

Finanziato dall'Unione europea NextGenerationEU







Upgrade of the GIF++ Radiation Field Simulation

Nicola Ferrara, INFN and Polytecnic of Bari







The GIF++ facility @ CERN

- Source of ¹³⁷Cs of 662 keV photons at 11.5 TBq (January 2024)
- 2. Beam from SPS (muons)
- 3. Intensity controlled by a combination of attenuation filter
- 4. Field shaped from point-like to planar
- 5. Gas and electronics infrastructures
- 6. Unified control/monitoring system
- 7. Setups for beam & cosmic trigger, radiation monitoring, environmental monitoring, DAQ,...



1





Array of 3 \times 3 convex lead attenuation filters, to fine tune the photon flux for each irradiation field individually, upstream (UP) and downstream field (DOWN)

Systems of movable lead attenuators for large irradiation zone that allows attenuation factors (ABS) between 1 and 46420 in several steps **Simulation layout**

New GDML Gif++ layout implemented for Geant4

- Geometry updated to 15 m upstream
- 2. Version Geant4-11.0
- 3. Physics List-G4EmLivermorePhysics
- 4. Filter implementation: mounted on aluminum support plates, the filters are positioned inside steel frames, as collimators.
- 5. NO EXPERIMENTAL INSTALLATION INCLUDED IN THE SIMULATION LAYOUT



Simulation validation

Two detectors (PMINA) are installed in the bunker at fixed position for dose rate measurements. PMINA are ionization chambers with a calibrated measurement range of 5

 μ Sv/h-500 mSv/h.

- Measured dose rate is compared with simulation results at different filter set-up
- Additional ECOGAS measurements in points P1 and P2 are compared with simulation
- In each point a sensitive volume of TISSUE was considered in the simulation.



Ambient dose equivalent rate calculation

PROCEDURE:

Simulation of tissue equivalent phantom divided into 8 voxels

Simulated voxel dose D(Sv)

Source activity A = 11,5 TBq

Generated gammas $N_{\gamma} = 2 \ 10^7$

Reference time T (s) =
$$\frac{N}{A} = 0,174 * 10^{-5} s$$

Rate Dose = $\frac{D}{0,174*10^{-5}} * 3600 \frac{Sv}{h}$

Error calculation based on standard deviation of dose among different voxels.



Extraction of PMINA experimental data

PMINA data available at:

https://timber.cern.ch/

Data and Time Dose rate value

18/04/24 10:33	0,0013967800	
18/04/24 10:34	0,0013973500	
18/04/24 10:35	0,0013957100	
18/04/24 10:36	0,0013973200	
18/04/24 10:37	0,0013961900	
18/04/24 10:38	0,0013969200	
18/04/24 10:39	0,0013967000	
18/04/24 10:40	0,0013968100	
18/04/24 10:41	0,0013970500	
18/04/24 10:42	0,0013972400	
18/04/24 10:43	0,0013972800	
18/04/24 10:44	0,0013969400	
18/04/24 10:45	0,0013973900	
18/04/24 10:46	0,0013970200	
18/04/24 10:47	0,0013963100	
18/04/24 10:48	0,0013973500	
18/04/24 10:49	0,0013963900	
18/04/24 10:50	0,0013970100	
18/04/24 10:51	0,0013956900	
18/04/24 10:52	0 0013970700	

Search in GIF database, <u>https://epdt-rd-monitoring.web.cern.ch/</u>

ABS values for the choosen data and times

Data and Time ABS value 18/04/2024 12:55 10,0000000 18/04/2024 13:00 10,0000000 18/04/2024 13:00 10,0000000

18/04/2024 13:05	10,000000	
18/04/2024 13:10	10,0000000	
18/04/2024 13:15	10,0000000	
18/04/2024 13:20	10,0000000	
18/04/2024 13:25	10,0000000	
18/04/2024 13:30	10,0000000	
18/04/2024 13:35	10,0000000	
18/04/2024 13:40	10,000000	
18/04/2024 13:45	10,0000000	
18/04/2024 13:50	10,0000000	
18/04/2024 13:55	10,0000000	
18/04/2024 14:00	10,0000000	
18/04/2024 14:05	10,000000	
18/04/2024 14:10	10,000000	
18/04/2024 14:15	10,000000	
18/04/2024 14:20	10,000000	
18/04/2024 14:25	10,0000000	
18/04/2024 14:30	10,000000	
18/04/2024 14:35	10,000000	
18/04/2024 14:40	10,000000	
10/04/000414.45	10 000000	

Gamma dose validation with PMINA dosimeters

- Upstream and Downstream validation
- Simulated and measured values (test beam campaign 2024) in agreements inside error margin
- DETECTORS ARE FAR AWAY FROM EXPERIMENTAL INSTALLATIONS



7

Dose rate comparison

- Comparison at 6 m and 3 m from source with data provided by ECOGAS@GIF++ collaboration measured during 2021-2022-2023
- Difference in dose rate due to presence of mechanical structure and setups in the bunker and difference of source activity
- Simulated activity of 11,5 TBq as reported in DRD1 meeting in January 2024



Gamma Flux estimation



For an ABS 2.2 Around 6 10⁶ gamma/ s cm₂ Estimated gamma from plot at 3m from the source

9

Backscattering study

Dose rate of PMINA detectors used for backscattering measure, no evident difference

Simulation at 1m for backscattering investigation with 2.2 , 3.3 and 4.6 filters



Conclusions and Next steps

- 1. The simulation has been validated by the comparison of the dose rate with the measurements done with PMINA sensors
- The validated simulation provides results in agreement with the measured dose rate taken at 6 m and 3 m from the source.
- 1. The simulation tool can be enriched including all detectors installed inside the GIF.
- 2. Extensive dose campaign are planned to improve the simulation validation
- 3. Increase number of generated gammas to improve precision

THANKS

Backup

Dose comparison



Fig. 8. RADMON measurements of absorbed dose [mGy/h].

State of Art

Since 2014 the Gamma Irradiation Facility at CERN is extensively used for: Ecogas, longevity and R&D detector studies involving several Detector technology: DT, MDT ,CSC ,RPC, iRPC, GEM...

One simulation study is available (without detectors) done on by Pfeiffer Dorothea. She developed simulation Software in GEANT4-10.0 to simulate GIF++ radiation background: "The radiation field in the Gamma Irradiation Facility GIF++ at CERN" [1]

➢ New bunker geometry was implemented in 2018 → new simulation work is needed

➤8 - 09 - 2020 dose activity measure 12.15 TBq

[1] http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2017.05.045