M. Fedele

CONSEJO SUPERIOR DE INVESTIGACIONES CIENTÍFICAS

Fundamental Interactions at Future Colliders (LFC24)

Flavour at Future e⁺e⁻ machines

Trieste, 16-20/09/24

The Status of Flavour Physics

Flavour Physics allows for a fantastic playground to test the Standard Model and probe for New Physics effects. The unitarity of the CKM matrix is a fundamental consistency check

> Wolfenstein parameters determined with ever-increasing precision, but (un)fortunately all measurements are in perfect agreement!

$$
\bar{\rho} = 0.160 \pm 0.009 \sim 6\%
$$

$$
\bar{\eta} = 0.346 \pm 0.009 \sim 3\%
$$

$$
\lambda = 0.2251 \pm 0.0008
$$

 $A = 0.827 \pm 0.010$

The Flavour NP reach

To describe heavy NP effects, it is customary to employ effective Hamiltonians, where the UV degrees of freedom are integrated out and which allow model-independent analyses

Within reach of future colliders!

FCC-ee as a B factory

In current baseline FCC-ee design, runs will yield $6 \times 10^{12} Z$ bosons Enormous potential as a B factory, when compared with Belle II and LHCb

Attribute

All hadron species High boost Enormous production cro Negligible trigger losses Low backgrounds Initial energy constraint

#1: lack of high production x-section compensated by much larger instantaneous luminosity #2: *b* and *c* hadrons momenta not known a priori, but their distributions are very well understood

 $\bullet \quad b \rightarrow q\ell\nu$

 \bullet b \rightarrow svv

 \bullet $h \rightarrow bs, h \rightarrow cu$

 τ Physics

Overview

 $\bullet \quad b \rightarrow q\ell\nu$

\bullet $b \rightarrow s\nu\nu$

\bullet h \rightarrow bs, h \rightarrow cu

\bullet τ Physics

Overview

 \bullet Helicity suppressed, tree-level decay

Main uncertainties come from CKM elements (UTA) and decay constants (Lattice)

$$
\mathcal{B}(B_q^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau)^{\rm SM} = \tau_{B_q^+} \frac{G_F^2 |V_{qb}|^2 f_{B_q^+}^2 m_{B_q^+} m_\tau^2}{8\pi} \left(1 - \frac{m_\tau^2}{m_{B_q^+}^2}\right)^2, \quad q = u, c
$$

 $|V_{cb}|^{U1A} = 42.22(51) \times 10^{-3}$, $f_{B_c} = 427(6)$ MeV $UTA = 42.22(51) \times 10^{-3}, f$ $B_c^{\vphantom{\dagger}}$ $= 427(6)$

 $|V_{ub}|^{U1A} = 3.70(11) \times 10^{-3}$, $f_{B^+} = 190.0(1.3)$ MeV $UTA = 3.70(11) \times 10^{-3}$, $f_{B+} = 190.0(1.3)$ **2111.09849** FLAG **2212.03894** UTfit Collaboration

$$
\Rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau)^{\text{SM}}}{\mathcal{D}(D^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau)^{\text{SM}}} = 2.29(9) \times 10^{10}
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}(B^+\to\tau^+\nu_\tau)^{\rm sw} = 0.87(5) \times 10
$$

According to present Lattice estimates, decay constants errors could be halved in the next decade!

$B \to \tau \nu$: the SM status

B → *τν* @ FCC-ee

Signal yield precision expected in the range $\approx 2-4\,\%$, easily translating in an analogous precision for the Br

 $\tau_c^+ \to \tau^+\nu$ Signal yield precision expected in the range $\approx 2\%$, not easily τ_c translating in an analogous precision for the Br due to poor knowledge of hadronisation fraction $f(B_c^{\pm})$. Strategy:

 $\mathscr{R} =$

$$
\frac{N(B_c^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau)}{N(B_c^+ \to J/\psi \mu^+ \nu_\mu)} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau)}{\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to J/\psi \mu^+ \nu_\mu)}
$$

It is possible to extract the Br modulo CKM multiplying by

$$
\Gamma_{\text{theo}}(B_c^+ \to J/\psi \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/|V_{cb}|^2
$$

