2025.5.29 Muon4Future

Muon catalyzed fusion

Recent theoretical progress and Recent experiments at J-PARC

Takuma Yamashita

Tohoku University, Japan

Collaborators

Yasushi Kino (Tohoku Univ.) Shinji Okada (Chubu Univ.) Yuichi Toyama (Chubu Univ.) & HEATES collaboration Kenichi Okutsu (Gakushuin Univ.)

Muon catalyzed fusion (µCF)

 μ^- confines d and t in a molecule and facilitates fusion reaction



Muon catalyzed fusion "cycle"



 $Y_{\text{fusion}} \simeq \left(W + \lambda_0 / \lambda_c \phi \right)^{-1}$

- Muons first form <u>muonic atoms</u> and then form the muonic molecules
 - After nuclear fusion, small part of the <u>muons sticks to the helium</u> <u>nucleus</u>, which results in a loss of muons.
- Fusion yield depends on the cycle rate and the sticking probability.

$$V \sim 0.5 \%$$
, $\lambda_c \sim 10^8 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$

@Liquid hydrogen density

$$\rightarrow Y_{\text{fusion}} \approx 10^2$$

 $300 \text{ fusions}/\mu = \text{scientific breakeven}$ 150 fusions/ μ is the current world record.

Muonic molecule

- Typically have **200-300 eV binding energy**
- Sparse energy levels
- **ddµ, dtµ** have an excited state with **small binding energy**

<i>J</i> , <i>v</i>	ppμ	pdμ	pt <i>µ</i>	$\mathrm{d}\mathrm{d}\mu$	dt <i>µ</i>	ttμ
$\begin{array}{c} 0, 0 \\ 0, 1 \\ 1, 0 \\ 1, 1 \\ 2, 0 \\ 3, 0 \end{array}$	253.15 	221.55 97.50 	213.84 99.13 	325.07 35.84 226.68 1.97 ^b 86.45	319.14 34.83 232.47 0.66° 102.65 ^d	362.91 83.77 289.14 45.21 172.65 48.70

Table 2Coulomb molecular binding energies^a (in eV)

W. H. Breunlich et al., Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 39, 311 (1989).

Vesman mechanism: an efficient dtµ/ddµ formation



A brief history of μCF

1947, 1948 Theoretical prediction of μCF (Frank, Sakharov)
1956 Observation of pdμ fusion by cosmic muons (Alvarez)
1967 Theory of resonant ddμ formation mechanism (Vesman)
1977 Prediction of dtμ reaction rate (Gerstein&Ponomarev)
1987 Observation of X-rays from dt-μCF (Nagamine)
1987 Precise calculation of dtμ binding energy (Kamimura)
1987-2003 Dedicated experiments at PSI, RAL, TRIUMF, JINR, etc (Fusion yield reached 100-150 per muon)

Review article	W. H. Breunlich et al., Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Phys. 1989 L. I. Ponomarev, Contemp. Phys. 1990 P. Froelich, Adv. Phys. 1992	
Review of JINR, PSI experiments	V. R. Bom et al., J. Expt. Theo. Phys. 2005 D. V. Balin et al., Phys. Part. Nucl. 2011	
New insights	M. C. Fujiwara et al., PRL 2000 N. Kawamura et al., PRL 2003	

Revisit µCF

Upgrade of experimental techniques

- Intensity of slow muon beams
- New X-ray detectors

Motivations

• Future energy source

No plasma confinement; **150 fusions/muon** has been achieved so far

• Mono-energetic neutron source

Material analysis, transmutation of LLFPs, etc

• Cooling of µ- beam

Muon microscope, 3D-elemental analysis, Muon collider, etc



M. Kamimura, Y. Kino, T. Yamashita, PRC (2023)

K. Okutsu, TY, et al., (in preparation)

Toward achieving a high fusion yield



Dynamics resulting in the $dt\mu$ molecule from μ is crucial.

Cascade process of the muonic atoms in a dense target

Muonic atoms de-excite during collisions with the other molecules



Muon catalyzed fusion, T. Yamashita (Tohoku Univ.)

A long-standing problem in μ CF kinetics: Resonance state

- Feshbach resonance state between $t\mu(n=2)$ and d.
- Decay with lifetime ~ 10 ps.
- Spontaneously formed during cascade?



