^2}{\sigma^2_{ij}} + \frac{(m_{\Sigma^0} - m_{k\Lambda})^2}{\sigma^2_{k\Lambda}} \qquad \text{Where } \sigma_{_{ij}} \sigma_{_{k\Lambda}} \text{ are respectively the variances of the invariant mass of two photons and one photon with the } \Lambda$$

Specific cuts were optimized over χ_t^2 and $\chi_{\pi\Sigma}^2$ based on MC results.

Selection of the photon clusters

13

10000

In order to avoid the selection of splitted clusters or background for π^0 , the following cut was introduced (see KLOE memo 311 9 June 2006):

 $R \geq \frac{a}{E_{\gamma_i} + E_{\gamma_j} + b} \quad \text{dove } a = 15000 \, MeV \, cm \ \text{e} \ b = 1 \, MeV$

Where *R* (cm), E_i , E_j (MeV) are the distance between the clusters and the energies of the two clusters.

