Light Colored Scalars as Messengers of Up-Quark, Down-Quark and Charged Lepton Flavor Dynamics in Grand Unified Theories^{*}

Ilja Doršner

University of Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina

LES RENCONTRES DE PHYSIQUE DE LA VALLEE D'AOSTE, La Thuile

March 2nd, 2012

*I.D., Svjetlana Fajfer, Jernej F. Kamenik and Nejc Košnik, *Phys. Lett.* B 682 (2009) 67-73; *Phys. Rev.* D 81 (2010) 055009, *Phys. Rev.* D 82 (2010) 094015.
*I.D., Jure Drobnak, Svjetlana Fajfer, Jernej F. Kamenik and Nejc Košnik, JHEP (2011) 1111:002.

OUTLINE

•MOTIVATION

•EXPERIMENTAL STATUS

• d = 6 **PROTON DECAY OPERATORS** SCALAR CONTRIBUTION

•LIGHT SCALARS IN SU(5)

CONCLUSIONS

MOTIVATION

Leptoquarks[#] are inherent to any theory that treats quarks and leptons on the same footing.



•UNIFICATION THEORIES (PATI-SALAM[#], SU(5), SO(10), E_6 ...)



Leptoquarks can generate proton decay.



LEPTOQUARKS = QUALITATIVELY NEW PHYSICS!

[#]J. C. Pati and A. Salam, *Phys. Rev.* D 10 275-289, 1974.

MOTIVATION

Leptoquarks[#] are inherent to any theory that treats quarks and leptons on the same footing.



•UNIFICATION THEORIES (PATI-SALAM[#], SU(5), SO(10), E_6 ...)



Leptoquarks can generate proton decay.



LEPTOQUARKS = QUALITATIVELY NEW PHYSICS!

[#]J. C. Pati and A. Salam, *Phys. Rev.* D 10 275-289, 1974.

EXPERIMENTAL STATUS

Leptoquarks (LQ) can be produced directly in colliders.



[#]V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS), Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 201802 (2011), 1012.4031.
^øG. Aad et al. (ATLAS) (2011), 1104.4481.
^{*}ATLAS (2011), arXiv:1112.4828.
[&]CMS PAS EXO-11-030.

EXPERIMENTAL STATUS (PROTON DECAY)

	PROCESS	$\tau_p \ (10^{33} \text{years})$	
	$p \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+$	8.2	*
	$p \rightarrow \pi^0 \mu^+$	6.6	
	$p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{\nu}$	2.3	
	$p \rightarrow K^0 e^+$	1.0	
	$p \rightarrow K^0 \mu^+$	1.3	
	$p \rightarrow \eta e^+$	0.313	_
	$p \rightarrow \eta \mu^+$	0.126	
	$p \rightarrow \pi^+ \bar{\nu}$	0.025	
	:	:	
	$p \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+$	13.0	\square
	$p \rightarrow \pi^0 \mu^+$	11.0	
7	$p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{\nu}$	4.0	

*[Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], arXiv:0903.0676. @[Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], arXiv:hep-ex/0502026.

[¶] http://www.phys.utk.edu/blv2011/sessions01-06.html (Makoto Miura)

<u>d=6 PROTON DECAY OPERATORS</u> (SCALAR CONTRIBUTIONS*)

PROTON DECAY MEDIATING LEPTOQUARKS SHOULD BE VERY HEAVY!





 $Y \equiv$ Yukawa coupling(s)

 $m_{LQ} \equiv$ Leptoquark mass

*S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev.* D 22:1694, 1980.

RELEVANT SCALES



CASE STUDY: AN *SU*(5) **SCENARIO***

FERMIONS OF THE STANDARD MODEL (SM= $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$):

 $L_{a} \equiv (1, 2, -1/2)_{a} = (\nu_{a} \qquad e_{a})^{T}$ $e_{a}^{C} \equiv (1, 1, 1)_{a}$ $Q_{a} \equiv (3, 2, 1/6)_{a} = (u_{a} \qquad d_{a})^{T}$ $u_{a}^{C} \equiv (\overline{3}, 1, -2/3)_{a}$ QUARKS $d_{a}^{C} \equiv (\overline{3}, 1, 1/3)_{a}$

a = 1, 2, 3FAMILY INDEX

*H. Georgi and S.L. Glashow (1974).

