Da HOLMES a

HOLMES+

<u>M. Faverzani</u> CdS MiB 8/7/2024

Physics case

- Direct neutrino mass measurement is the only theory-unrelated method of accessing the neutrino mass
 - \succ benchmark for Λ -CDM model
- KATRIN has reached $m_v < 0.45 \text{ eV}$ [arXiv:2406.13516] and aims at 0.3 eV
 - > maximum size and complexity achievable with **spectrometers**
 - only way to increase sensitivity is through differential measurement and/or atomic tritium source
- other projects
 - > Project8: $m_v < 150$ eV with cm3-scale apparatus
 - > QTNM
 - ➢ Ptolemy
- calorimetry
 - \blacktriangleright HOLMES m_v < 28 eV @ 90% CI
 - \geq ECHo m_v < 19 eV @ 90 CL

¹⁶³Ho electron capture



¹⁶³Ho decay via EC from shell \geq M1, with Q_{EC} ~ 2.8keV

Proposed by A. De Rujula and M. Lusignoli, Phys. Lett. B 118 (1982) 429

• calorimetric measurement of the Dy atomic de-excitation (mostly non-radiative)

• rate at the end point and v mass sensitivity depend on ($Q - E_{M_1}$), where $E_{M_1} = 2.05$ keV

• $\tau_{1/2}$ ~ 4570 years: few nuclei are needed (2x10^{11 163}Ho nuclei = 1 Bq)



HOLMES funding

- ERC AdG 2014
- since 2020 INFN CSN2

ECHo funding

• two DFG grants (mainly)

HOLMES TES detectors







⁶⁴ pixels/die

Isotope embedding @INFN-Genova



Isotope embedding @INFN-Genova



• Beam centering with high current footprint on Au plated silicon substrate both at the slit and at the array holder



- sputter target source efficiency (with ^{nat}Ho): ε ~ 0.2%
- most of the Ho deposits on the walls of the chamber before being ionized



- separation at the slit 163 Ho from 165 Ho and 166m Ho (in our solution: 163 Ho/ 165 Ho/ 166m Ho = 60/40/0.1) evaluated with simulations:
 - ¹⁶³/¹⁶⁵Ho separated by 15 mm
 ¹⁶³/^{166m}Ho separated by 22 mm

- single spot
 - integrated current to achieve 4 Bq/det peak activity (neglecting sputter)
 - \blacktriangleright evaluation of ¹⁶³Ho activity on TES
 - ➢ beam profile
 - evaluation of ¹⁶³Ho activity effect on TESs





First ¹⁶³Ho implant on TES array

- sputter target loaded with **12 MBq of** 163 Ho (= 2.6 x 10^{18 163}Ho atoms)
- ¹⁶³Ho beam current stable at \approx 5 nA for 3 hours
- integrated current corresponds to $\approx 3 \ge 10^{14}$ ¹⁶³Ho ions







Full encapsulation @Milano-Bicocca



Ar ion beam (for sputtering)





¹⁶³Ho (not implemented yet)

TES array

- 1 μ m layer to fully encapsulate the ¹⁶³Ho
- \approx 27 hours to complete the process
- soon will be integrated with the ionimplanter to compensate sputter and avoid oxidation



TESs finalization



Lift-off of the Au/Ho/Au layer

Au lift-off: ≈ 2 h acetone bath at 50°C













- ~ 350 Al wire bonding for electrical connections for 64 TESs
- ~ 20 Au wire bonding for TES chip thermalization







¹⁶³Ho implanted activity map – RUN1



¹⁶³Ho impact on TESs



- Ho heat capacity is dominated by Schottky anomaly
- peak at $\approx 250 \text{ mK}$
- high activity manageable at $T \leq 50 \text{ mK}$



- from HOLMES pulses decay time:
 c_{Ho} = 3 J/K/mol
- ➤ bulk value 4.2 J/K/mol
- ➢ ECHo between 4 and 5 J/K/mol

¹⁶³Ho implanted activity map – RUN2

- 4 spots implantation
- $A_{\text{target}} = 2 \text{ Bq}$
- $A_{\text{measured}} = 0.27 \text{ Bq (avg)}$
- $A_{\text{measured}} = 0.6 \text{ Bq} \text{ (peak)}$
- not as uniform as expected





- 2.5 months measuring time
- preliminary calibration with fluorescence source
- physics runs calibrated with Ho peaks
- 6x10⁷ events collected
- $\Delta E_{\rm FWHM} = 7 \text{ eV}$



- 2.5 months measuring time
- preliminary calibration with fluorescence source
- physics runs calibrated with –
 Ho peaks
- 6x10⁷ events collected
- $\Delta E_{\rm FWHM} = 7 \text{ eV}$





experimental EC spectrum deviates from all theoretical predictions

- \rightarrow phenomenological description of the EC spectrum
- shake-up peaks and shake-off spectra
- strongly asymmetric Lorentzians (Fano-like interference?) needed for assessing sensitivity of future ¹⁶³Ho experiments **end-point region is smooth and featureless**



preliminary Bayesian end-point analysis with phenomenological model $m_{\beta} < 28 \text{ eV} @ 90\% \text{ CI}$ Q = 2848+11-6 eV (only stat error)



