# Light states in real multi-Higgs models with spontaneous CP violation

Miguel Nebot

U. of Valencia – IFIC







#### Motivation – Generic

■ Theoretical arguments to constrain masses of (new) particles: vacuum stability, triviality, perturbativity, ...

[short of experimental evidence]

Weinberg 🖙 PRL36 (1976)

Politzer & Wolfram 📧 PLB82 (1979)

Cabibbo, Maiani, Parisi & Petronzio 📼 NPB158 (1979)

Dashen & Neuberger 📼 PRL50 (1983)

Callaway 📧 NPB233 (1984)

Perturbative unitarity, high energy scattering of bosons

Lee, Quigg & Thacker 📧 PRL38 (1977), 📧 PRD16 (1977)

also Dicus & Mathur 🔤 PRD7 (1973)

Langacker & Weldon 📼 PRL52 (1984)

Weldon 🔤 PLB146 (1984)

# Motivation – Specific

- 2HDM with SCPV sourcing all CP violation
  - phenomenologically viable, including realistic CKM and SFCNC under control
  - masses of the new scalars all bounded (from above) owing to perturbativity requirements on the quartic couplings in the scalar potential

MN, Botella & Branco, 🔤 arXiv:1808.00493, EPJC79 (2019)

- General real\* 2HDM with SCPV and bounded masses MN, ☞ arXiv:1911.02266, PRD102 (2020)
- Is some of this carried over to the real nHDM with SCPV?



<sup>\*</sup>Invariant lagrangian under  $\Phi \mapsto \Phi^*$ .

# Motivation – Specific

- In the 2HDM the point is that the stationarity conditions allow to trade all 3 quadratic couplings in the potential for quartics (× vacuum expectation values), which are bounded.
- $\blacksquare$  Pessimistic prospects: for *n*HDM, "free" quadratic couplings can drive large masses<sup>\*</sup>.
- In fact the number of quadratic couplings scales with  $n^2$  while the number of stationarity conditions scales with n: is that the end of it? No, as I will try to show in the following.



# Outline

- **1** Real 2HDM with SCPV
- **2** Real nHDM with SCPV, numerical phenomenology
- **3** Real nHDM with SCPV, analysis

Work done in collaboration with:

Carlos Miró & Daniel Queiroz arXiv:2411.00084, PRD111 (2025)



The scalar potential

$$\begin{split} V(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) &= \mu_1^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + \mu_2^2 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \mu_{12}^2 \mathcal{H}_{12} + \lambda_1 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1)^2 + \lambda_2 (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 \\ &+ \lambda_{1,2} (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + \lambda_{1,12} (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) \mathcal{H}_{12} + \lambda_{2,12} (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) \mathcal{H}_{12} \\ &+ \lambda_{12,12} \mathcal{H}_{12}^2 + \lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_{12}^2 \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) \qquad \mathcal{A}_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 - \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right)$$

All  $\mu_a^2$ ,  $\mu_{12}^2$ ,  $\lambda_a$ ,  $\lambda_{1,2}$ ,  $\lambda_{a,12}$ ,  $\lambda_{12,12}$ ,  $\lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}}$  real Field expansions

$$\Phi_{a} = \frac{e^{i\theta_{a}}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\mathbf{C}_{a}^{+} \\ v_{a} + \mathbf{R}_{a} + i\mathbf{I}_{a} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \Phi_{a} \rangle = \frac{e^{i\theta_{a}}v_{a}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Scalar potential

$$V(v_a, \theta_a) = V(\langle \Phi_1 \rangle, \langle \Phi_2 \rangle)$$

with  $\langle \Phi_1 \rangle, \, \langle \Phi_2 \rangle :$ 

$$\Phi_a^{\dagger} \Phi_a \to \frac{v_a^2}{2}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{12} \to \frac{c_{12}v_1v_2}{2}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{12} \to -i\frac{s_{12}v_1v_2}{2}$$

