



R&D of new seismic filters Black Holes for ET at Sos EnAttos BHETSA

A. Allocca, S. Ardito, M. Baratti, G. Bartoli, L. Bellizzi, S. Bianchi, V. Boschi, E. Calloni, M. Carpinelli, P. Chessa, D. D'Urso, R. De Rosa, F. DeSanti, L. Di Fiore, F. Fabrizi, I. Ferrante, F. Fidecaro, A. Fiori, A. Gennai, A. Longo, M. Montani, L. Papalini, M. Palaia, M. Razzano, D. Rozza, P. Ruggi, L. Trozzo, M. Vacatello, A. Viceré

INFN – Na, INFN – Pi, Uni Napoli, Uni Pisa, Uni Sassari, Uni Urbino

Virgo Pisa workshop, May 22, 2024





Low frequency sensitivity gives access to:

- Higher binary system mass $\propto \, f^{\text{-1}}$
- Higher generated amplitude, higher SNR
- Higher cosmological redshift
- Longer signal duration, early alert $\propto\,f^{-8/3}$
- Larger pulsar population
- Close encounters
- Higher stochastic background, if detectable



MUR PRIN





From the GW community: LF question requires to study

- Size of the problem and origin of LF noise
- Reduce RMS motion
- Controls
- Diffuse light





• Ground motion 10⁻⁷ m Hz^{-1/2} at 1 Hz vs 10⁻¹⁸ m Hz^{-1/2} at 10 Hz

- Test mass asks for a very loose link
 - Low pass filter with a steep frequency cut below the detection band
 - Cascade of harmonic oscillators (Second Order Sections)
 - Loose springs and high masses
- Dissipation to be avoided, not compatible with loose link
- Loose link through local active control limited by sensor noise
- Passive isolation for a large fraction of the chain
- But amplification at normal modes





LF noise is given by

- Microseism motion
- Newtonian noise
- Upconversion of residual motion into the detection band
- Control noise

Design curve based on 17 m tall suspensions Reduction to less than 10 m:

- Significantly lower cavern excavation cost
- Suspension management similar to Virgo

Newtonian noise crossing:

2 10⁻²² Hz^{-1/2} at 1.8 Hz (AdV: 3.2 Hz)







- RMS motion: precision of the working point settings O(10⁻¹³) m
- Angular motion: not fully studied but 10⁻⁹ rad at 10 km gives a beam center displacement of 10⁻⁵ m or a cavity length variation of

 $\delta L = 10^{-10} / 2 R_m (R_m \sim 10^4 m \rightarrow \delta L = 5 10^{-15} m)$

which seems relevant even if averaged over the beam spot

- \bullet Tides: full swing of spring tides: order of 300 μm
- Avoid reintroduction of noise by actuators (dynamic range)
- Controllability of the system
- Recovery from high excitation after feedback unlock, earthquakes





Seismic noise underground 200 times less than at Virgo

Position/acceleration sensors readout hits the noise floor of instrument

Local control is effective only upstream the attenuation chain

Otherwise one needs the full interferometer, which injects technical noise -> Active Noise Mitigation Division

- Improve upstream isolation with better sensing and actuation
- Rely on passive attenuation
- Gain by reducing the normal mode frequencies
- 2010 design: 17 m long suspensions to lower pendulum frequency, implications on civil engineering costs
- Vertical attenuation does not require additional height, but more stringent requirements (3 km to 10-15 km)
- Challenge: fit in 10 m

ET Challenge: Fit suspension in 10 m



- 1. Act on ground / suspension interface actively
- 2. Act on suspension point actively/passively
- 3. Superattenuator chain design









Sardinia vertical

3 10⁻⁸ ms⁻² Hz^{-1/2} at 2 Hz

To be updated with borehole measurements at Sos Enattos (L. Naticchioni)







Sardinia vertical

3 10⁻⁸ ms⁻² Hz^{-1/2} at 2 Hz

To be updated with borehole measurements at Sos Enattos (L. Naticchioni)





Virgo: 5 10^{-10} m Hz^{-1/2} at 10 Hz SOE: 3 10^{-10} m Hz^{-1/2} at 2 Hz

RMS displacement over 100 s

Virgo: 10^{-6} m comparable to λ SOE: 10^{-7} m well below λ

Strain

Virgo: 2 10⁻²² Hz^{-1/2} at 10 Hz ET: 2 10⁻²² Hz^{-1/2} at 2 Hz

Four uncorrelated mirrors

Virgo: $1.5 \ 10^{-18} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 10 HzET: $10^{-18} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 2 Hz

