EUROPEAN PLASMA RESEARCH ACCELERATOR WITH EXCELLENCE IN APPLICATIONS



## Status of the EuPRAXIA project

### Anna Giribono (INFN-LNF) On behalf of the EuPRAXIA collaboration



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation program under grant agreement No. 101072073

Laser-Plasma Accelerators Workshop 2025 April 18<sup>th</sup>, 2025

## **A New European High-Tech User Facility**



FEATURE EUPRAXL

### **European Plasma Research Accelerator With Excellence In Applications**

"the first European project that develops a dedicated particle accelerator research infrastructure based on novel plasma acceleration concepts and laser technology"

Building a facility with very high field plasma accelerators, driven by lasers or beams

- 1 100 GV/m accelerating field
- Shrink down the facility size
  - **Improve Sustainability**

Producing particles and photons to support several urgent and timely science cases

- Drive short wavelength FEL
- Pave the way for future Linear Colliders



Surf's up Simulation of electron-driven plasma wakefield acceleration, showing the drive electron beam (orange/purple), the plasma electron wake (arev) and wakefield-ionised electrons forming a witness beam (orange)

### FUROPE TARGETS SER FA( ]| ,| ] PLASMA ACCELERATION

Ralph Assmann, Massimo Ferrario and Carsten Welsch describe the status of the ESFRI project EuPRAXIA, which aims to develop the first dedicated research infrastructure based on novel plasma-acceleration concepts.

 nergetic beams of particles are used to explore the This scientific success story has been made possible fundamental forces of nature, produce known and through a continuous cycle of innovation in the physics unknown particles such as the Higgs boson at the and technology of particle accelerators, driven for many LHC, and generate new forms of matter, for example at the decades by exploratory research in nuclear and particle future FAIR facility. Photon science also relies on particle physics. The invention of radio-frequency (RF) technology beams: electron beams that emit pulses of intense syn- in the 1920s opened the path to an energy gain of several chrotron light, including soft and hard X-rays, in either tens of MeV per metre. Very-high-energy accelerators were cular or linear machines. Such light sources enable constructed with RF technology, entering the GeV an time-resolved measurements of biological, chemical and finally the TeV energy scales at the Tevatron and the LHC physical structures on the molecular down to the atomic New collision schemes were developed, for example the scale, allowing a diverse global community of users to mini "beta squeeze" in the 1970s, advancing luminosit investigate systems ranging from viruses and bacteria and collision rates by orders of magnitudes. The invention to materials science, planetary science, environmental of stochastic cooling at CERN enabled the discovery o

HEAUTHORS Rainh Assmann DESY and INFN. Massimo Ferrario

science, nanotechnology and archaeology. Last but not the W and Z bosons 40 years ago least, particle beams for industry and health support many However, intrinsic technological and conceptual limits societal applications ranging from the X-ray inspection mean that the size and cost of RF-based particle accelof cargo containers to food sterilisation, and from chip erators are increasing as researchers seek higher beam Welsch University energies. Colliders for particle physics have reached a of Liverpool/INFN manufacturing to cancer therapy

CERN COURIER MAY/IUNE 202

https://cerncourier.com/a/europe-targets-auser-facility-for-plasma-acceleration/

### https://www.eupraxia-facility.org/

**E**<sup><sup>•</sup></sup>**PRA** IA



## **The Livingstone Diagram**





Updated Livingston plot for accelerators, showing the maximum reach in beam energy versus time. Grey bands visualize accelerator applications

### Plasma Accelerator Achievements

- Gradients up to 100 GV/m
- Acceleration > 10 GeV of electron beams



### **EuPRAXIA Scientific Goals**



# Free Electron Laser [EuPRAXIA\_MUR] [EuPRAXIA\_PP] [EuPRAXIA\_DN] [PACRI]

- Betatron Radiation Source [EuAPS\_PNRR]
- Positron Beams

- e+ / e- beams
- ICS Photon Beams





**Flagship Science Goal 1**: EuPRAXIA will deliver free-electron laser (FEL) X rays with 10^9-10^13 photons per pulse to user areas, covering wavelengths of 0.2 nm to 36 nm. The EuPRAXIA FEL pulses are naturally short (down to 0.4 fs) and will therefore provide users with tools for investigating processes and structures in ultra-fast photon science at a reduced facility foot print.