where  $c_{12} \equiv \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2)$  and  $s_{12} \equiv \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{V}(v_a,\theta_a) &= \mu_1^2 \frac{v_1^2}{2} + \mu_2^2 \frac{v_2^2}{2} + \mu_{12}^2 \frac{c_{12}v_1v_2}{2} + \lambda_1 \frac{v_1^4}{4} + \lambda_2 \frac{v_2^4}{4} + \lambda_{1,2} \frac{v_1^2v_2^2}{4} \\ &+ \lambda_{1,12} \frac{c_{12}v_1^3v_2}{4} + \lambda_{2,12} \frac{c_{12}v_1v_2^3}{4} + \lambda_{12,12} \frac{c_{12}^2v_1^2v_2^2}{4} - \lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}} \frac{s_{12}^2v_1^2v_2^2}{4} \end{aligned}$$

And now stationarity conditions  $\partial_{v_a} \mathbf{V} = \partial_{\theta_a} \mathbf{V} = 0$ 

Stationarity conditions  $\partial_{v_a} \mathbf{V} = \partial_{\theta_a} \mathbf{V} = 0$ 

$$\begin{split} \partial_{v_1} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_1^2 v_1 + \mu_{12}^2 \frac{c_{12} v_2}{2} + \lambda_1 v_1^3 + \lambda_{1,2} \frac{v_1 v_2^2}{2} \\ &+ \lambda_{1,12} \frac{3c_{12} v_1^2 v_2}{4} + \lambda_{2,12} \frac{c_{12} v_2^3}{4} + \lambda_{12,12} \frac{c_{12}^2 v_1 v_2^2}{2} - \lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}} \frac{s_{12}^2 v_1 v_2^2}{2} \\ \partial_{v_2} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_2^2 v_2 + \mu_{12}^2 \frac{c_{12} v_1}{2} + \lambda_2 v_2^3 + \lambda_{1,2} \frac{v_1^2 v_2}{2} \\ &+ \lambda_{1,12} \frac{c_{12} v_1^3}{4} + \lambda_{2,12} \frac{3c_{12} v_1 v_2^2}{4} + \lambda_{12,12} \frac{c_{12}^2 v_1^2 v_2}{2} - \lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}} \frac{s_{12}^2 v_1^2 v_2}{2} \\ \partial_{\theta_2} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_{12}^2 \frac{s_{12} v_1 v_2}{2} + \lambda_{1,12} \frac{s_{12} v_1^3 v_2}{4} + \lambda_{2,12} \frac{s_{12} v_1 v_2^3}{4} \\ &+ \lambda_{12,12} \frac{c_{12} s_{12} v_1^2 v_2^2}{2} + \lambda_{12,12} \frac{c_{12} s_{12} v_1^2 v_2^2}{2} = -\partial_{\theta_1} \mathbf{V} \end{split}$$

Solve  $\partial_{\theta_2} V = 0$  for  $\mu_{12}^2$ ,  $\partial_{v_1} V = 0$  for  $\mu_1^2$  and  $\partial_{v_2} V = 0$  for  $\mu_2^2$ ... no quadratic couplings left!

#### Mass matrices

$$\begin{split} (M_{\pm}^{2})_{a,b} &= \left[ \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial \mathbf{C}_{a}^{+} \partial \mathbf{C}_{b}^{-}} \right], \\ (M_{0}^{2})_{a,b} &= \left[ \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{a} \partial \mathbf{R}_{b}} \right], \quad (M_{0}^{2})_{n+a,n+b} = \left[ \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial \mathbf{I}_{a} \partial \mathbf{I}_{b}} \right], \\ (M_{0}^{2})_{a,n+b} &= (M_{0}^{2})_{n+b,a} = \left[ \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{a} \partial \mathbf{I}_{b}} \right] \end{split}$$