CASE STUDY: AN SU(5) SCENARIO*

FERMIONS OF THE STANDARD MODEL:

LEPTONS $Q_a \equiv (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2}, 1/6)_a = (u_a \quad d_a)^T$ $u_a^C \equiv (\mathbf{\overline{3}}, \mathbf{1}, -2/3)_a \qquad \mathbf{Q}_a$ $d_a^C \equiv (\mathbf{\overline{3}}, \mathbf{1}, 1/3)_a$ $(\overline{\mathbf{5}}_{\alpha})_a$ **QUARKS** $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ a = 1, 2, 3SU(5) GROUP INDICES FAMILY INDEX

*H. Georgi and S.L. Glashow (1974).

CASE STUDY: AN *SU*(5) **SCENARIO***

FERMIONS OF THE STANDARD MODEL:

	$L_a \equiv (1, 2, -1/2)_a = (\nu_a e_a)^T$	LEPTONS	
$(10^{lphaeta})_a$	$e_a \equiv (1, 1, 1)_a$ $Q_a \equiv (3, 2, 1/6)_a = (u_a d_a)^T$		$(\overline{5}_{lpha})_a$
	$u_a^C \equiv (\overline{3}, 1, -2/3)_a$	QUARKS	
	$d_a^C \equiv (\overline{3}, 1, 1/3)_a$		
	a = 1, 2, 3 FAMILY INDEX	lpha, eta = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 SU(5) GROUP INDICES	5

*H. Georgi and S.L. Glashow (1974).

(SCALAR REPRESENTATIONS IN SU(5))

 $10 \times \overline{5} = 5 \oplus 45$: M_E, M_D

 $\overline{\mathbf{5}} \times \overline{\mathbf{5}} = \overline{\mathbf{10}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{15}} : M_N$

 $\mathbf{10} imes \mathbf{10} = \overline{\mathbf{5}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{45}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{50}}$: M_U

(SCALAR REPRESENTATIONS IN SU(5))

$10 imes \overline{5} = 5 \oplus 45$: M	$M_E, M_D \qquad \overline{5} \times \overline{5}$	$\overline{5} = \overline{10} \oplus \overline{15} : M_N$	$10 imes 10 = \overline{5} \oplus$	$\overline{45} \oplus \overline{50}$: M_U
5	10	15	45	50

(SCALAR REPRESENTATIONS IN SU(5))



(SCALAR REPRESENTATIONS IN SU(5))



(SCALAR REPRESENTATIONS IN SU(5))



i, j = 1, 2, 3FAMILY INDICES

(SCALAR REPRESENTATIONS IN SU(5))



$$i, j = 1, 2, 3$$

FAMILY INDICES

*I.D., Pavel Fileviez Pérez, *Nucl. Phys.* **B** 723 (2005) 53-76.

(SCALAR REPRESENTATIONS IN SU(5))



$$\mathbf{5} = (D, T)$$

 $D = (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, 1/2)$
 $T = (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, -1/3)$

$45 = (\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3, \Delta_4, \Delta_5, \Delta_6, \Delta_7)$
$\Delta_1 = ({f 8}, {f 2}, 1/2)$
$\Delta_2 = (\overline{6}, 1, -1/3)$
$\Delta_3 = (3, 3, -1/3)$
$\Delta_4 = (\overline{3}, 2, -7/6)$
$\Delta_5 = (3, 1, -1/3)$
$\Delta_6 ~=~ (\overline{3}, 1, 4/3)$
$\Delta_7 = ({f 1},{f 2},1/2)$

$$5 = (D, T)$$

 $D = (1, 2, 1/2)$
 $T = (3, 1, -1/3)$

--- = Higgs doublet

$45 = (\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3, \Delta_4, \Delta_5, \Delta_6, \Delta_7)$
$\Delta_1 = ({f 8}, {f 2}, 1/2)$
$\Delta_2 = (\overline{6}, 1, -1/3)$
$\Delta_3 = (3, 3, -1/3)$
$\Delta_4 ~=~ (\overline{3}, 2, -7/6)$
$\Delta_5 = (3, 1, -1/3)$
$\Delta_6~=~(\overline{3},1,4/3)$
$\Delta_7 = (1, 2, 1/2)$

$$5 = (D, T)$$

 $D = (1, 2, 1/2)$
 $T = (3, 1, -1/3)$

--- = Higgs doublet ---= "genuine" leptoquark

*I.D., Svjetlana Fajfer, Jernej F. Kamenik and Nejc Košnik, *Phys. Lett.* **B** 682 (2009) 67-73.