**Flagship Science Goal 2:** EuPRAXIA will deliver betatron X rays with about 10^10 photons per pulse, up to 100 Hz repetition rate and an energy of 5-18 keV to users from the medical area. The much reduced longitudinal length of the X ray emission area (point-like emission) leads to an important improvement in image resolution compared to other techniques.

**Flagship Science Goal 3**: EuPRAXIA will deliver positron beams at energies from 0.5 MeV to 10 MeV and a repetition rate of 100 Hz for material science studies. Per pulse about 10^6 positrons will be produced in a time duration of 20-90 picoseconds on the sample, allowing time-resolved studies. EuPRAXIA will here advance the capabilities of existing positron sources in flux and time resolution.

**Flagship Science Goal 4**: EuPRAXIA will deliver electron and positron beams at energies from a few 100 MeV up to 5 GeV for high energy physics related R&D (detectors, linear collider topics). R&D goals include the demonstration of a linear collider stage, a "table top" HEP test beam and studies on positron transport and acceleration towards a linear collider.

*Flagship Science Goal 5:* EuPRAXIA will deliver photons from an inverse Compton scattering (ICS) source. The photons of up to 600 MeV and with narrow-band spectrum will enable precision nuclear physics and highly penetrative radiography for users.

**Flagship Science Goal 6**: EuPRAXIA will provide access to a multi-stage, high-repetition rate plasma accelerator in the GeV range to users from accelerator science. This R&D platform will allow the testing of novel ideas and concepts, full optimisation of a plasma collider stage, certain fixed target experiments (also in combination with lasers) and performance studies of conventional versus novel accelerator technology.

**Flagship Science Goal 7**: EuPRAXIA will provide access to cutting edge laser technology with short pulse length in combination with high energy photon pulses and short electron/positron bunches. Novel schemes of pump probe configurations and ultra-precise timing will be researched, feeding back into laser science.



600+ page CDR, 240 scientists contributed



**–PACRI** 



- The EuPRAXIA Consortium today: 54 institutes from 18 countries plus CERN
- Included in the ESFRI Road Map
- Efficient fund raising:
- -**Preparatory Phase** consortium (funding EU, UK, Switzerland, in-kind)
- -Doctoral Network (funding EU, UK, inkind)
- -EuPRAXIA@SPARC\_LAB (Italy, in-kind)
- -EuAPS Project (Next Generation EU)







## **EuPRAXIA selects ELI for the Laser-Driven Accelerator Site**

https://www.eupraxia-facility.org/post/eupraxia-selects-eli-for-second-laser-driven-accelerator-site

The FuPRAXIA Consortium selected the **ELI Beamlines Facility in Czech Republic as the** site for its laser-driven plasma accelerator pillar. ELI Beamlines was selected for its *infrastructure readiness, state-of-the-art laser* systems, and comprehensive technical expertise.

EuPRAXIA also recognises the *important roles of* the other candidates for the second site, EPAC and CNR-INO.

- **EPAC** could contribute to EuPRAXIA as a centre for R&D, focusing on advancing research towards achieving a high-quality 5 GeV electron beam.
- While **CNR-INO** could function as EuPRAXIA's • National Node in Italy.





## **EUPRAXIA Headquarter and Site 1: EuPRAXIA@SPARC\_LAB**





- **Technical Design Report completed (due by June 2025)** 
  - Construction site opening: September 2026

Credit: INFN and Mythos – consorzio stabile s.c.a.r.l. www.eupraxia-pp.org

### Frascati's future facility

- > 130 M€ invest funding
- Beam-driven plasma accelerator - <u>PWFA</u>
- Europe`s most compact and most southern FEL
- The world`s most compact RF accelerator
   X band with CERN





## **High Quality Beams Required**





### Basic beam quality achieved in pilot FEL experiments



presented at LPAW 2025, April 15 !