11

Impact of the resonance states on μCF cycles



Muon catalyzed fusion, Tayamashita (Tonoku Univ.)^{*}

Kinetic model with resonance states <u>may</u> explain the previous experimental results

TY et al., Scientific Reports **12**, 6393 (2022).



scientific reports | nature portfolio



X-rays can be an evidence of $dt\mu^*$ and $dd\mu^*$

The X-ray spectrum has a state-specific energy structure and becomes their footprints.

However, K X-rays from the muonic atoms are also nearby...



Muon catalyzed fusion, T. Yamashita (Tohoku Univ.)

How difficult to observe the X-rays from resonance molecules?

- We need to distinguish dµ(2p->1s) at 2.0 keV from the molecular X-ray signal spread in 1.6-2.0 keV.
- Typical energy resolution of SDD: >100 eV



S. Sakamoto, K. Ishida, K. Nagamine, Phys. Lett. A **260**, 253 (1999).

Transition-edge sensor (TES) for precise X-ray spectrometry

High energy resolution may distinguish the molecular X-ray from the dµ X-ray!





FIG. 2. X-ray spectra from 5–4 transitions of μ Ne at a pressure of 0.1 atm. The fitted profiles obtained by summing up μ^{20} Ne and μ^{22} Ne contributions are also shown with residual errors. The fitting is carried out by using three spectra at pressures of 0.1, 0.4, and 0.9 atm simultaneously, and the reduced χ^2 (number of degrees of freedom, 284) for the total fitting is evaluated to be 1.26.

T. Okumura et al., PRL 130, 173001 (2023).

Theoretical studies on the X-ray spectra from $dd\mu^*$

So far...

✓ Only 3 states were reported

Challenges

- *** Quantum chemical approach breaks down** (large m_μ)
- * Transition to continuum state

This work

- ★ Calculate precisely the X-ray spectra from all possible states
- ★ By our **in-house** few-body calculation code



Resonance state wavefunction

$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} -\frac{1}{2m_{i}} \nabla_{r_{i}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2m_{G}} \nabla_{r_{G}}^{2} + \frac{1}{r_{12}} - \frac{1}{r_{13}} - \frac{1}{r_{23}}$ Gaussian function $\psi_{J,v}(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} (1 \pm P_{dd}) \{ C_{cijl_{ci}L_{cj}}^{(v)}(\theta) r_{c}^{l_{ci}} R_{c}^{L_{cj}} \exp\left(-a_{i}r_{c}^{2} - A_{j}R_{c}^{2}\right) \sin\left(\beta A_{j}R_{c}^{2}\right) \}$ E. Hiyama et al., Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 51, 223 (2003). $+ D_{cijl_{ci}L_{ci}}^{(v)}(\theta) r_c^{l_{ci}} R_c^{L_{cj}} \exp\left(-a_i r_c^2 - A_j R_c^2\right) \cos\left(\beta A_j R_c^2\right) \left[Y_{l_{ci}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_c) \otimes Y_{L_{cj}}(\hat{\mathbf{R}}_c)\right]_{JM}$

Coupled channelsfor faster convergence





Solve generalized eigenvalue problem $\langle \bar{\psi}_{n}(\theta) | H(\theta) | \psi_{n'}(\theta) \rangle = E_{n}(\theta) \delta_{nn'}$

$$r \to r e^{i\theta}$$
: $E_n(\theta) = E_r - i\Gamma/2$

Symmetry	$v_{ m r}$	This work	Ref. [24]	Ref. [23]	Ref. [19]
$^{1,5}S^{e}$	0	218.1111	218.111 567	218.112	218.113
$^{1,5}S^{e}$	1	135.2785	135.279 003	135.279	135.278
$^{1,5}S^{e}$	2	72.9662	72.967 058	72.697	72.962
$^{1,5}S^{e}$	3	31.9011	31.901 769	31.902	31.884
$^{1,5}S^{e}$	4	12.6165	12.616 688	12.617	12.606
$^{1,5}S^{e}$	5	5.3112	5.311 346	5.311	5.304
$^{1,5}S^{e}$	6	2.2750	2.275 273		2.210
$^{1,5}S^{e}$	7	0.9810	0.981 232		
$^{1,5}S^{e}$	8	0.4241			
$^{3}S^{e}$	0	21.1551			21.156
$^{3}S^{e}$	1	9.4149			9.415
$^{3}S^{e}$	2	4.0801			4.080
$^{3}S^{e}$	3	1.7656			1.603
$^{3}S^{e}$	4	0.7645			
$^{3}S^{e}$	5	0.3311			