With factor 10 safety factor

Virgo: $1.5 \ 10^{-19} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 10 Hz ET: $10^{-19} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 2 Hz





Virgo: 5 10^{-10} m Hz^{-1/2} at 10 Hz SOE: 3 10^{-10} m Hz^{-1/2} at 2 Hz

RMS displacement over 100 s

Virgo: 10^{-6} m comparable to λ SOE: 10^{-7} m well below λ

Strain

Virgo: 2 10⁻²² Hz^{-1/2} at 10 Hz ET: 2 10⁻²² Hz^{-1/2} at 2 Hz

Four uncorrelated mirrors

Virgo: $1.5 \ 10^{-18} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 10 HzET: $10^{-18} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 2 Hz

With factor 10 safety factor





Virgo: 5 10^{-10} m Hz^{-1/2} at 10 Hz SOE: 3 10^{-10} m Hz^{-1/2} at 2 Hz

RMS displacement over 100 s

Virgo: 10^{-6} m comparable to λ SOE: 10^{-7} m well below λ

Strain

Virgo: 2 10^{-22} Hz^{-1/2} at 10 Hz ET: 2 10^{-22} Hz^{-1/2} at 2 Hz

Four uncorrelated mirrors

Virgo: $1.5 \ 10^{-18} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 10 HzET: $10^{-18} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 2 Hz

With factor 10 safety factor





Virgo: 5 10^{-10} m Hz^{-1/2} at 10 Hz SOE: 3 10^{-10} m Hz^{-1/2} at 2 Hz

RMS displacement over 100 s

Virgo: 10^{-6} m comparable to λ SOE: 10^{-7} m well below λ

Strain

Virgo: 2 10^{-22} Hz^{-1/2} at 10 Hz ET: 2 10^{-22} Hz^{-1/2} at 2 Hz

Four uncorrelated mirrors

Virgo: $1.5 \ 10^{-18} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 10 HzET: $10^{-18} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 2 Hz

With factor 10 safety factor





Virgo: 5 10^{-10} m Hz^{-1/2} at 10 Hz SOE: 3 10^{-10} m Hz^{-1/2} at 2 Hz

RMS displacement over 100 s

Virgo: 10⁻⁶ m comparable to λ SOE: 10⁻⁷ m well below λ

Strain

Virgo: 2 10^{-22} Hz^{-1/2} at 10 Hz ET: 2 10^{-22} Hz^{-1/2} at 2 Hz

Four uncorrelated mirrors

Virgo: $1.5 \ 10^{-18} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 10 HzET: $10^{-18} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 2 Hz

With factor 10 safety factor





Virgo: 5 10^{-10} m Hz^{-1/2} at 10 Hz SOE: 3 10^{-10} m Hz^{-1/2} at 2 Hz

RMS displacement over 100 s

Virgo: 10⁻⁶ m comparable to λ SOE: 10⁻⁷ m well below λ

Strain

Virgo: 2 10^{-22} Hz^{-1/2} at 10 Hz ET: 2 10^{-22} Hz^{-1/2} at 2 Hz

Four uncorrelated mirrors

Virgo: $1.5 \ 10^{-18} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 10 HzET: $10^{-18} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 2 Hz

With factor 10 safety factor

Virgo: $1.5 \ 10^{-19} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 10 Hz ET: $10^{-19} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 2 Hz







Einstein Telescope

Vertical displacement spectrum

SOE: $3 10^{-10} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 2 Hz

30 mHz Inverted pendulum

Attenuation at 2 Hz: 2.3 10⁻⁴ Motion at 2 Hz: 7 10⁻¹⁴ m Hz^{-1/2} Noise floor for local sensing

Virgo

Vertical displacement spectrum

Virgo: 5 $10^{\text{-10}}$ m Hz $^{\text{-1/2}}\,$ at 10 Hz

30 mHz Inverted pendulum

Attenuation at 10 Hz: 9 10⁻⁶ Motion at 10 Hz: 5 10⁻¹⁵ m Hz^{-1/2}

Beyond noise floor for local sensing





Einstein Telescope

Vertical displacement spectrum

SOE: $3 10^{-10} \text{ m Hz}^{-1/2}$ at 2 Hz

30 mHz Inverted pendulum

Attenuation at 2 Hz: 2.3 10⁻⁴ Motion at 2 Hz: 7 10⁻¹⁴ m Hz^{-1/2} Noise floor for local sensing