### EuPRAXIA@SPARC\_LAB machine layout completed

## **EuPRAXIA@SPARC\_LAB Status**



## The EuPRAXIA@SPARC\_LAB accelerator







### Expected electron parameters from the EuPRAXIA@SPARC\_LAB accelerator



Parameter	Unit	PWFA	Full X-band
Electron Energy	GeV	1-1.2	1
Bunch Charge	pC	30-50	200-500
Peak Current	kA	1-2	1-2
RMS Energy Spread	%	0.1	0.1
RMS Bunch Length	$\mu$ m	6-3	24-20
RMS norm Emittance	$\mu$ m	1	1
Slice Energy Spread	%	≤0.05	≤0.05
Slice norm Emittance	mm-mrad	0.5	0.5

- Two different configurations:
  - Main: ~500 MeV beam from the X-band linac + 60 cm capillary PWFA acceleration up to 1.2 GeV
    - Smaller accelerated charge
    - Shorter pulses
    - Final energy easily upgradable in future with similar building occupancy (~ m)
  - Secondary: ~1 GeV beam from the X-band linac alone (with additional RF power)
    - Larger charge per bunch
    - Longer pulses
    - At the upper limit of RF technology (not easily upgradable without extending the occupancy)





### High brightness beam generation: the SPARC like S-band photoinjector





[\*] M. Ferrario et al, NIMA Vol. 637, Issue 1, pp 43-46 (2011) doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2010.02.018</u>



### **The SPARC\_LAB experience**







## World`s Most Compact RF Linac: X Band





		Value		
	PARAMETER	with linear	w/o	
		tapering	tapering	
	Frequency [GHz]	11.99	42	
	Average acc. gradient [MV/m]	60		
	Structures per module	2		
	Iris radius a [mm]	3.85-3.15	3.5	
	Tapering angle [deg]	0.04	0	
	Struct. length $L_s$ act. Length (flange-to-flange) [m]	0.94 (1	.05)	
	No. of cells	112	2	
	Shunt impedance R [MΩ/m]	93-107	100	
	Effective shunt Imp. $R_{sh eff}$ [M $\Omega$ /m]	350	347	
	Peak input power per structure [MW]	70		
	Input power averaged over the pulse [MW]	51		
	Average dissipated power [kW]	1		
	P <sub>out</sub> /P <sub>in</sub> [%]	25		
.0	Filling time [ns]	130	)	
.4	Peak Modified Poynting Vector [W/µm <sup>2</sup> ]	3.6	4.3	
	Peak surface electric field [MV/m]	160	190	
	Unloaded SLED/BOC Q-factor Q <sub>0</sub>	1500	00	
	External SLED/BOC Q-factor Q <sub>E</sub>	21300	20700	
	Required Kly power per module [MW]	20		
	RF pulse [µs]	1.5	;	
	Rep. Rate [Hz]	100	)	



X-band cavity prototype under test at TEX facility (INFN-LNF)



Courtesy D. Alesini

### **Plasma Module**





- 40 cm long capillary  $\rightarrow 1^{st}$  prototype for the EuPRAXIA facility
  - Made with special junction to allow negligible gas leaks (<10<sup>-10</sup> mbar)
- Operating conditions

**E**<sup>t</sup>**PR**<sup>A</sup>**XI**A

- 1 Hz repetition rate (to be increased up to 100 Hz)
- 10 kV 380 A minimum values for ionization
- 6 inlets for gas injection. Electro-valve aperture time 8-12 ms



Courtesy A. Biagioni, R. Pompili

www.eupraxia-pp.org

A. Biagioni, V. Lollo





## **Radiation Generation: FEL**



### Two FEL lines:





Courtesy L. Giannessi



## **AQUA beamline scientific case**



In the energy region between Oxygen and Carbon K-edge 2.34 nm – 4.4 nm (530 eV -280 eV) water is almost transparent to radiation while nitrogen and carbon are absorbing (and scattering)



Coherent Imaging of biological samples protein clusters, VIRUSES and cells living in their native state. Possibility to study dynamics ~10 <sup>11</sup> photons/pulse needed