HOLMES+

proposed activity for 3 years (CDR) in CSN2 aims:

- prepare for a 100 meV sensitivity experiment
- achieve sensitivity on m_v of a few eVs
 - → deployment of **256 HOLMES TESs** with and activity of ≥ 1 Bq
 - \succ readout with the current cold readout scheme + new readout boards
- produce and deploy a small number (9-16) of low Tc TESs
 - $> T_c \le 40 \text{ mK}$
 - > A_{163Ho}/det \approx 30Bq
 - readout with new cold readout scheme + HOLMES readout boards
- improve the readout cost/channel
 - new readout boards
 - ➢ novel cold readout technique
- improve embedding efficiency
 - \blacktriangleright heated cavity for atomization
 - \succ different ionization process \rightarrow FEBIAD vs RILIS
- exploit and expand synergies with ECHo, NIST, Colorado School of Mines, LANL

HOLMES+ aiming at nex-gen experiment



MC simulations based on one-hole approximation

<u>improvement on m_v</u> <u>sensitivity due to excess</u> <u>statistics yet to be</u> <u>quantified (with</u> <u>HOLMES at least a</u> <u>factor 2 better)</u>

 5×10^{16} events $\sum_{90} (m_v) \propto \sqrt[4]{1/N_{ev}}$

HOLMES+: 256 + 16 TESs

simulations based on one-hole approximation



256 'old' HOLMES-style TESs

16 'new' HOLMES+ TESs

HOLMES+: TESs and cold readout



- low T_c TESs developed and produced at INRiM with support of NIST
- Ti/Au bilayer
- sensor deposited on Si substrate → conductivity determined by e-ph
- absorber on SiN membrane to avoid leakage of energetic phonons

- novel technique demonstrated at NIST
- exploits non-linearity of superconductors (experience with DARTWARS, ICSC and NQSTI)
- more efficient usage of the bandwidth
- easy to fabricate
- possibility to integrate on the TES chip
- will be produced at FBK with support of NIST
- will be used to readout the $9/16 \log T_c$ TESs



HOLMES+: readout and DAQ



Since HOLMES' proposal many new readout boards

Interesting the RFSoC 4x2

- Iow cost (educational/research)
- ➤ 5 GSPS, 14-bit ADC
- ▶ 9.85 GSPS, 14-bit DAC
- ➤ coupled with new IF board (2 GHz BW) → 256 channels HOLMES-style multiplexing
- \blacktriangleright will be used to readout the 256 low activity TESs
- Synergy with ECHo: possibility to use their MPSoC boards and compare performances

HOLMES+: ion implanter upgrade

Aim: improve the overall embedding efficiency

- 1. integrate the co-deposition chamber (currently @MiB) in the ion implanter
- 2. install the focus stage
- 3. upgrade the ion source
 - ▶ heated cavity for evaporation of Ho (and avoid deposition of Ho before ionization)
 - more efficient ionization technique: FEBIAD
 - o Forced Electron Beam Induced Arc Discharge Ion Source
 - o established technology
 - o low cost (~150 k€)
 - o less efficient than RILIS
 - ► RILIS
 - o Resonance Ionization Laser Ion Source
 - o used at Mainz with high efficiency with 70% efficiency
 - o expensive (~1M€)

HOLMES+ baseline: FEBIAD, leaving a possible future (post-HOLMES+) upgrade to RILIS

Collaboration with ECHo/Mainz and support from LNL (which will join the collaboration starting from 2026)

HOLMES+ collaboration and synergies

European institutions:

- INFN units:
 - MiB
 - Ge
 - To (INRiM)
 - TIFPA (FBK)
- LNL support for 2025, will ufficially join the collaboration with FTE starting from 2026
- ECHo collaboration (including Mainz)

US institutions:

- NIST Boulder
- Colorado School of Mines (BeEST)
 - Kyle Leach will submit proposal to NSF in December (~200k\$/y)

HOLMES+ WPs

- WP1: Experimental set-up 76 k€
 - ≻ <u>INFN-MiB</u>
- WP2: Embedding system 190 k€
 ➤ <u>INFN-Ge</u>, INFN-MiB, INFN-To (INRiM)
- WP3: TES detectors 165 k€
 - ≻ <u>INFN-To (INRiM</u>), INFN-MiB, INFN-Ge
- WP4: KICS readout 95 k€
 - ≻ <u>TIFPA (FBK)</u>, INFN-MiB, INFN-To (INRiM)
- WP5: DAQ, data analysis and simulations 60k€
 ► <u>INFN-MiB</u>, all