[f]: f evaluated at vanishing fields  $C_a^{\pm}, R_a, I_a \rightarrow 0$ 



The mass matrix of the charged scalars is amiable

$$M_{\pm}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}} \begin{pmatrix} v_{2}^{2} & -v_{1}v_{2} \\ -v_{1}v_{2} & v_{1}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Rotation into "the" Higgs basis  $(v = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2})$ 

$$\mathcal{R}_{\rm Ch} = \frac{1}{v} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 \\ -v_2 & v_1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\mathcal{R}_{\rm Ch} M_{\pm}^2 \mathcal{R}_{\rm Ch}^T = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}} v^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

As expected

- one massless Goldstone  $G^{\pm}$
- and one charged scalar with mass<sup>2</sup> =  $\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}}v^2$ , which is bounded by perturbativity constraints on  $\lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}}$

VNIVERSITAT 525

10

FLASY 2025

The mass matrix of the neutral scalars is less amiable Rotation into "the" Higgs basis  $(v = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2})$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{N}} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{Ch}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{Ch}} \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_{0,\mathrm{HB}}^2 &= \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{N}} M_0^2 \, \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{N}}^T \end{aligned}$$

with

$$M_{0,\rm HB}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & 0 & \times \\ \times & \times & 0 & \times \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \times & \times & 0 & \times \end{pmatrix}$$

As expected

- one massless Goldstone  $G^0$
- **3** neutral scalars with bounded masses from bounded  $\lambda$ 's

The mass matrix of the neutral scalars is less amiable

$$\begin{split} (M_{0,\mathrm{HB}}^2)_{11} &= \frac{2}{v^2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 v_1^4 + \lambda_2 v_2^4 + \lambda_{1,2} v_1^2 v_2^2 + c_{12} (\lambda_{1,12} v_1^3 v_2 + \lambda_{2,12} v_1 v_2^3) \\ &+ \lambda_{12,12} c_{12}^2 v_1^2 v_2^2 - \lambda_{12,12}^4 s_{12}^2 v_1^2 v_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ (M_{0,\mathrm{HB}}^2)_{22} &= \frac{2}{v^2} \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_{1,2}) v_1^2 v_2^2 + c_{12} (\lambda_{2,12} - \lambda_{1,12}) v_1 v_2 (v_1^2 - v_2^2) \\ &+ \lambda_{12,12} c_{12}^2 (v_1^2 - v_2^2)^2 + \lambda_{12,12}^4 (v_1^2 - v_2^2)^2 + v_1^2 v_2^2) \end{pmatrix} \\ (M_{0,\mathrm{HB}}^2)_{12} &= \frac{1}{v^2} \begin{pmatrix} (2\lambda_2 v_2^2 - 2\lambda_1 v_1^2 + \lambda_{1,2} (v_1^2 - v_2^2)) v_1 v_2 \\ &+ \frac{c_{12}}{2} (\lambda_{1,12} v_1^2 (v_1^2 - 3 v_2^2) - \lambda_{2,12} v_2^2 (v_2^2 - 3 v_1^2)) \\ &+ \lambda_{12,12} c_{12}^2 v_1 v_2 (v_1^2 - v_2^2) - \lambda_{12,12}^2 s_{12}^2 v_1 v_2 (v_1^2 - v_2^2) \end{pmatrix} \\ (M_{0,\mathrm{HB}}^2)_{14} &= \frac{s_{12}}{2} (\lambda_{1,12} v_1^2 + \lambda_{2,12} v_2^2 + 2(\lambda_{12,12} + \lambda_{12,12}^4) c_{12} (v_1^2 - v_2^2)) \\ (M_{0,\mathrm{HB}}^2)_{24} &= \frac{s_{12}}{2} ((\lambda_{2,12} - \lambda_{1,12}) v_1 v_2 + (\lambda_{12,12} + \lambda_{12,12}^4) c_{12} (v_1^2 - v_2^2)) \\ (M_{0,\mathrm{HB}}^2)_{44} &= v^2 \frac{s_{12}^2}{2} (\lambda_{12,12} + \lambda_{12,12}^4) \end{split}$$

#### Recap

- Through stationarity conditions all 3 quadratic couplings in the potential traded for quartics (and vevs)
- ⇒ new scalars have bounded masses through perturbativity bounds on quartic couplings