## And other experimental techniques and typology of samples

- Coherent imaging
- X-ray spectroscopy
- Raman spectroscopy
- Photo-fragmentation of molecules



Courtesy F. Stellato



### EuPRAXIA@SPARC\_LAB Start to End Simulation Results



Radiation Parameter	Unit	PWFA	Full X-band	Electron Beam Parameter	
Wavelength	nm	3-4	4	Electron Energy	
Pulse length	fs	15.0	-	Bunch Charge	
fwhm)				Peak Current	
Photons per Pulse	× 10 <sup>12</sup>	0.1- 0.25	1	RMS Energy Spread	
Photon	%	0.1	0.5	RMS Bunch Length	
Bandwidth				RMS norm.	
Undulator Area	m	30	)	Emittance	
Length				Slice Energy	
ho(1D/3D)	$\times 10^{-3}$	2	2	Spread	
Photon Brilliance	$(smm^2mrad^2)$	$1_{-2} \times 10^{28}$	$1 \times 10^{27}$	Emittance	mr
per shot	$\binom{s}{bw(0.1\%)}$	$1-2 \times 10^{-1}$	1 × 10	Energy jitter	

Electron Beam Parameter	Unit	PWFA	Full X-band
Electron Energy	GeV	1-1.2	1.2
Bunch Charge	рС	30 - 50	200-500
Peak Current	kA	~ 3.0	1-2
RMS Energy Spread	%	< 1	0.1
RMS Bunch Length	μm	3-6	24-20
RMS norm. Emittance	$\mu$ m	0.7 – 1.2	1
Slice Energy Spread	%	≤0.05	≤0.05
Slice norm Emittance	mm-mrad	0.5 – 0.8	0.5
Energy jitter	%	< 1	0.1

• Bold values indicate the main working point



# Working point optimisation of the comb beam for plasma acceleration



- The working point optimisation starts from desired plasma accelerating gradient and beam brightness at FEL entrance.
- It relies on following considerations
  - Longitudinal phase space  $\rightarrow$ 
    - Double RF compression in the photoinjector for fine-tuning of bunch current profile and spacing
    - Avoiding beam overlapping that leads to anomalous dynamics in the plasma and the downstream transport line to the FEL (driver removal through chicane)
  - Transverse phase space → matching to the plasma in terms of Twiss parameters
    - emittance preservation through the insertion of plasma ramps
  - Matching in the X-band linac with 'fodo'-like behaviour and low beta function (<30 m)</li>
     → better control of wakefields in case of misalignments



[\*] S Romeo *et al* 2023 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **65** 115005**DOI** 10.1088/1361-6587/acfbf6



## **1 GeV electron beams with PWFA**





@linac exit	witness	driver
Charges	30-50	200 - 500
Energy (GeV)	0.5 – 1.0	0.5 – 1.0
I <sub>peak</sub> (kA)	2 - 3	0.5 – 2.5
dE/E (‰)	0.06 - 0.08	0.13 – 0.20
Proj. Emitt xy (mm-mrad)	0.65	1.5 – 4.0
Delay (ps)	0.5 – 1.0	1.5 – 4.0

Beam parameters @linac exit



Witness slice analysis @plasma exit



Energy (GeV)	1
Energy jitter (%)	1
I <sub>peak</sub> (kA)	3.07
dE/E (‰)	0.46
Proj. Emitt x	0.69
Proj. Emitt y	0.65

Witness slice analysis (upper) and rms parameters (lower) @FEL entrance

From the cathode to the FEL entrance

\* each regime corresponds to a different sim code  $\rightarrow$  TStep, ASTRA, Elegant, , Architect, Genesis ...