$\underline{IV}_{\mu h}$ from $B \to \tau \nu$ at FCC-ee

Potential to play a role in the determination of $|V_{ub}^{\text{excl.}}|$ in the future, contrary to present situation! **2305.02998** Zuo, MF, Helsen, Hill, Iguro, Klute

The direct measurement of $B^+ \to \tau^+\nu$ allows for an excl. determination of $|V_{ub}|$ from this channel

10

B → *τν*: NP implications

 $\mathcal{B}(B_q^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau) = \mathcal{B}(B_q^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau)^{\rm SM} \times \left| 1 \right|$

 $O_{V_{L(R)}} = (\bar{q}_{L(R)} \gamma_{\mu} b_{L(R)})(\bar{\tau}_{L} \gamma_{\mu} \nu_{L})$ *O_{S_{L(R)}*}

Extremely sensitive to scalar BSM extensions (2HDM, LQ), which lift helicity suppression

$$
-\left(C_{V_{R}}^{q}-C_{V_{L}}^{q}\right)+\left(C_{S_{R}}^{q}-C_{S_{L}}^{q}\right)\frac{m_{B_{q}}^{2}}{m_{\tau}(m_{b}+m_{q})}\Bigg|^{2}
$$

$$
O_{S_{L(R)}} = (\bar{q}_{R(L)} b_{L(R)})(\bar{\tau}_R \nu_L)
$$

2305.02998 Zuo, MF, Helsen, Hill, Iguro, Klute

$B \rightarrow \tau \nu$: G2HDM

$\mathscr{L}_{G2HDM} \supset y_{Q}^{q} H^{-}(\bar{b}P_{R}q) - y_{\tau} H^{-}(\bar{\tau}P_{L}\nu_{\tau}) + \text{h.c.} \Rightarrow C_{S_{L}}^{q}$

11

2305.02998 Zuo, MF, Helsen, Hill, Iguro, Klute

 \mathscr{L}_{S_1} $= y_L^{ij} Q_i^C i \tau_2 L_j S_1 + y_R^{ij} u_{Ri}^C$ *l Rj* $S_1 + h.c.$ \Rightarrow $C_{S_1}^q$

12

2305.02998 Zuo, MF, Helsen, Hill, Iguro, Klute

$B \to \tau \nu$: S_1 Leptoquark

qτ

Zuo, MF, Helsen, Hill, Iguro, Klute

 $\mathscr{L}_{U_1} = \hat{z}_L^{ij} \overline{Q}_i \gamma_\mu L_j U_1^\mu + \hat{z}_R^{ij} \overline{d}_{Ri} \gamma_\mu l_{Rj} U_1^\mu + \text{h.c.} \Rightarrow C_{V_L}^q$ ̂ ̂

$B \to \tau \nu$: U_1 Leptoquark

 $(\mu_{LQ}) =$ (Vz_L) *qτ* $\left(z_L^* \right)$ *bτ* $2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{qb} m_{U_1}^2$ $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{S}^q$ *SR* $(\mu_{LQ}) = -\frac{(Vz_L)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ *qτ* $\left(z_R^*\right)$ $2G_F V_{qb} m_{U_1}^2$

 $\bullet \quad b \to q\ell\nu$

 \bullet b \rightarrow svv

\bullet h \rightarrow bs, h \rightarrow cu

τ Physics \bullet

Overview

 14

Loop-level decay dominated by short-distance effects (C_L), negligible long-distance

Bharucha, Straub, Zwicky 15 **1503.05534**

$$
\langle \overline{K}(k)|\overline{s}\gamma^{\mu}b|\overline{B}(p)\rangle = \left[(p+k)^{\mu} - \frac{m_B^2 - m_K^2}{q^2}q^{\mu} \right] f_{+}(q^2) + \frac{m_B^2 - m_K^2}{q^2}q^{\mu}f_{0}(q^2)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\langle K^*(k,\varepsilon)|\overline{c}\gamma_{\mu}b|\overline{B}(p)\rangle = -i\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\varepsilon^*\nu_{p}\alpha_{k}\beta \frac{2V(q^2)}{m_{B} + m_{K^*}}\right] \geq 1.6
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\langle K^*(k,\varepsilon)|\overline{c}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}b|\overline{B}(p)\rangle = \varepsilon_{\mu}^*(m_{B} + m_{K^*})A_{1}(q^2) - (p+k)_{\mu}(\varepsilon^*q) \frac{A_{2}(q^2)}{m_{B} + m_{K^*}}}{-q_{\mu}(\varepsilon^*q)\frac{2m_{K^*}}{q^2}\left[\frac{m_{B} + m_{K^*}}{2m_{K^*}}A_{1}(q^2) - \frac{m_{B} - m_{K^*}}{2m_{K^*}}A_{2}(q^2) - A_{0}(q^2)\right]}
$$