$45 = (\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3, \Delta_4, \Delta_5, \Delta_6, \Delta_7)$				
$\Delta_1 = (8, 2, 1/2)$				
$\Delta_2 = (\overline{6}, 1, -1/3)$				
$\Delta_3 = (3, 3, -1/3)$				
$\Delta_4 = (\overline{3}, 2, -7/6)^*$				
$\Delta_5 = (3, 1, -1/3)$				
$\Delta_{6} ~=~ (\overline{3}, 1, 4/3)$				
$\Delta_7 = (1, 2, 1/2)$				

:....:

......

LEPTOQUARKS IN *SU*(5) (*p*-DECAY MEDIATING LEPTOQUARK)



 = Higgs doublet
 ≡ "genuine" leptoquark
= p-decay mediating leptoquark

$45 = (\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3, \Delta_4, \Delta_5, \Delta_6, \Delta_7)$
$\Delta_1 = (8, 2, 1/2)$
$\Delta_2 = (\overline{6}, 1, -1/3)$
$\Delta_3 = (3, 3, -1/3)$
$\Delta_4 = (\overline{3}, 2, -7/6)$
$\Delta_5 = ({f 3}, {f 1}, -1/3)$
$\Delta_6 = (\overline{3}, 1, 4/3)$
$\Delta_7 = (1, 2, 1/2)$

LEPTOQUARKS IN *SU*(5) (*p*-DECAY MEDIATING LEPTOQUARK)





	$45 = (\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3, \Delta_4, \Delta_5, \Delta_6, \Delta_7)$
	$\Delta_1 = (8, 2, 1/2)$
	$\Delta_2 = (\overline{6}, 1, -1/3)$
	$\Delta_3 = (3, 3, -1/3)$
	$\Delta_4 = (\overline{3}, 2, -7/6)$
	$\Delta_5 = (3, 1, -1/3)$
	$\Delta_6 = (\overline{3}, 1, 4/3)$
'	$\Delta_7 = (1, 2, 1/2)$

LEPTOQUARKS IN *SU*(5) (*p*-DECAY MEDIATING SCALAR LEPTOQUARKS)

5 = (D, T) 10 =	(Ψ_a,Ψ_b,Ψ_c)	$45 = (\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3, \Delta_4, \Delta_5, \Delta_6, \Delta_7)$
$D = (1, 2, 1/2) \qquad \Psi_a =$	(1, 1, 1)	$\Delta_1 = ({f 8}, {f 2}, 1/2)$
$T = (3, 1, -1/3)$ $\Psi_b =$	$(\overline{3},1,-2/3)$	$\Delta_2 = (\overline{6}, 1, -1/3)$
$\Psi_c =$	$({\bf 3},{\bf 2},1/6)$	$\Delta_3 = (3, 3, -1/3)$
		$\Delta_4 = (\overline{3}, 2, -7/6)$
		$\Delta_5 = ({f 3}, {f 1}, -1/3)$
1 1 1 ,	1	$\Delta_6 = (\overline{3}, 1, 4/3)$
$\equiv p$ -decay mediating lepto	quark	$\Delta_7 = ({f 1},{f 2},1/2)$

(*p*-DECAY MEDIATING SCALAR LEPTOQUARKS)

ALL IN ALL, THERE ARE EIGHTEEN (FIFTEEN) PROTON DECAY MEDIATING SCALARS IF NEUTRINOS ARE DIRAC (MAJORANA)!*

LEPTOQUARKS IN THE 5 OF *SU*(5) *

(*p*-DECAY MEDIATING SCALAR LEPTOQUARKS)





LEPTOQUARKS IN THE 5 OF *SU*(5) (*p*-DECAY MEDIATING SCALAR LEPTOQUARKS)

$$\Gamma(p \to e^+ \pi^0) \sim \frac{\alpha^2}{v_5^4 m_\Delta^4} \left| \frac{3}{8} (V_{UD})_{11} (V_{UD})_{13} m_\tau m_b \right|^2$$

$$\Gamma(p \to \mu^+ \pi^0) \sim \frac{\alpha^2}{v_5^4 m_\Delta^4} \left| \frac{3}{8} (V_{UD})_{11} (V_{UD})_{12} m_\tau m_s \right|^2$$

LEPTOQUARKS IN THE 45 OF *SU*(5)*

(*p*-DECAY MEDIATING SCALAR LEPTOQUARKS)









*I.D., Svjetlana Fajfer, Jernej F. Kamenik and Nejc Košnik, *Phys. Rev.* **D** 81 (2010) 055009, *Phys. Rev.* **D** 82 (2010) 094015.