### Nominal WP 200+30 pC: Macroparameters evolution SPARC LAB

• Plasma ramp, 10 cm long, have been introduced in beam dynamics simulations following the numerical studies consistent with experimental results



- Reduction of core energy spread
- Energy spread minimum with  $n_p = 10^{16} {
  m cm}^{-3}$
- Full preservation of emittance
- Final energy 1.01 GeV
- Maximum accelerating gradient 0. 98 GV/m
- Average accelerating gradient pprox 0. 8 GV/m

Nominal Working Point  $n_p = 10^{16} {
m cm^{-3}}$ 







### Stefano Romeo stefano.romeo@Inf.infn.it

EuPRAXIA VIII TDR Review Committee

### Frascati 2024-11-25



### W-D Separation beamline: from plasma module to FEL





Witness slice analysis @plasma exit

A. Giribono



Separation beamline matching (fodo + chicane + collimators) Simulation input file contains the entire beam (W+D)



3000

Energy (GeV)1γ1957.95Ipeak (kA)3.07dE/E (‰)0.46Proj. Emitt x0.69Proj. Emitt y0.65

Witness slice analysis (upper) and rms parameters (lower) @FEL entrance



**Accelerator sensitivity** 



• From the SPARC\_LAB experience and state of the art are considered jitter as in table



Plasma density			1
n <sub>o</sub>	± 1	%	ſ

Jitter contribution introduced in S2E simulations

from simulations for main working point (VB - CF. 20)

- @plasma injection
- 5 fs W-D distance jitter



adding plasma density jitter @plasma exit δE = 1 % rms <E> = 1 GeV



Analytical evaluation of plasma vs linac contribution to energy gain jitter @plasma exit

[\*] S. Romeo *et al* 2024 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **2687** 042008**DOI** 10.1088/1742-6596/2687/4/042008



### Sensitivity studies: from cathode to plasma exit



@plasma	exit

- 200 + 30 pC nominal working point affected by LINAC and plasma jitters
- plasma density is assumed to jitter 1% (one sigma) around the "nominal" longitudinal value



Courtesy of A. Del Dotto

@linac exit	Witness	Driver	Units
Charge	30.00 ± 0.04	200.00 ± 0.30	рС
Energy	562.53 ± 0.32	563.86 ± 0.30	MeV
Energy spread	0.06 ± 0.0003	0.128 ± 0.0006	%
Bunch length	18.00 ± 0.22	151.5 ± 0.81	fs
l <sub>peak</sub>	2.055 ± 101	-	kA
Δt	0.529 ± 0.004	-	ps
ε <sub>nx v</sub>	0.77 ± 0.008	$1.6 \pm 0.018$	mm mrad
$\sigma_{x,y}$	$4.4 \pm 0.3$	8.9 ± 0.13	μm
β <sub>x,y</sub>	25.8 ± 3.5	55.0 ± 1.4	Mm
$\alpha_{x,v}$	$1.0 \pm 0.15$	0.70 ± 0.030	

Errors are intended as rms quantities





### Sensitivity studies: FEL emission (statistics over 101 shots)





	Unit	Average value	Error	Relative error
Wavelength	nm	4.0037	0.084	0.02
Energy (25 m)	uJ	10.54	5.2	0.49
Photon number	x 10 <sup>11</sup>	2.092	1.01	0.48

### Courtesy of V. Petrillo – M. Opromolla

3,9

4,0

lamda(nm)

4,1

4,2

4,3

3,8

Count Count

5

0

3.7

A. Giribono





- Misalignments on input beam distribution at X-band linac entrance, accelerating and magnetic elements
- The study has been performed with the Elegant code looking at the comb WP performances at the plasma entrance
  - Beam quality
  - Witness-driver transverse alignment
- Errors have been added as in table in according to following considerations:
  - All quantities are expressed as the rms values of a random Gaussian distribution, with a cutoff at  $2\sigma$
  - An initial offset is applied to the beam to simulate a potential off-axis trajectory in the upstream photoinjector
  - The X-band accelerating cavities are paired together in sets of two
  - Bold values are intended as result of BBA technique

Error type	Value	Units
Beam distribution @linac entrance		
X-Y Misalignment	<b>25,</b> 50	μm
X-band Accelerating Sections		
X-Y Misalignment	<b>25</b> , 70	μm
Quadrupoles		
X-Y Misalignment	<b>25</b> , 70	μm
Rotation about longitudinal axis	<b>25</b> , 70	μrad



30+200 pC

(worst case - pre-BBA)