Real nHDM scalar potential

$$V(\Phi_{a}) = \sum_{a=1}^{n} \mu_{a}^{2} \Phi_{a}^{\dagger} \Phi_{a} + \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \mu_{ab}^{2} \mathcal{H}_{ab} + \sum_{a=1}^{n} \lambda_{a} (\Phi_{a}^{\dagger} \Phi_{a})^{2} \\ + \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \lambda_{a,b} (\Phi_{a}^{\dagger} \Phi_{a}) (\Phi_{b}^{\dagger} \Phi_{b}) + \sum_{a=1}^{n} \sum_{b=1}^{n-1} \sum_{c=b+1}^{n} \lambda_{a,bc} (\Phi_{a}^{\dagger} \Phi_{a}) \mathcal{H}_{bc} \\ + \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \sum_{c=1}^{n-1} \sum_{d=c+1}^{n} \left| \frac{\lambda_{ab,cd}}{(a,b) \leq (c,d)} \mathcal{H}_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} (\Phi_{a}^{\dagger} \Phi_{b} - \Phi_{b}^{\dagger} \Phi_{a}) \right| \\ \mathcal{H}_{ab}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} (\Phi_{a}^{\dagger} \Phi_{b} + \Lambda_{b}^{\dagger} \Phi_{a}) \qquad \mathcal{A}_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} (\Phi_{a}^{\dagger} \Phi_{b} - \Phi_{b}^{\dagger} \Phi_{a}) \\ \mu_{a}^{2}, \mu_{ab}^{2}, \lambda_{a}, \lambda_{a,b}, \lambda_{a,bc}, \lambda_{ab,cd}, \lambda_{ab,cd}^{\mathcal{A}} \text{ real, CP invariant } (\Phi_{a} \mapsto \Phi_{a}^{*}) \\ 1 \text{ Nebot (UV/IFIC)}$$

14

FLASY 2025

- Quadratic couplings,  $n \mu_a^2 + n(n-1)/2 \mu_{ab}^2$ : n(n+1)/2
- Stationarity conditions: 2n 1
- Omitting Goldstones, n-1 charged and 2n-1 neutral scalars

n	2	3	4	5	6	7
n(n+1)/2	3	6	10	15	21	28
2n - 1	3	5	7	9	11	13
(n-1)(n-2)/2	0	1	3	6	10	15

- Quadratic couplings in excess of the number of stationarity conditions + the number of (neutral) scalars
- Can they make all (new) scalar masses  $\gg v$ ?
- Let us do some numerical exercise

Starting with the scalar potential for the real nHDM, with a given n:

- Compute 2n 1 stationarity conditions
- Trade 2n 1 quadratic couplings for quartic

and other quadratic couplings

- Compute mass matrices
- $\blacksquare$  Generate random numerical quartics, free quadratics,  $v_a$  and  $\theta_a$
- Compute eigenvalues of mass matrices



Real *n*HDM scalar potential,  $V(v_a, \theta_a) = V(\langle \Phi_a \rangle)$ 

$$4V(v_{a}, \theta_{a}) = 2\sum_{a=1}^{n} \mu_{a}^{2} v_{a}^{2} + 2\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \mu_{ab}^{2} c_{ab} v_{a} v_{b} + \sum_{a=1}^{n} \lambda_{a} v_{a}^{4}$$
$$+ \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \lambda_{a,b} v_{a}^{2} v_{b}^{2} + \sum_{a=1}^{n} \sum_{b=1}^{n-1} \sum_{c=b+1}^{n} \lambda_{a,bc} c_{bc} v_{a}^{2} v_{b} v_{c}$$
$$+ \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \sum_{c=1}^{n-1} \sum_{d=c+1}^{n} \left| \lambda_{ab,cd} c_{ab} c_{cd} v_{a} v_{b} v_{c} v_{d} \right|$$
$$+ \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \sum_{c=1}^{n-1} \sum_{d=c+1}^{n} \left| \lambda_{ab,cd}^{A} s_{ab} s_{cd} v_{a} v_{b} v_{c} v_{d} \right|$$
$$+ \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \sum_{c=1}^{n-1} \sum_{d=c+1}^{n} \left| \lambda_{ab,cd}^{A} s_{ab} s_{cd} v_{a} v_{b} v_{c} v_{d} \right|$$
$$\text{where } c_{ab} = \cos(\theta_{a} - \theta_{b}), \ s_{ab} = \sin(\theta_{a} - \theta_{b})$$