Δ_6 LEPTOQUARK: UP-QUARK SECTOR

CONSTRAINTS ON *Y* ORIGINATE FROM THE UP-QUARK PHENOMENOLOGY!









*I.D., Jure Drobnak, Svjetlana Fajfer, Jernej F. Kamenik and Nejc Košnik, , JHEP (2011) 1111:002.

Δ₆ <u>LEPTOQUARK: THE DOWN-QUARK AND</u> <u>CHARGED LEPTON SECTORS</u>

$$\begin{aligned} |Y^{(1\,\sigma)}| \ \in \ \begin{pmatrix} <1.4\times10^{-6} & <8.7\times10^{-5} & <4.1\times10^{-4} \\ <3.6\times10^{-3}\cup[2.1,2.9] & <3.6\times10^{-3}\cup[2.1,2.9] & <6.2\times10^{-4}\cup[2.2,2.8] \\ <5.6\times10^{-3} & <8.1\times10^{-3} & <9.6\times10^{-3} \\ \end{aligned} \right)$$

III

*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \blacksquare & 0 & 0 \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \blacksquare & 0 \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \blacksquare \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix}$$

*I.D., Jure Drobnak, Svjetlana Fajfer, Jernej F. Kamenik and Nejc Košnik, , JHEP (2011) 1111:002.

IMPLICATIONS FOR THE UP-QUARK SECTOR



CONCLUSIONS

Leptoquark states represent qualitatively new physics.

Proton decay operators induced via scalar leptoquark exchanges exhibit strong model dependence.

That feature opens up possibilities for existence of light leptoquark states with interesting phenomenological consequences without conflict with proton stability.

CONCLUSIONS

Light scalar leptoquarks can, for example address the issue of $(g-2)_{\mu}$ anomaly or $t\bar{t}$ asymmetry.

Scenarios that incorporate light leptoquarks could thus be directly probed at colliders.

THANK YOU!

CONTACT E-MAIL:

ILJA.DORSNER@IJS.SI

FORWARD-BACKWARD ASYMMETRY

SIMULTANEOUS FIT TO THE INTEGRATED CROSS SECTION σ^{exp} AND A_{FB}





SINGLE t PRODUCTION CROSS-SECTION

THE SINGLE *t* PRODUCTION IS SENSITIVE TO THE PRODUCT $|g_6^{12}g_6^{13*}|$.



decay mode	90 % C.L. exp. bound on \mathcal{B}	1σ upper bound in units $(m_{\Delta}/400 \text{ GeV})^4$
$B_d \to e^- e^+$	$8.3 imes 10^{-8}$	$ Y_{eb}Y_{ed}^* ^2 < 4.4$
$B_d \to \mu^- \mu^+$	4.2×10^{-9}	$\left Y_{\mu b}Y_{\mu d}^{*}\right ^{2} < 5.0 imes 10^{-6}$
$B_d \to \tau^- \tau^+$	4.1×10^{-3}	$ Y_{\tau b}Y_{\tau d}^* ^2 < 1.3 \times 10^{-2}$
$B_s \to e^- e^+$	2.8×10^{-7}	$ Y_{eb}Y_{es}^* ^2 < 10.1$
$B_s \to \mu^- \mu^+$	1.2×10^{-8}	$\left Y_{\mu b}Y_{\mu s}^{*}\right ^{2} < 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$
$B_d \to e^{\mp} \mu^{\pm}$	6.4×10^{-8}	$\left Y_{eb}Y_{\mu d}^{*}\right ^{2} + \left Y_{\mu b}Y_{ed}^{*}\right ^{2} < 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$
$B_d \to \mu^{\mp} \tau^{\pm}$	2.2×10^{-5}	$ Y_{\mu b}Y_{\tau d}^* ^2 + Y_{\tau b}Y_{\mu d}^* ^2 < 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$
$B_d \to \tau^{\mp} e^{\pm}$	2.8×10^{-5}	$ Y_{\tau b}Y_{ed}^* ^2 + Y_{eb}Y_{\tau d}^* ^2 < 2.7 \times 10^{-4}$
$B_s \to e^{\mp} \mu^{\pm}$	2.0×10^{-7}	$ Y_{eb}Y_{\mu s}^* ^2 + Y_{\mu b}Y_{es}^* ^2 < 3.4 \times 10^{-4}$

