Generation and characterization of directional muon beams using Laser-Plasma Acceleration

Davide Terzani



Laser-Plasma Accelerators Workshop (LPAW) 2025

April 15, 2025

BERKELEY LAB

ACCELERATOR TECHNOLOGY & APPLIED PHYSICS DIVISION ATAP

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY | Office of Science

This work was supported by DARPA, and by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science under Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231

Contributors

Theory and modeling (BELLA center)

D. Terzani, S. Kisyov, C. Benedetti

LPA electrons (BELLA center)

A. Picksley, J. Stackhouse, H-E. Tsai, R. Li, K. Nakamura, A. J. Gonsalves

Muon detection (Physical Science)

S. Greenberg, L. Le Pottier, M. Mironova, T. Heim, M. Garcia-Sciveres

Supervision (BELLA center and LBNL)

J. Valentine, J. van Tilborg, C. B. Schroeder, E. Esarey, C. G. R. Geddes

BERKELEY LAB

LPA electrons and supervision

E. Rockafellow, B. Miao, J. Shrock, H. Milchberg

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND 1856

Other team members: NORTHROP GRUMMAN, COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY, radiasoft, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, RadiaBeam

Outline

- Motivations
- Overview of the experimental setup
- Muon identification from the scintillator's signal
- Numerical analysis of the muon production
- Summary and next steps

Imaging applications benefit from a compact muon source

Muon →

Mass [MeV]	Charge [e]	Spin	Lifetime [μs]
105.7	±1	$\hbar/2$	2.2

Reduced Bremsstrahlung

Interacts with detectors

Limited spatial reach due to decay

At the present time, only few facilities (e.g., MICE, Fermilab) produce muons, other applications rely on cosmic rays → ~1 particle/(min cm^2)

Muography

Penetration of high-Z materials



[S. Vanini, et al., Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A377, 20180051 (2018)]

Imaging of large objects



[S. Bouteille, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 834 (2016) 223]

Muon spectra from e- beams



Laser pulse guiding prevents diffraction and enables electron beam acceleration up to and above the 10 GeV scale



Diffraction length ~ 1 cm



Meter-scale accelerating stage

HOFI-channel enables pulse guiding for densities ~ 10^{17}cm^{-3}



A few ns later…



HOFI channels lower the energy requirements for the laser pulse

[*Durfee C., et al.*, PRL, 1993]
[*Shalloo R. J., et al.*, PRE, 2018]
[*Morozov A., et al.*, PoP, 2018]
[*Lemos N., et al.*, Sci. Rep, 2018]
[*Shalloo R. J., et al.*, PRAB, 2019]
[*Picksley A., et al.*, PRAB, 2020]
[*Picksley A., et al.*, PRE, 2020]
[*Feder L., et al.*, PRR, 2020]
[*Miao B., et al.*, PRL, 2020]
[*Picksley A., et al.*, PRL, 2024]
[*Shrock J., et al.*, PRL, 2024]

Courtesy of A. Picksley

We used the electron beam dump as a muon converter target







Two modes of operation:

1. High-charge, broad spectrum (used for muon measurements)
2. e^- beams with mono-energetic energies up to 10 GeV

[*Picksley et al.*, PRL, 2024], in collaboration with UMD

High-energy electron beams produce muons in high-Z materials

X_0 Radiation length: the mean distance over which an electron loses all but $1/e$ of its energy by Bremsstrahlung



The dominating process is the production of gammas via Bremsstrahlung

Possible decay channels for γ

e^+e^- pairs

$\mu^+\mu^-$ pairs

photoproduction of pions

Sources of muons via different channels (but pion propagation is quickly suppressed for large thickness)

Pairs are produced over a 1/γ (<< 0.1 rad) cone



Muon yield produced in different materials as a function of the thickness



Bethe-Heitler process



Plastic scintillators enable particle identification through signal timing

- Two ways to approach particle-ID questions:
  - **Does the beam contain muons?**
  - Is *this* particle a muon?
- Key identifying features:
  - Ionization density / range
  - **Lifetime ~2.2 μs**
  - Mass 105.7 MeV/c^2
  - Cherenkov thresholds, scattering angles, etc…
- Ionization detection technique?
  - **Scintillator + light detector**
  - Semiconductor tracking
  - …





Scintillators identify muon candidates by checking the time difference between two hits

We positioned the scintillators in the hallway behind the wall of the experimental cave







[*Terzani et al.*, arXiv:2411.02321v1]

A pair of scintillators was used to trigger measurements on simultaneous detection