$$
|g(y)| = \left[(p+k)^{\mu} - \frac{m_B^2 - m_K^2}{q^2} q^{\mu} \right] f_+(q^2) + \frac{m_B^2 - m_K^2}{q^2} q^{\mu} f_0(q^2)
$$

$$
\langle K^*(k, \varepsilon) | \bar{c}\gamma_{\mu} b | \bar{B}(p) \rangle = -i \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{* \nu} p^{\alpha} k^{\beta} \frac{2V(q^2)}{m_B + m_{K^*}} \right]_{\varepsilon = 1.0}
$$

$$
|\bar{c}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 b | \bar{B}(p) \rangle = \varepsilon_{\mu}^*(m_B + m_{K^*}) A_1(q^2) - (p+k)_{\mu} (\varepsilon^* q) \frac{A_2(q^2)}{m_B + m_{K^*}} \right]_{0.0}
$$

$$
-q_{\mu} (\varepsilon^* q) \frac{2m_{K^*}}{q^2} \left[\frac{m_B + m_{K^*}}{2m_{K^*}} A_1(q^2) - \frac{m_B - m_{K^*}}{2m_{K^*}} A_2(q^2) - A_0(q^2) \right]
$$

$$
{}^{b}b|\bar{B}(p)\rangle = \left[(p+k)^{\mu} - \frac{m_{B}^{2} - m_{K}^{2}}{q^{2}} q^{\mu} \right] f_{+}(q^{2}) + \frac{m_{B}^{2} - m_{K}^{2}}{q^{2}} q^{\mu} f_{0}(q^{2})
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\langle K^{*}(k,\varepsilon) | \bar{c}\gamma_{\mu}b | \bar{B}(p)\rangle = -i\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{* \nu} p^{\alpha} k^{\beta} \frac{2V(q^{2})}{m_{B} + m_{K^{*}}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\langle K^{*}(k,\varepsilon) | \bar{c}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}b | \bar{B}(p)\rangle = \varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}(m_{B} + m_{K^{*}})A_{1}(q^{2}) - (p+k)_{\mu}(\varepsilon^{*}q) \frac{A_{2}(q^{2})}{m_{B} + m_{K^{*}}}
$$
\n
$$
-q_{\mu}(\varepsilon^{*}q) \frac{2m_{K^{*}}}{q^{2}} \left[\frac{m_{B} + m_{K^{*}}}{2m_{K^{*}}} A_{1}(q^{2}) - \frac{m_{B} - m_{K^{*}}}{2m_{K^{*}}} A_{2}(q^{2}) - A_{0}(q^{2}) \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{q^{2}} \left[\frac{m_{B} + m_{K^{*}}}{2m_{K^{*}}} A_{1}(q^{2}) - \frac{m_{B} - m_{K^{*}}}{2m_{K^{*}}} A_{2}(q^{2}) - A_{0}(q^{2}) \right]
$$

2301.06990 Bečirević, Piazza, Sumensari

$B \to K^{(*)} \nu \nu$: the SM status

Main uncertainties come from CKM elements $|\lambda_t| = |V_{tb}V_{ts}^*|$ (UTA) and Form Factors (Lattice)