Stationarity conditions (focus on quadratics)

$$\partial_{\theta_1} \mathbf{V} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=2}^n \mu_{1b}^2 s_{1b} v_1 v_b + \text{Quartics}$$

$$\partial_{\theta_j} \mathbf{V} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{j-1} \mu_{aj}^2 s_{aj} v_a v_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=j+1}^n \mu_{jb}^2 s_{jb} v_j v_b + \text{Quartics}$$

$$\partial_{\theta_n} \mathbf{V} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \mu_{an}^2 s_{an} v_a v_n + \text{Quartics}$$
N.B. 
$$\sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\theta_j} \mathbf{V} = 0$$

Trade all  $\mu_{1i}^2$  for other quadratics and quartics



Stationarity conditions (focus on quadratics)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{v_1} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_1^2 v_1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=2}^n \mu_{1b}^2 c_{1b} v_b + \text{Quartics} \\ \partial_{v_j} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_j^2 v_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{j-1} \mu_{aj}^2 c_{aj} v_a + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=j+1}^n \mu_{jb}^2 c_{jb} v_b + \text{Quartics} \\ \partial_{v_n} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_n^2 v_n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \mu_{an}^2 c_{an} v_a + \text{Quartics} \end{aligned}$$

Trade all  $\mu_j^2$  for other quadratics and quartics  $\Rightarrow$  all  $n \ \mu_a^2$ 's and all  $n - 1 \ \mu_{1j}^2$  quadratics removed, we are left with (n-1)(n-2)/2 quadratics  $\mu_{ab}^2 \ a \ge 2, \ b > a$ 

Numerical generation

- $\blacksquare$ Random $\mu_{ab}^2 \in [-1;+1] \times k_\mu \times 10^{10} \ {\rm GeV}^2 \ (a \geq 2, \ b > a)$
- **a** Random  $\lambda_a, \lambda_{a,b}, \lambda_{a,bc}, \lambda_{ab,cd}, \lambda_{ab,cd}^{\mathcal{A}} \in [-1;+1] \times k_{\lambda}$
- Random  $v_a (v_1^2 + \ldots + v_n^2 = v^2 = 246^2 \text{ GeV}^2)$
- **Random**  $\theta_a \in [-\pi; +\pi]$
- Discard cases in which the stationarity conditions yield quadratics outside  $[-1;+1] \times k_{\mu} \times 10^{10} \text{ GeV}^2$
- Compute the resulting "mass<sup>2</sup> matrices"
- Order eigenvalues according to their absolute values
- No requirement on positivity of the eigenvalues (local minimum)
- No requirement on boundedness from below of the potential\*
- Repeat and keep the largest value of each |eigenvalue|
- Results in the following plots

\*No need to sound the alarm because of the absence of these two requirements



Charged mass matrix



- Numerical zero Goldstone
- One light  $\mathcal{O}(v)$  state, sensitive to  $k_{\lambda}$ , insensitive to  $k_{\mu}$
- n-2 heavy states, insensitive to  $k_{\lambda}$ , sensitive to  $k_{\mu}$

Neutral mass matrix



■ Numerical zero Goldstone

• Three light  $\mathcal{O}(v)$  states, sensitive to  $k_{\lambda}$ , insensitive to  $k_{\mu}$ 

• 2n-4 heavy states, insensitive to  $k_{\lambda}$ , sensitive to  $k_{\mu}$ 

Recap

- As expected, "numerical massless" Goldstone.
- As expected, heavy states, insensitive to  $k_{\lambda}$ , sensitive to  $k_{\mu}$ .
- Unexpected, light  $\mathcal{O}(v)$  states, sensitive to  $k_{\lambda}$ , insensitive to  $k_{\mu}$ . How can they ignore  $\mu_{ab}^2 \gg v^2$ ?



