

# Crystal calorimetry for cLFV

**Ivano Sarra -** Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati On behalf of the Mu2e Calorimeter group

WIFAI 2024 – Bologna (Italy) November 14, 2024

# The Mu2e Experiment at Fermilab

Mu2e searches for **Charged Lepton Flavor Violation (CLFV)** via the coherent conversion:





 $\mu^- + AI \rightarrow e^- + AI$ 

## Mu2e goal: $5\sigma$ discovery or x10<sup>4</sup> limit improvement

# **Calorimeter Requirements**

# For the $\mu$ $\rightarrow$ e conversion search, the calorimeter adds redundancy and complementary qualities concerning the high-precision tracking system



# Technological Choice

- Fast signal for Pileup and Timing:
  - т of emission < 40 ns
  - Fast Digitization (WD) to disentangle signals in pileup
- Crystals with high Light Yield for timing/energy:
   resolution → LY(photosensors) > 20 pe/MeV
- 2 photo-sensors/preamps/crystal for redundancy:
   reduce MTTF requirement → 1 million hours/SIPM
- Radiation Hardness (5 years of running with a safety factor 3):
  - Crystals should survive a TID of 90 krad and a fluence of 3x10<sup>12</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup>
  - Photo-sensors should survive 45 krad and a fluence of 1.2x10<sup>12</sup>
     n\_1MeV/cm<sup>2</sup>

The 1 T magnetic field + the small space suggests  $\rightarrow$  SiPMs







## Undoped Csl + UV-extended SiPMs

- $\rightarrow$  It is radiation hard
- → It has a fast emission time
- → Emits at 310 nm

- → 30 % PDE @ 310 nm
- → New silicon resin window
- → TSV readout, Gain = 10<sup>6</sup>

# Mu2e e.m. Calorimeter



2 disks each consisting of - 674 pure Csl crystals - 1248 SiPMs+FEE boards



Hole for laser calibration







6 MeV Calibration External source ring

Inner ring

Back plane with SiPM housing and cooling lines

5

# Calorimeter Disks status (91% complete)



- Crystals and SiPMs+FEE readout units and electronics crates installed
- A quick leak test of the cooling system done
- Cable routing from FFE to crates completed
- All readout units tested with laser pulses

- Next Activities in 2024/2025:
- Now: installing all electronics in crates
- Nov: run with cosmics (DAQ test)
- Dic: complete laser system
- Feb: move Disk 1 to Mu2e Hall

# First calorimeter VST @ SiDet

- First data from six boards:
  - Disk 1, phi=1
  - Board 1 of Crates 0/1/2
  - Both SiPMs
- Few hours of running
- Nominal V<sub>op</sub> setting loaded through configuration files
- Most of the data acquired with average FEE calibration
- $\circ$  Three V<sub>bias</sub> configurations
- Cosmics, laser and noise runs



7

# First boards insertion and connection



# **First Results**

- PyROOT script working on reconstructed ntuples starting from SDF code
- Fitting hits above a threshold with a linear function
- Menus to select events, their topology and to display different quantities





14 November 2024

9

# A step in the future...

# Mu2e $\rightarrow$ Mu2e-II An order of magnitude improvement in the search sensitivity

# Calorimeter Requirements (1/3)

Maintain the Mu2e-I requirement:

- We aim to same energy ( < 10%) and time (< 500 ps) resolutions as in Mu2e.
- Aiming to provide standalone trigger, track seeding and PID as before.
- Work in vacuum @ 10<sup>-4</sup> Torr, keep a low level of outgassing.