With factor 10 safety factor

ET: 10^{-19} m Hz^{-1/2} at 2 Hz

Full seismic attenuation required

ET: 1.5 10⁻⁶ at 2 Hz

Virgo

Vertical displacement spectrum

Virgo: 5 $10^{\text{-10}}$ m Hz $^{\text{-1/2}}\,$ at 10 Hz

30 mHz Inverted pendulum

Attenuation at 10 Hz: 9 10⁻⁶ Motion at 10 Hz: 5 10⁻¹⁵ m Hz^{-1/2} Beyond noise floor for local sensing

With factor 10 safety factor

Virgo: 1.5 10⁻¹⁹ m Hz^{-1/2} at 10 Hz

Full seismic attenuation required Virgo: $A = 3 \ 10^{-5} at \ 10 Hz$ ET ELESCOPE Attenuation required

Einstein Telescope Full seismic attenuation required ET: 1.5 10⁻⁶ at 2 Hz

Mandatory filters

Mirror: pendulum at 0.46 Hz

Marionetta

Assume 2 filters mode at 0.75 Hz:

A = $2.7 \ 10^{-2}$ at 2 Hz

Remaining attenuation required

 $A_{ch} = 5.6 \ 10^{-5} \ at \ 2 \ Hz$

Virgo Full seismic attenuation required Virgo: A = 3 10⁻⁵ at 10 Hz

Mandatory filters

Mirror: pendulum at 0.6 Hz

Marionetta

Assume 2 filters mode at 0.75 Hz:

A = 5.6 10^{-3} at 10 Hz

Remaining attenuation required

 $A_{ch} = 5.4 \ 10^{-3} \text{ at } 10 \text{ Hz}$

MUR PRIN



Cascade of pendulums Using inertia and gravity







Cascade of pendulums Using inertia and gravity To using inertia, springs and gravity Shortening the path to the test mass



MUR PRIN

ET Pendulum – Inverted pendulum

How to soften a suspension stage

- Spare length
- For κ_{θ} sufficiently stiff, the system is stable
- 11: 1.544, # Pendulum length\
 12: 0.520, # IP length\
- T1: 2551.0, # Pendulum tension
- T2: 1766.0, # IP compression
- m1: 80.0, # Pendulum mass
- m2: 80.0, # Filter mass \setminus
- m3: 100.0, # Load\
- I1s: 20.0, # Pendulum moment of inertia \setminus
- I2s: 0.8, # IP moment of inertia\
- k: 1700.0, # flex joint elastic constant\

Normal mode frequencies 0.68 Hz 0.74 Hz



MUR PRIN

E

Attenuation of Pendulum-Inverted Pendulum

Horizontal attenuation of a single PIP No damping

For $f_0 = 0.75$ Hz A₂ : attenuation at 2 Hz

2.7 10-2

7.2 10-4

Two PIP A_2 : attenuation at 2 Hz

Three PIP A_2 : attenuation at 2 Hz

1.9 10⁻⁵













Standard filter addition for vertical attenuation

Filter suspended to IP legs

IP counterweights not represented

Includes one stage of vertical attenuation

Hook to next stage above first pendulum mass

Additional vertical attenuation can be suspended below the filter





- The high part of a suspension chain can be built
 - Starting from an IP





- The high part of a suspension chain can be built
 - Starting from an IP
 - Hanging a pendulum





- The high part of a suspension chain can be built
 - Starting from an IP
 - Hanging a pendulum
 - Adding an Inverted Pendulum (-> PIP)





- The high part of a suspension chain can be built
 - Starting from an IP
 - Hanging a pendulum
 - Adding an Inverted Pendulum (-> PIP)
 - Suspend a vertical isolation filter





- The high part of a suspension chain can be built
 - Starting from an IP
 - Hanging a pendulum
 - Adding an Inverted Pendulum (-> PIP)
 - Suspend a vertical isolation filter
 - That suspends another PIP





- The high part of a suspension chain can be built
 - Starting from an IP
 - Hanging a pendulum
 - Adding an Inverted Pendulum (-> PIP)
 - Suspend a vertical isolation filter
 - That suspends another PIP
 - Possibility to apply inter-filter feedback







Work in progress on entangled PIP Four filters in 2.70 m







• A PIP chain can be built

- Hook of the second PIP above the first filter
- Current PIP length 1.55 m
- Two PIP can live in 2.70 + 0.30 = 3.0 m accounting for a dedicated vertical attenuation stage
- Three PIP can live in 4 m
- The goal of a 10 m tall suspension seems in sight