0.2

0.05

0

0

0.4



www.eupraxia-pp.org

A. Giribono









### **EuPRAXIA Advanced Photon Sources (EuAPS)**

- Supported by PNRR funding
- Collaboration among INFN, CNR, University of Tor Vergata
- EuPRAXIA → laser-driven betatron radiation source @SPARC\_LAB
  - → development of high power (up to 1 PW at LNS) and high repetition rate (up to 100 Hz at CNR Pisa) laser
  - ightarrow pre-cursor for user-facility
  - Ultrafast laser pulse duration tens of fs useful for time resolved experiments (XFEL tens of fs, synchrotron tens to 100 ps).
  - 2) Broad energy spectrum important for X-ray spectroscopy.
  - 3) High brightness small source size and high photon flux for fast processes
  - 4) Large market 50 synchrotron light sources worldwide, 6 hard XFEL's and 3 soft-ray ones (many accelerators operational and some under construction).

Electron beam Energy [MeV]	50-800
Plasma Density [cm <sup>-3</sup> ]	10 <sup>17</sup> - 10 <sup>19</sup>
Photon Critical Energy [keV]	1 - 10
Nuber of Photons/pulse	$10^{6} - 10^{9}$



Figure 3: Principle of betatron X-ray emission from a LWFA. Electrons trapped at the back of the wakefield are subject to transverse and longitudinal electrical forces; subsequentlythey are accelerated and wiggled to produce broadband, synchrotron-like radiation in keV energy range [6].



Next Step: 'plasma-based compact undulators'

'EuPRAXIA Advanced Photon Sources PNRR\_EuAPS Project', M. Ferrario et al. INFN-23-12-LNF (2023)



## Conclusions



- Plasma accelerators have advanced considerably in beam quality, achieving FEL lasing.
- EuPRAXIA is a design and an ESFRI project for a distributed European Research Infrastructure, **building two plasma-driven FEL's in Europe**.
- ELI-beamline has been selected as Laser Driven Site EuPRAXIA FEL
- EuPRAXIA@SPARC\_LAB in Frascati (LNF-INFN)
  - The TDR is almost completed
  - Construction site opening: September 2026
  - First FEL user operation in 2029
  - EuAPS will be the first application as user-facility of the EuPRAXIA project





## Thank you for your attention!



- Driver beam with higher charge and shorter length → higher gradients at lower plasma density → less sensitive to temporal jitter
- Driver beam with lower charge is less sensitive to wakefield and misalignments

## **Beam generation for the PWFA case**



(rms)

E

- The beam dynamics has been studied by means of simulations with
  - TStep (and ASTRA) code  $\rightarrow$  space charge regime
  - Elegant code  $\rightarrow$  emittance dominated regime
  - The photoinjector sets the beam separation, emittance and current
  - The photoinjector is operated in the <u>double hybrid RF compression scheme</u> → this scheme ensures at same time up to 2 kA peak current and separation lower than 0.6 ps [8,9] and good flexibility





[14] A. Giribono et al. EuPRAXIA@SPARC\_LAB: The highbrightness RF photo-injector layout proposal, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2018.03.0</u>

#### A. Giribono

**E**<sup>\*</sup>PRAXIA

### Electron beam phase space at linac exit 30+200 pC





EUPRAXIA



## **FEL matching and performances**





A. Giribono

www.eupraxia-pp.org

Courtesy of V. Petrillo – M. Opromolla

### High transformer ratio PWFA for EuPRAXIA@SPARC\_LAB: the 5 GeV WP

In perspective of the draft of EuPRAXIA@SPARC LAB technical design report, we have explored through numerical simulations two ideal scenario suitable for the 5 GeV case trying to maximize the

• Quasi non-linear regime to exceed  $R_T = 2$  and preserve beam quality

$$ilde{Q} = rac{N_b k_p^3}{n_p} \le 2$$
  $n_b/n_p \gg 1$   $\bullet$  Two bunches operation  $\bullet$  Resonant scheme