Short of analytic black sorcery  $\stackrel{\bigstar}{\bigstar}$ ,

how do we gain understanding of what is at work?Consider the limit where all the quartic couplings are negligible with respect to the quadratic ones

$$V(\Phi_a) \to V_2(\Phi_a) = \sum_{a=1}^n \mu_a^2 \Phi_a^{\dagger} \Phi_a + \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^n \mu_{ab}^2 \mathcal{H}_{ab}$$

- In particular: what about null eigenvectors of the mass matrices in that regime? (since they ignore  $\mu_{ab}^2 \gg v^2$ )
- Then, treat quartic couplings as a perturbation

 $\bigstar$  Obtain the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the mass matrices for generic n



Stationarity conditions (again, need them soon)

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\theta_1} \mathbf{V} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=2}^n \mu_{1b}^2 s_{1b} v_1 v_b \\ \partial_{\theta_j} \mathbf{V} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{j-1} \mu_{aj}^2 s_{aj} v_a v_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=j+1}^n \mu_{jb}^2 s_{jb} v_j v_b \\ \partial_{\theta_n} \mathbf{V} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \mu_{an}^2 s_{an} v_a v_n \qquad \text{N.B.} \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\theta_j} \mathbf{V} = 0 \\ \partial_{v_1} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_1^2 v_1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=2}^n \mu_{1b}^2 c_{1b} v_b \\ \partial_{v_j} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_j^2 v_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{j-1} \mu_{aj}^2 c_{aj} v_a + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=j+1}^n \mu_{jb}^2 c_{jb} v_b \\ \partial_{v_n} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_n^2 v_n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \mu_{an}^2 c_{an} v_a \end{split}$$



Read out mass terms

$$\begin{split} V_{2}(\Phi_{a})\big|_{\dim=2} &= \sum_{a=1}^{n} \mu_{a}^{2} \left( C_{a}^{-} C_{a}^{+} + \frac{1}{2} \left[ R_{a}^{2} + I_{a}^{2} \right] \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \mu_{ab}^{2} \left( \frac{c_{ab} \left[ C_{a}^{-} C_{b}^{+} + C_{b}^{-} C_{a}^{+} \right] - i s_{ab} \left[ C_{a}^{-} C_{b}^{+} - C_{b}^{-} C_{a}^{+} \right] \right) \\ V_{2}(\Phi_{a})\big|_{\dim=2} &= \left( C_{1}^{-} \dots C_{n}^{-} \right) M_{\pm}^{2} \begin{pmatrix} C_{1}^{+} \\ \vdots \\ C_{n}^{+} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \left( R_{1} \dots R_{n} \ I_{1} \dots I_{n} \right) M_{0}^{2} \begin{pmatrix} R_{1} \\ \vdots \\ R_{n} \\ I_{1} \\ \vdots \\ I_{n} \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

VNIVERVITAT 55 1 1 1816CA DOVALENCIA 55 1 26 FLASY 2025

Mass matrices,  $\theta_{ab} \equiv \theta_a - \theta_b$ 

$$\begin{split} M_{\pm}^{2} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{1}^{2} & \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_{12}}\mu_{12}^{2} & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_{1n}}\mu_{1n}^{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{12}}\mu_{12}^{2} & \mu_{2}^{2} & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_{2n}}\mu_{2n}^{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{1n}}\mu_{1n}^{2} & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{2n}}\mu_{2n}^{2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{n-1n}}\mu_{n-1n}^{2} & \mu_{n}^{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ M_{0}^{2} &= \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right) & \operatorname{Im}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right) \\ -\operatorname{Im}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right) & \operatorname{Re}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right) \end{pmatrix}, & \begin{cases} \operatorname{Re}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right)^{T} = \operatorname{Re}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right) \\ \operatorname{Im}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right)^{T} = -\operatorname{Im}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right) \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