$$\delta a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^{\text{exp}} - a_{\mu}^{\text{SM}} = (2.87 \pm 0.93) \times 10^{-9}$$

$ \epsilon_K $	$2.228(11) \times 10^{-3}$	[23]
Δm_K	$3.483(6) \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{GeV}$	[23]
ϕ_ϵ	$43.5(7)^{\circ}$	[23]
f_K	$0.1560(11){ m GeV}$	[27]
\hat{B}_K	0.725(26)	[27]
κ_ϵ	0.94(2)	[48]
η_1	$1.31(^{+25}_{-22})$	[49]
η_2	0.57(1)	[46, 50]
η_3	0.496(47)	[51]

decay mode	90% C.L. exp. bound on ${\cal B}$	1σ upper bound in units $(m_{\Delta}/400 \text{ GeV})^4$
$B^+ \to \pi^+ \ell^- \ell^+$	4.9×10^{-8}	$ Y_{eb}Y_{ed}^* ^2 + Y_{\mu b}Y_{\mu d}^* ^2 < 3.0 \times 10^{-7}$
$B^+ \to \pi^+ e^\pm \mu^\mp$	1.7×10^{-7}	$\left Y_{eb}Y_{\mu d}^{*}\right ^{2} + \left Y_{\mu b}Y_{ed}^{*}\right ^{2} < 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$
$B^+ \to K^+ e^\pm \mu^\mp$	9.1×10^{-8}	$\left Y_{eb}Y_{\mu s}^{*}\right ^{2} + \left Y_{\mu b}Y_{es}^{*}\right ^{2} < 4.3 \times 10^{-7}$
$B^+ \to K^+ \tau^\pm \mu^\mp$	$7.7 imes 10^{-5}$	$\left Y_{\tau b}Y_{\mu s}^{*}\right ^{2} + \left Y_{\mu b}Y_{\tau s}^{*}\right ^{2} < 5.7 \times 10^{-4}$

decay mode	90 % C.L. exp. bound on \mathcal{B}	1σ upper bound in units $(m_{\Delta}/400 \text{ GeV})^4$
$\tau \to e \pi^0$	$8.0 imes 10^{-8}$	$ Y_{ed}Y_{\tau d}^* ^2 < 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$
$ au o \mu \pi^0$	1.1×10^{-7}	$ Y_{\mu d}Y^*_{ au d} ^2 < 2.7 imes 10^{-4}$
$ au \to eK_S$	3.3×10^{-8}	$ Y_{ed}Y_{\tau s}^* - Y_{es}Y_{\tau d}^* ^2 < 3.2 \times 10^{-5}$
$ au o \mu K_S$	4.0×10^{-8}	$ Y_{\mu d}Y_{\tau s}^* - Y_{\mu s}Y_{\tau d}^* ^2 < 4.0 \times 10^{-5}$
$\tau \to \mu \eta$	6.5×10^{-8}	$ 0.69 Y_{\mu d} Y_{\tau d}^* - Y_{\mu s} Y_{\tau s}^* ^2 < 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$

decay mode	90% C.L. exp. bound on ${\cal B}$	1σ upper bound in units $(m_{\Delta}/400 \text{ GeV})^4$
$\mu \to e \gamma$	2.4×10^{-12}	$\left \sum_{i=d,s,b} Y_{ei} Y_{\mu i}^*\right ^2 < 4.6 \times 10^{-8}$
$\tau \to \mu \gamma$	4.4×10^{-8}	$ \sum_{i=d,s,b} Y_{\mu i} Y_{\tau i}^* ^2 < 4.8 \times 10^{-3}$
$\tau \to e \gamma$	3.3×10^{-8}	$ \sum_{i=d,s,b} Y_{ei} Y_{\tau i}^* ^2 < 3.6 \times 10^{-3}$