- The simulations have been performed in 2D by means of the Hybrid Fluid Kinetic code Architect<sup>[2]</sup>
- Plasma accelerating module  $\rightarrow$  2.4 m long flat top plasma profile with a background density n<sub>p</sub> = 2.5 10<sup>16</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>, preceded by a 1 cm long injection ramp
  - $\rightarrow$  beam energy at injection 1.2 GeV

[1] S. Romeo et al - High transformer ratio resonant PWFA ideal working point design for EuPRAXIA@SPARC\_LAB - 2020 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1596 012061
 [2] F Massimo, S. Atzeni and A. Marocchino 2016 Journal of Computational Physics 327 841–850









### High transformer ratio PWFA for EuPRAXIA@SPARC\_LAB



Two scenarios have been explored consisting in

- a) Two bunches: 150 pC + 30 pC
- b) Train of bunches  $\rightarrow$  40 140 270 pC + 30 pC

Table 1. Driver(s) and witness parameters at the injection

	Driver(s)	Witness
<i>Q</i> [pC]	150/40-140-270	30
$\gamma$	2348	2348
$\epsilon_n$ [mm mrad]	1	0.7
$\sigma_E$ [%]	0.1	0.1
$\beta_{x,y}$ [mm]	22	22
$\alpha_{x,y}  [mm]$	1	1
$\sigma_{z}$ [µm]	33	16 (3.8 rms)

- Driver-driver separation of around  $\lambda_p/2$ (105.6 µm)
- Driver-witness separation of around  $\lambda_p/2$  (97  $\mu$ m)





**Figure 1.** Longitudinal field on axis and longitudinal current profile for single bunch scheme (top) and 3 bunch train scheme (bottom) at z = 0.

### High transformer ratio PWFA for EuPRAXIA@SPARC\_LAB: beam tailoring

Nonlinear theory

Beam length  $L(c/\omega_p)$ 



**E**<sup>u</sup>PRA IA

- train of three bunches with the same shape ٠
- final design that is in between a single bunch with triangular shape and a ٠ train of bunches
- Witness:
  - Triangular current shape in order to minimize the energy spread growth [3]

(a)

- Moderate beam quality
  - Higher accelerating gradients in the non-linear blow-out regime  $\rightarrow$  smaller footprint
  - Hybrid LWFA + PWFA
- Further optimisation methods also suggest that customised tailoring exists to produce much higher  $R_{T}$ (for example up to 10 in [4,5])

[3] M. Tzoufras, W. Lu, F. Tsung, C. Huang, W. Mori, T. Katsouleas, J. Vieira, R. Fonseca and L. Silva 2008 Physical Review Letters 101 145002 [4] Q. Su et al. Optimization of transformer ratio and beam loading in a plasma wakefield accelerator with a structure-exploiting algorithm (2023) [5] Roussel, R., et al. PRL 124 (2020): 044802 - Gao, Q., et al. PRL 120 (2018): 114801 - Loisch, G., et al. PRL 121 (2018): 064801

L = 11.0 Interpolated slop

14

0.5 slone



30

Energy Gai

 $\mathcal{R} = 7.8$  in plasma wakefield

20

t (ps)



1.00

0.75

0.25 AE

0.00 -0.25

-0.50

10

(MeV) 0.50



#### www.eupraxia-pp.org

12

 $\xi(c/\omega_p)$ 



### High transformer ratio PWFA for EuPRAXIA@SPARC\_LAB



• The average accelerating gradient is  $E_z = 1.65$  GV/m and the effective transformer ratio is  $R_T = 3.65 \rightarrow 5$  GeV in 2.4 meter long plasma channel



**Figure 3.** Witness phase space at the initialization (left) and at the end of the simulation (right). The transverse phase space is perfectly matched while the longitudinal phase space presents an energy spread growth mostly located on bunch tail.



**Figure 2.** Integrated parameters evolution of Witness bunch. We report the evolution of the transverse spot size and emittance in a) (for the first 10 cm) and in b) (for the entire channel) along with the density of the plasma channel. We report in c) the evolution of energy and energy spread.

The emittance of the witness is preserved along the entire plasma channel and the energy spread grows up to 04%