WP1 A prototype PIP has been manufactured (SarGrav, G&M) Goals

- Verify the dynamical behaviour: large roll-displacement coupling
- Expected resonances
- Cross coupling
- Vertical bouncing modes

Test of components (LVDT and force coils, Lorenzo, Matteo, Sara)

WP2 Simulations are in progress (Max, Matteo M, Lucia T)

Filter design (Andrea)

Laboratory equipment and software support: hardware database (Lorenzo, Michele)





WP3 Sensors and actuators

- Tiltmeter progress (Annalisa Allocca, NA)
- Develop new accelerometer with better position sensing (Alberto)
- Explore optical readout (Alberto)
- Explore microTCA electronics (Valerio)

WP4 Control and ML (Gaia and Maria) WP5 SarGrav (Davide, Domenico, Sassari) WP6 Outreach (Davide, Domenico, Sassari) PRIN



• PIP being assembled in Virgo lab





22/05/2024

MUR^I PRIN

ET FINSTEIN PIP simulation



🖀 GWLab@Pisa Logbook

Reports 1-25 v of 54

PIP (Mechanics)

Lorenzo Bellizzi - 17:03 Tuesday 23 April 2024 (62) 🗞 🖨

New measurements on PIP Mounted

Yesterday I collected data using only the LVDT 1.

Currently the Pendulum Inverted Pendulum is mounted and it leans on three supported of wood on the floor. In this way the bottom ring is not freee. The top ring is leant on the three inverted pendulum.

I stressed the bottom ring with an hammer and I collected data with the Moku for one minute. The data are computed to obtain the power spectral density (PSD).

The plot "Difference hit point on PIP" shows three several measure. The line blue is the gorund noise where I take a minute of data without stressed the PIP. The orange and green lines are the PSD computed in hitting the PIP in two different point. How we expected the PSD is similar and the resonance peaks are the same frequencies due to the geometry of the PIP.

In the next step I mounted the first ring of Weight: in this way the mass on the top ring is increased of 50.4 Kg. In the picture "TF in function of weight" we can see the two previous lines (respectivaly blue and orange lines) while the green line is the PSD after to charged the weight on the top. The peak of resonance moved toward lower frequencies (1.2207 Hz)

It is interesting the third peak, which is flashy in the orange line.In figure called "Third Peak" is possible to recognised this peak also on the blue and green line so suggesting possible that this is an effect of the ground noise and the improved masses is able to decrease this effect.

In the table I am comparing the frequencis measurements with the expected frequencies (from Basti's simulation):

frequencies simulated (mass)	frequencies measured (mass)
2.38 Hz (10 kg)	1.83 Hz (10.5 Kg)
2.24 (No masses added)	1.800 (No masses added)

In the first row the data are taken on a single inverted pendulum (Leg_1) when we put the little steel disk on the top. In the second row the data are taken with the configuration that I describe above.



rt simulations, need more detailed





• Simulations by Andrea Basti

• PIP on ground waiting for suspension components



• Resonance frequency seems low wrt simulations, need more detailed description of joint connection





No power input

6Vpp, 0.5Hz

6Vpp, 1Hz

6Vpp, 3Hz

6Vpp, 10Hz

10²

6V. DC

Input power linear spectrum

10¹

frequency [Hz]

Phase vs Frequency

100

200

300

frequency [Hz]

- Calibration of LVDT + actuator Matteo Baratti
- xtalk check when actuating
- Noise 10⁻⁸ m Hz^{-1/2}
- Mechanical xtalk: 80 Hz resonance



500





- Vertical normal mode damping Sara Ardito
- Simulation and control from the F0 crossbar: example



Figure 5.17: Case 3: (left) In blue the transfer function of the system not controlled, while in orange the transfer function of the system when FSF control is applied in the range f = [0.05, 1.6] Hz. (right) Temporal evolution of the controlled system.



- Achieve RMS well below $\lambda/2$, gain of several expected
- Prototype project to achieve similar results in the horizontal DOF





- Revisiting seismic filters and related subjects in view of
- Einstein Telescope
- Virgo_Next
- Stable Recycling Cavities ?





- Revisiting seismic filters and related subjects in view of
- Einstein Telescope
- Virgo_Next
- Stable Recycling Cavities ?







- Revisiting seismic filters and related subjects in view of
- Einstein Telescope
- Virgo_Next







- Revisiting seismic filters and related subjects in view of
- Einstein Telescope