Gaussian expansion method

X-ray spectrum calculation

Dipole approximation for resonance-continuum transition

$$|\Psi_E\rangle\langle\Psi_E| = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left(R(\theta) \frac{1}{E - H(-\theta)} R(-\theta) - R(-\theta) \frac{1}{E - H(\theta)} R(\theta) \right)$$

Basis function expansion

$$\langle \bar{\psi}_n(\theta) | H(\theta) | \psi_m(\theta) \rangle = E_n(\theta) \delta_{nm}$$

A Buchleitner et al 1994 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 27 2663

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}E_{\gamma}} = \frac{4}{3}\alpha^{3}E_{\gamma}^{3}\frac{1}{\pi}\mathrm{Im}\sum_{n}\left[\frac{\langle\bar{\psi}_{n}(\theta)\,|\,\mathbf{d}(\theta)\,|\,\Psi_{\mathrm{i}}(\theta)\rangle^{2}}{E_{n}(\theta) - E_{\mathrm{f}}}\right]$$





X-ray spectra from v=0-8, J=0-3

21

TY et al., Phys. Rev. A **111**, 012811 (2025).



Muon catalyzed fusion, T. Yamashita (Tohoku Univ.)

Experiment at J-PARC with TES detector & solid D₂ target

Note: We used pure D₂ instead of D₂-T₂ mixture as the first step



Y. Toyama et al., EXA conference (2024).

Solid deuterium target



Solid deuterium target system

- Base: Ag foil (100 µm)
- Target size: Φ60
- Thickness: 1 mm
- Temperature: ~3 K (Liq. He coolant)
- Chamber pressure: 1x10⁻⁶ Pa

$\checkmark\,$ Stable operation during the measurement.



Y. Toyama et al., EXA conference (2024).

Results of the experiment



Muon catalyzed fusion, T. Yamashita (Tohoku Univ.)

Results of the experiment: Close-up view



How important is this discovery?

First Observation of muonic molecules in resonance state

- μ CF kinetics including dt μ^* was first proposed in 1995.
- Several X-ray spectra were first calculated in 2003.
- However, it has never been proved experimentally.
- Our ddμ^{*} evidence is a breakthrough in μCF studies.

Providing a NEW probe for μCF

- μCF has been studied by fusion neutrons & unresolved X-rays.
- The resolved X-ray spectrum contains much more rich information about the cascade processes.

e.g., Intensity ratio of the molecular X-ray to the atomic X-ray provides reaction rates/temperature of excited muonic atoms.

Novel transition from resonance to bound state

 ${}^{1,\,5}S^{e} \quad {}^{3}S^{e} \quad {}^{3}P^{o} \quad {}^{1,\,5}P^{o} \quad {}^{1,\,5}D^{e} \quad {}^{3}D^{e} \quad {}^{3}F^{o} \quad {}^{1,\,5}F^{o}$

We theoretically discovered that some resonance states show a high branching ratio directly resulting in a bound state molecule...

TY et al., Phys. Rev. A **111**, 012811 (2025).

Muon catalyzed fusion, T. Yamashita (Tohoku Univ.)

Possible application of RB transition: Fast track

De-excitation rate of $t\mu(2s)$ via $dt\mu^*$ into $dt\mu$ (*if selected*) is faster than ordinary Vesman formation of $dt\mu$ from $t\mu(1s)$, rate-limiting process.

Summary

- We are **reactivating µCF** studies in these years.
- μCF could be used as neutron source, energy production, and a negative muon moderator.
- Theoretical predictions stimulated new experiments.
- Experiments at J-PARC MUSE with a new X-ray detector answered a long-standing question in μ CF kinetics.

Future experiments

We want to ...

- Probe **dt** μ^* X-rays using D₂-T₂ mixture target
- **Control** the spontaneous formation of the $dd\mu^*$ and $dt\mu^*$
- Reveal the target dependency of the X-ray spectrum

(Solid, Liquid, Dense gas, etc...)