Null eigenvector  $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  of  $M^2_{\pm}$ 

$$M_{\pm}^2 \vec{u} = \vec{0}_n$$

#### Real nHDM with SCPV – no quartics

One can read  $M_{\pm}^2\,\vec{u}=\vec{0}_n$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \operatorname{Re} \left( M_{\pm}^{2} \right) + i \operatorname{Im} \left( M_{\pm}^{2} \right) \right) \left( \operatorname{Re} \left( \vec{u} \right) + i \operatorname{Im} \left( \vec{u} \right) \right) &= \\ \operatorname{Re} \left( M_{\pm}^{2} \right) \operatorname{Re} \left( \vec{u} \right) - \operatorname{Im} \left( M_{\pm}^{2} \right) \operatorname{Im} \left( \vec{u} \right) \\ &+ i \left( \operatorname{Im} \left( M_{\pm}^{2} \right) \operatorname{Re} \left( \vec{u} \right) + \operatorname{Re} \left( M_{\pm}^{2} \right) \operatorname{Im} \left( \vec{u} \right) \right) = \vec{0}_{n} \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right)\operatorname{Re}\left(\vec{u}\right) - \operatorname{Im}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right)\operatorname{Im}\left(\vec{u}\right) = \vec{0}_{n}$$
$$\operatorname{Im}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right)\operatorname{Re}\left(\vec{u}\right) + \operatorname{Re}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right)\operatorname{Im}\left(\vec{u}\right) = \vec{0}_{n}$$

which means

$$\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pm}^{2} \end{pmatrix} & \operatorname{Im} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pm}^{2} \\ -\operatorname{Im} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pm}^{2} \end{pmatrix} & \operatorname{Re} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pm}^{2} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} (\vec{u}) \\ -\operatorname{Im} (\vec{u}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0}_{n} \\ \vec{0}_{n} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pm}^{2} \end{pmatrix} & \operatorname{Im} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pm}^{2} \\ -\operatorname{Im} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pm}^{2} \end{pmatrix} & \operatorname{Re} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pm}^{2} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Im} (\vec{u}) \\ \operatorname{Re} (\vec{u}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0}_{n} \\ \vec{0}_{n} \end{pmatrix}$$

VNIVERSITAT 525

FÍSICA

FLASY 2025

28

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{If there is a null eigenvector } \vec{u} \in \mathbb{C}^n \text{ of } M^2_{\pm} \\ \Rightarrow \text{ two null eigenvectors } \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(\vec{u}) \\ -\operatorname{Im}(\vec{u}) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Im}(\vec{u}) \\ \operatorname{Re}(\vec{u}) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \text{ of } M^2_0 \\ \end{array} \\ \text{e We already know a null eigenvector } \begin{pmatrix} \overset{v_1}{\vdots} \\ \overset{v_n}{\vdots} \end{pmatrix} \text{ of } M^2_{\pm}, \\ \text{ corresponding to the charged Goldstone since} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1^2 & \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_{12}}\mu_{12}^2 & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_{1n}}\mu_{1n}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{1n}}\mu_{1n}^2 & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{2n}}\mu_{2n}^2 & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{n-1n}}\mu_{n-1n}^2 & \mu_n^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_{n-1} \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} \\ = \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1^2 v_1 + \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{2n}}\mu_{2n}^2 & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{n-1n}}\mu_{n-1n}^2 & \mu_n^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_{n-1} \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} \\ = \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1^2 v_1 + \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{2n}}\mu_{2n}^2 & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{n-1n}}\mu_{n-1n}^2 & \mu_n^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{12}}\mu_{12}^2 v_1 + \dots + \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{12}}\mu_{1j-1}^2 v_{j-1} + \mu_2^2 v_j + \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_{jj+1}}\mu_{jj+1}^2 v_{j+1} + \dots + \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_{jn}}\mu_{2n}^2 v_n \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{1n}}\mu_{1n}^2 v_1 + \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{2n}}\mu_{2n}^2 v_2 + \dots + \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{n-1n}}\mu_{n-1n}^2 + \mu_n^2 v_n \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