 $\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{B}}{\mathrm{d}q^2}(B\to K\nu\bar{\nu})\right| = \mathcal{N}_K(q^2) \left|C_L^{\mathrm{SM}}\right|^2 \left|\lambda_t\right|^2 \left[f_+(q^2)\right]^2$

$$
\mathcal{O}_L^{\nu_i \nu_j} = \frac{e^2}{(4\pi)^2} (\bar{s}_L \gamma_\mu b_L) (\bar{\nu}_i \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_j)
$$

$$
\frac{{\cal B}(B^+ \to K^+ \nu \bar \nu) \times 10^6 \left|\sigma_{{\cal B}_{K^+}} / {\cal B}_{K^+}\right| \left|{\cal B}(B^0 \to K_S \nu \bar \nu) \times 10^6 \right|\sigma_{{\cal B}_{K_S}} / {\cal B}_{K_S}}{(5.06 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.28) \left|\right. 0.06 \left|\right| \left.\left(2.05 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.12\right) \right|\right| \left.\left.\right. 0.07
$$

$$
\frac{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+} \nu \bar{\nu}) \times 10^6 \left| \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_{K^{*+}}} / \mathcal{B}_{K^{*+}} \right| \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \nu \bar{\nu}) \times 10^6 \left| \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_{K^{*0}}} / \mathcal{B}_{K^{*0}} \right|}{(10.86 \pm 1.30 \pm 0.59) \left| 0.12 \right| \left| (9.05 \pm 1.25 \pm 0.55) \right|} \qquad 0.15
$$

2301.06990 Bečirević, Piazza, Sumensari

$B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \nu \nu$: the SM status

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathcal{B}}{\mathrm{d} q^2}(B \to K^* \nu \bar{\nu}) = \mathcal{N}_{K^*}(q^2) |C_L^{\rm SM}|^2 |\lambda_t|^2 \mathcal{F}(
$$

$$
{\cal O}^{\nu_i\nu_j}_R=\frac{e^2}{(4\pi)^2}(\bar{s}_R\gamma_\mu b_R)(\bar{\nu}_i\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)\nu
$$

Sensitive to BSM effect on both left-handed and right-handed operator

Possible interpretation also in terms of weakly interacting light NP (axions)

$B \to K^{(*)} \nu \nu$: the current NP status

2309.11353 Amhis, Kenzie, Reboud, Wiederhold

Sensitivity study performed on B^0 decays in Hadron + neutrinos

$B^0 \to H^0 \nu \nu$ @ FCC-ee

$|\lambda_t|$ from $B^0 \to H^0 \nu \nu$ @ FCC-ee *νν*

$$
\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b \to \Lambda \nu \bar{\nu})
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(B \to K_S \nu \bar{\nu})
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \phi \nu \bar{\nu})
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(B \to K^* \nu \bar{\nu})
$$
\n
$$
\text{HFLAG } 2021
$$
\n0.037\n0.0

HFLAG value based on unitarity and $|V_{cb}| = (40.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$ from $B \to D\ell\nu$ **2309.11353** Amhis, Kenzie, Reboud, Wiederhold

Several independent measurements for $|\lambda_t|$ form the different hadronic channels

$B^0 \rightarrow H^0 \nu \nu$: the future NP status

Different channels constrain differently (but complementarily) the NP WCs

2309.11353 Amhis, Kenzie, Reboud, Wiederhold

 $\bullet \quad b \to q\ell\nu$

\bullet b \rightarrow svv

\bullet $h \rightarrow bs, h \rightarrow cu$

τ Physics \bullet

Overview

$h/Z \rightarrow q\bar{q}'$ decays: the SM status

 \bullet Loop-level decay suppressed by GIM mechanism, requiring two mass insertions for the higgs

Main uncertainties come from CKM elements (UTA) and higher order QCD corrections

$$
N_C \frac{|\overline{M}(h/Z \to q\overline{q}')|^2}{16\pi m_{h/Z}}
$$

2306.17520 Kamenik, Korajac, Szewc, Tammaro, Zupan

$h/Z \rightarrow q\bar{q}'$ decays @ FCC-ee

mass basis
$$
\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_\alpha & s_\alpha \\ -s_\alpha & c_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ H \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
h \rightarrow q\bar{q}'
$$
 decays: 2HD

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\rm 2HDM} \supset -\frac{\sqrt{2} m_i}{v} \delta_{ij} \bar{q}_L^i H_1 d_R^j - \sqrt{2} \, Y^d_{ij} \, .
$$

$$
H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} G^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v + h_1 + iG^0) \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} H^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (h_2 + iA) \end{pmatrix}
$$

