

D. Mazin IFAE, Barcelona

CTA: CHERENKOV TELESCOPE ARRAY

for the CTA consortium

Thanks for material from Werner Hofmann and Manel Martinez

contents



- Very High Energy Gamma-Ray Astronomy
 - Observation technique
 - Major results
- CTA: concept
- Technical implementation
- Physics ahead
- Status of the project







Current status: 3 major observatories





With instruments like H.E.S.S., MAGIC, VERITAS: "Real astronomy"



□ High sensitivity

3 orders of magnitude dynamic range in flux between strongest and

faintest sources

□ Wide spectral range

>2 orders of magnitude coverage in energy, up to 10s of TeV

10-15% energy resolution

Resolved source morphology

~5' angular resolution

10-20" source localization

□ Survey capability

H.E.S.S. Galactic Plane Survey:2% Crab sensitivity

Well-resolved light curves Minute-scale variability of AGN







Spectra and Phasograms

Crab Pulsar and Nebula is our standard candle: • Left over after supernova 1054 • 2 kpc away • Pulsar with 33.6 ms period • Relativistic electrons (Γ up to ~10⁹) extending up to a few pc -> Nebula









Propagation of Gamma-rays



TeV gammas are partially absorbed on the way to the Earth:

 $\gamma_{\text{TeV}} + \gamma_{\text{EBL}} \rightarrow e^+ e^-$

This effect can be used to infer EBL density from measured AGN spectra





Some key object classes still elusive, e.g.

- Galaxy clusters as cosmological storehouses of CRs
- Very high energy emission from GRB
- Dark Matter annihilation signatures
 Some key mechanisms remain to be understood, e.g.
- Supernovae as sources of cosmic rays: do they provide sufficient peak energy & energy output?
- Cosmic ray escape from accelerators and propagation
- Energy conversion in pulsars

Energy range & angular resolution of current instruments insufficient to probe details



CTA concept

10 fold sensitivity of current instruments 10 fold energy range improved angular resolution two sites (North / South) operated as observatory

> World-wide cooperation 25 countries 132 institutes >800 scientists

The future in VHE gamma ray astronomy:

cherenkov telescope array

Reminder: imaging the cascade geometry → photon direction intensity → photon energy shape → cosmic ray rejection

In reality: a short (nanoseconds) faint (few 10 ph./m²) blue flash

Wally Pacholka / AstroPics.com

Shower light pool

- large enough to illuminate several telescopes → stereoscopy
- small compared to array size → detection area given by array size (at high energy)



The Cherenkov Telescope Array concept

Low energy Few 23 m telescopes 4...5° FoV ~2500 pixels ~ 0.1° Medium energy About twenty 12 m telescopes 6...8° FoV ~1500 pixels ~ 0.18° High energy Fifty + 4...7 m telescopes $8...10^{\circ}$ FoV ~1500...2000 pixels ~ 0.2^{\circ}...0.3^{\circ}

Only in southern array (for Galactic science)



Technical implementation



Selection of different possible sub-arrays with estimated construction cost of ~80 M€ each



Design: 23 m Large Telescopes

optimized for the range below 200 GeV





Design: Medium-Sized 12 m Telescope

optimized for the 100 GeV to ~10 TeV range



16 m focal length 7-8° field of view 0.18° pixels



100 m² dish area 1.2 m mirror facets



Dual Mirror Option for medium telescope

Improved imaging Small plate scale

Discussed for US-driven expansion of MST array





Sensitivity







CTA, an open observatory



- Large number of detectable objects main motivation to operate CTA as an open observatory
 - Provide tools for data dissemination and data analysis
- Large number of users from astronomy, astroparticle and particle physics, cosmology, ...





Physics ahead

Very subjective highlights



Cosmic ray accelerators





• Through detecting all bright SNR in the galaxy and resolving many

 Through spectral studies (e.g. finding energy cutoffs)



AGNs and GRBs (fast variability!!)



Expect a quantitative jump in: Population studies, detecting sources at z>2, enormous progress in modeling of the emission, origin of variability



Extragalactic Background light and Cosmology



- Simultaneous measurement of absorbed and unabsorbed parts of the energy spectrum
- Measuring EBL through resolved spectral features
- 50 hours of observation 20 hours of observation preliminary 26 24 2.2 preliminary 0.6 0.8 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 1 EBL scaling factor 0.8 1.2 1.6 1.4 1.8 EBL density scale factor

 Once EBL is measured, use it to independently measure distance to sources and test cosmological model



Fundamental physics





Dark matter





Might well be within the reach!

Status and plans



Design study phase concluded in Fall 2010

 Design Concepts for the Cherenkov Telescope Array (arXiv:1008.3703)

FP7-supported Preparatory Phase: Fall 2010 – Fall 2013

- → Technical design, sites, construction and operation cost
- → Legal, governance and finance schemes
- → Small + medium-sized telescope prototypes

Aim for

- start of deployment in early 2014
- first data in 2016/17
- base arrays complete in late 2018
- expanded mid-energy array driven by US



BACKUP

TeV Astronomy: Highlights

Over 350 publications in high-impact journals:

- *Microquasars:* Science 309, 746 (2005), Science 312, 1771 (2006)
- Pulsars: Science 322, 1221 (2008)
- Supernova remnants: Nature 432, 75 (2004)
- The Galactic Centre: Nature 439, 695 (2006)
- Galactic Survey: Science 307, 1839 (2005)
- Starbursts: Nature 462, 770 (2009), Science 326,1080 (2009)
- AGN: Science 314,1424 (2006), Science 325, 444 (2009)
- EBL: Nature 440, 1018 (2006), Science 320, 752 (2008)
- Dark Matter: Phys Rev Letters 96, 221102 (2006)
- Lorentz Invariance: Phys Rev Letters 101, 170402 (2008)
- Cosmic Ray Electrons: Phys Rev Letters (2009)

Results from **HESS**, **MAGIC** and **VERITAS**



Science Potential





- Current instruments have passed the critical sensitivity threshold and reveal a rich panorama, but this is clearly only the tip of the iceberg
- What big science questions remain ?

Tentative timeline towards the CTA observatory









Goals of Prep Phase



- Provide a technical design
- Provide site choices
- Define organizational, legal, financial framework
- Provide reliable costs for construction and operation
- Develop funding scenario
- Prepare science exploitation
- Get agencies to sign

CTA-PP budget:

- 5.2 M€ from FP7
- + support by local agencies >10 M€

Telescope characteristics





Differences to optical and radio telescopes: the scope array what does not matter (much)

Seeing: Pixel size of CT's is 0.1° – 0.2° Don't care about seeing

Water vapor: no significant scattering or absorption of Cherenkov light Don't care as long as it is vapor

Tracking, shaking: no need to point / track very precisely as long as one knows where the telescope points during the 10 ns exposure