which, of course, looks suspiciously familiar

$$M_{\pm}^{2} \begin{pmatrix} v_{1} \\ \vdots \\ v_{n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{v_{1}} \mathbf{V}_{2} - \frac{i}{v_{1}} \partial_{\theta_{1}} \mathbf{V}_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \partial_{v_{j}} \mathbf{V}_{2} - \frac{i}{v_{j}} \partial_{\theta_{j}} \mathbf{V}_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \partial_{v_{n}} \mathbf{V}_{2} - \frac{i}{v_{n}} \partial_{\theta_{n}} \mathbf{V}_{2} \end{pmatrix} = \vec{0}_{n}$$

... but we already knew about the charged Goldstone

 In the neutral sector it gives the neutral Goldstone and "the Higgs"

$$\vec{r}_G^T = (\vec{0}_n, v_1, \dots, v_n), \qquad \vec{r}_h^T = (v_1, \dots, v_n, \vec{0}_n)$$

• Can we find another null eigenvector?

• Stare intensely at  $M_{\pm}^2 \dots$ 

H there is another simple null eigenvector!

• The *other* null eigenvector

$$\vec{c}_0^T = (e^{i2\theta_1}v_1, \dots, e^{i2\theta_j}v_j, \dots, e^{i2\theta_n}v_n)$$



VNIVERSITAT 525

FLASY 2025

Null eigenvectors of mass matrices with no quartics in  ${\cal V}$ 

Charged

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} e^{i2\theta_1}v_1 \\ \vdots \\ e^{i2\theta_n}v_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Neutral

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \cos 2\theta_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \cos 2\theta_n \\ -v_1 \sin 2\theta_1 \\ \vdots \\ -v_n \sin 2\theta_n \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \sin 2\theta_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \sin 2\theta_n \\ v_1 \cos 2\theta_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \cos 2\theta_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Not orthogonal! But no problem, one can always orthonormalize

# Real nHDM with SCPV, analysis

- For the complete problem, reintroduce quartics as a perturbation (degenerate perturbation theory)
- Goldstones remain Goldstones
- One charged and three neutral scalars get masses  $\mathcal{O}(v)$  (as the numerical exercise hinted)



# Real nHDM with SCPV, analysis

Is there a symmetry interpretation of this result?

 $\blacksquare$  Notice that for the CP conjugate vevs  $\langle \Phi_a^* \rangle = \langle \Phi_a \rangle^*$ 

$$V(\langle \Phi_1 \rangle^*, \dots, \langle \Phi_n \rangle^*) = V(\langle \Phi_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \Phi_n \rangle)$$

 $\Rightarrow \langle \Phi_a \rangle^*$  give a different candidate vacuum

• With quartic couplings ignored,

mass terms in  $V_2$  do not involve vevs

- ⇒ both the Goldstone corresponding to the vacuum and the Goldstone that corresponds to the CP transformed vacuum yield zero eigenvalues
- The latter are not, however, true Goldstones when  $V_4$  is reintroduced

# Conclusions

- Real 2HDM with SCPV is peculiar: bounded spectrum
  - $\blacksquare$  stationarity conditions remove all quadratic couplings in V
  - (quartics bounded by perturbativity considerations)
  - (Generic property in symmetry-shaped potentials)
- $\blacksquare$  Real *n*HDM with SCPV
  - stationarity conditions cannot remove all quadratic couplings in V
  - "overabundance" of free quadratic couplings
  - one could have expected that besides "the Higgs" (+ Goldstones),

all scalars could have large masses

- ... but that is not the case: (at least)
  - one charged and two new neutral scalars have  $\mathcal{O}(v)$  masses
  - analysis in the absence of quartic couplings
  - null eigenvectors of the mass matrices in that situation
- Open ends
  - Generic phenomenological prospects related to the light states?
  - Similar situation for other potentials?

...

# Grazie mille!

Thank you!