- Two ~80 in.^{2} scintillating plastic "paddles" with photomultiplier tubes (PMTs)
- Readout by ADC triggered by "hit" coincidence on both paddles
- Beam trigger stored, can be matched to beam logbook offline



Measurements show unambiguous muon detection following the passage of the BELLA electron beam

Detector calibration with cosmic events shows that the number of hits with the beam on is much higher than background



[*Terzani et al.*, arXiv:2411.02321v1]

Fitting all the data collected by the scintillators with an exponential distribution + a constant background



Data show muon decay ($\tau \simeq 2.2\ \mu s$)

μ Candidates	Background
126 ± 12	39 ± 12

Displacing the scintillator off-axis provides us with insights into the process and the background

Both Off-axis and On-axis scintillators measure muons, but Off-axis records more events

Off-beam axis



On-beam axis



Should we expect muon events off-axis?

The angle is >> 1/γ

Investigate two aspects of the measurements:

1) Off-axis the detector records muon decay more often
2) Signal is characterized by a constant background

[*Terzani et al.*, arXiv:2411.02321v1]

Simulations included critical elements along the beamline to identify noise and muon sources

Beyond the zeroth-order approximation (i.e., only modeling a beam dump):
- We included high-Z elements along the beamline
- We extended particle detection to a surface area 8x4 m (>> 1/γ cone)





We performed simulations including all the cave walls and they didn't show significant differences. Following slides show that elements along the beamline suffice to reproduce experiments.

[*Terzani et al.*, arXiv:2411.02321v1]

For a well aligned electron beam, a directional (on-axis) muon beam produced with highest energy ~E_{e-}

Main interaction point



Spectrum at IP



Spectrum in the hallway (including energy losses)



Muon production channels

Bethe-Heitler



Pion decay

1. Pion production (mostly isotropic and low energy)
2. Pions decay into muons

[*Terzani et al.*, arXiv:2411.02321v1]

Beam interaction with high-Z elements leaves muon beam unaffected and produces additional low-energy muons around the beam dump

The electron beam hits the elements along the beamline when θ=1.5mrad



Photons removed for clarity



X_0 Radiation length: proportional to photon mean free path - pair production length scale

Elements:
- Absorbers (Glass): X_0 = 9.37cm
- Magnet (Steel): X_0 = 1.54 cm



Pair-produced

From decay



High energy muon beam from pairs not affected: material independent and collimated



Additional low energy muons visible due to off-axis production from pions (not absorbed)

[*Terzani et al.*, arXiv:2411.02321v1]

Experimentally observed higher muon count off-axis can be explained by the lower energy muons from pion decay

Muons at IP (up to 10 GeV)



Passage through beam dump and wall

Muons from decay:

- Almost isotropic
- Peaked at low energy because emitted by low energy pions



Muons from pairs:

- Mainly forward directed
- Exponential-like energy distribution (Bremsstrahlung)

The two muon species are spatially separated therefore the measurement is selective depending if the detector is on/off axis.

[Note: these simulations refer to a 10 GeV e- beam. We are currently working at acquiring higher statistics for realistic beams]

[*Terzani et al.*, arXiv:2411.02321v1]

Understanding photon background is essential to design additional shielding for the tracking detectors

[*Terzani et al.*, arXiv:2411.02321v1]

Lower background behind the beam dump

Photon flux on the virtual detector



Neutron flux on the virtual detector



Scintillator revealed gamma background around the beam dump (distinguished from muons)



Short time scale (ns)



Medium time scale (μs)



Pursuing extra shielding to reduce background

The γ-ray spectrum shows signatures of neutron absorption and e^+e^- annihilation

Energy distribution of gamma rays at the PW area hallway:

- multiple occurrences of neutron capture and inelastic scattering (only measured in scintillators and distinguished from muons)
- e^+e^- annihilation gammas at short time scales could hit trackers, but possible to shield since E<1MeV





[*Terzani et al.*, arXiv:2411.02321v1]

Summary and next steps

- We produced e^- beams with tails beyond 8 GeV
- We used our electron beam dump (several layers of high-Z materials) as a converter target
- Pairs of scintillators measured unambiguously muons in correspondence to the beam passage
- Numerical analysis confirmed the measurements and provided us with insights into the signals we recorded
- We recognized two separated sources of muons distinguished by the angle and the typical energy
- We identified the main sources of background noise (i.e., neutron capturing)

Next steps

- We are now working with silicon-based detectors in addition to scintillators
- We developing single-muon energy measurements
- We are designing appropriate shielding for the detectors