After integrating out heavy scalars, contributions to meson mixing through

$$
C_2 = -\frac{\left(Y_{bs}^{d*}\right)^2}{2} \left(\frac{s_{\alpha}^2}{m_h^2} + \frac{c_{\alpha}^2}{m_H^2} - \frac{1}{m_A^2}\right),
$$

\n
$$
C_2' = -\frac{\left(Y_{sb}^d\right)^2}{2} \left(\frac{s_{\alpha}^2}{m_h^2} + \frac{c_{\alpha}^2}{m_H^2} - \frac{1}{m_A^2}\right),
$$

\n
$$
C_4 = -\left(Y_{bs}^{d*}Y_{sb}^d\right) \left(\frac{s_{\alpha}^2}{m_h^2} + \frac{c_{\alpha}^2}{m_H^2} + \frac{1}{m_A^2}\right).
$$

2306.17520 Kamenik, Korajac, Szewc, Tammaro, Zupan

M implications @ FCC-ee

 $\left\langle \bar{q}_{L}^{i}H_{2}d_{R}^{j}-\frac{\sqrt{2}m_{i}}{v}\delta_{ij}\bar{q}_{L}^{\prime i}\tilde{H}_{1}u_{R}^{j}-\sqrt{2}\,Y_{ij}^{u}\,\bar{q}_{L}^{\prime i}\tilde{H}_{2}u_{R}^{j}\right\vert ,$

$h \rightarrow q\bar{q}'$ decays: 2HDM implications @ FCC-ee

1st limit: H and A contributions numerically

2306.17520 Kamenik, Korajac, Szewc, Tammaro, Zupan

$$
\text{y small}, \ \ y_{bs,sb} = Y^d_{bs,sb} s_\alpha, \qquad y_{cu,uc} = Y^u_{cu,uc} s_\alpha
$$

$h \rightarrow q\bar{q}'$ decays: 2HDM implications @ FCC-ee

2nd limit: H and A contributions numerically relevant, $m_H = m_A = 1$ TeV

2306.17520

Kamenik, Korajac, Szewc, Tammaro, Zupan

 $\bullet \quad b \to q\ell\nu$

\bullet b \rightarrow svv

\bullet h \rightarrow bs, h \rightarrow cu

τ Physics

Overview

B → *K***ττ* decays: the SM status

Loop-level decays dominated by short-distance effects ($C_{9,10}$), important long-distance

Additional uncertainties coming from non-perturbative charming penguins

 $Br(B \rightarrow$

Present limit from

$$
K^* \tau \tau) = O(10^{-7})
$$

n BaBar, $O(10^{-3} - 10^{-4})$

B → *K***ττ* decays @ FCC-ee

Undergoing feasibility study, based on hadronic *τ* reconstructions

LFU in *τ* decays: the SM status

τ lifetime and lepton universality, with main uncertainties coming from mass measurements

$$
\left(\frac{g_{\mu}}{g_{e}}\right)^{2} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(\tau \to \mu \bar{\nu} \nu)}{\mathcal{B}(\tau \to e \bar{\nu} \nu)} \cdot \frac{f_{\tau e}}{f_{\tau \mu}}
$$

$$
\left(\frac{g_{\tau}}{g_{\ell}}\right)^2 = \frac{\mathcal{B}(\tau \to \ell \bar{\nu} \nu)}{\mathcal{B}(\mu \to \ell \bar{\nu} \nu)} \cdot \frac{\tau_{\mu} m_{\mu}^5}{\tau_{\tau} m_{\tau}^5}
$$

(up to small and known radiative, EW and PS corrections)

Current data supports lepton universality $\delta(g_\tau/g_e)\simeq \delta(g_\tau/g_\mu)=\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$

LFU in t decays @ FCC-ee

CLFV2023 Talk by A. Lusiani

Conclusions

• FCC-ee is far away in the future, but there is already a lot to be done in terms of sensitivity

Many different NP scenarios (more or less inspired by current anomalous data) to be

tested, with strongly increased potential for discovery

studies: some channels already explored, many still to be addressed

Data collected at FCC-ee will have huge potential to enrich the determinations of CKM

parameters, potentially including channels currently not relevant