## Face up to the higher rate, neutron flux and dose on Disks:



- The pileup with respect to Conversion Electron seems to scale linearly with beam intensity, so to keep the same level we have in Mu2e (15%) with 150 ns we need to rescale the new signals lenght:
- 1. The length for Mu2e-II should be 75 ns (50 ns for 1.5 times Mu2e-II)

Pileup resolution in the waveform fit is still under study and can loose this requirement

# Calorimeter Requirements (2/3)

Under the **assumption** that the TID from the beam flash in the calorimeter from 800 MeV protons scales as the number of stopped muons wrt Mu2e 8 GeV beam, a x10 is expected: ∳ [krad/year] [krad/year] FLASH FLASH 10<sup>2</sup> DEUTERO  $10^{2}$ OOT PHOTO PHOTON 2 NEUTRON 10 PROTON 10 averaged over averaged over Normalized 0.8 0. 10 0.4 Dose Dose 10 10-Csl SIC2013 50×50×300 mm<sup>3</sup> 0.2 Reduced to 50×50×200 mm<sup>3</sup> beyond 1 Mrad 10 450 500 550 600 650 Csl Kharkov 1 29×29×230 mm<sup>3</sup> 500 650 450 600 R [mm] R [mm] 10<sup>4</sup> 10 10 10 10 10 Integrated Dose (rad) TID reg = simulated TID x3 Safety Factor, x3 yrs, x10 Mu2-II - R < 47 cm -> 600 krad F. Yang, L. Zhang and R. -Y. Zhu, "Gamma-Ray Induced Radiation Damage Up to 340 Mrad in Various Scintillation - R < 55 cm -> 160 krad Crystals," in IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 612-619, April 2016, do - R > 52 cm -> 50 krad - R > 47 cm -> 180 krad 10.1109/TNS.2015.2505721 Front disk: Dose / year [kRad] Mu2e QA requirement for TID was a LO after 100 krad > 60% E 600-The requirements on light collection was 30 p.e./MeV 400 Dedicated simulation of the new beam flash and upgraded detectors 200 • o materials are required to determine exact numbers, but so far wrt TID: -200-Disk 1 crystals should survive the new radiation level (??) 400-Disk 0 outer crystals should be in the same situation of disk 1 inner (??) -600 Disk 0 inner crystals must be changed -> BaF2, LYSO (??) -200 200 600 x (mm 400

# Calorimeter Requirements (3/3)



# Mu2e-II ECAL:

- BaF2 Yttrium doped crystals
- Solar Blind delta-doped FBK SiPM

 $\rightarrow$  efforts to reduce the slow component working on

Crystals

Photo-sensors

14 November 2024

# Crystals (1/3)

Fast/Ultrafast for HEP TOF & X-ray Imaging									A COLOR				
S AY 3					arXi	v: 2203.	06788						
	BaF <sub>2</sub>	BaF <sub>2</sub> :Y	Lu <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> :Yb	YAP:Yb	YAG:Yb	ZnO:Ga	β-Ga₂O₃	LYSO:Ce	LuAG:Ce	YAP:Ce	GAGG:Ce	LuYAP:Ce	YSO:Ce
Density (g/cm³)	4.89	4.89	9.42	5.35	4.56	5.67	5.94	7.4	6.76	5.35	6.5	7.2 <sup>f</sup>	4.44
Melting points (°C)	1280	1280	2490	1870	1940	1975	1725	2050	2060	1870	1850	1930	2070
X <sub>0</sub> (cm)	2.03	2.03	0.81	2.59	3.53	2.51	2.51	1.14	1.45	2.59	1.63	1.37	3.10
R <sub>M</sub> (cm)	3.1	3.1	1.72	2.45	2.76	2.28	2.20	2.07	2.15	2.45	2.20	2.01	2.93
λ <sub>ι</sub> (cm)	30.7	30.7	18.1	23.1	25.2	22.2	20.9	20.9	20.6	23.1	21.5	19.5	27.8
Z <sub>eff</sub>	51.0	51.0	67.3	32.8	29.3	27.7	27.8	63.7	58.7	32.8	50.6	57.1	32.8
dE/dX (MeV/cm)	6.52	6.52	11.6	7.91	7.01	8.34	8.82	9.55	9.22	7.91	8.96	9.82	6.57
λ <sub>peak</sub> <sup>a</sup> (nm)	300 220	300 220	370	350	350	380	380	420	520	370	540	385	420
Refractive Index <sup>b</sup>	1.50	1.50	2.0	1.96	1.87	2.1	1.97	1.82	1.84	1.96	1.92	1.94	1.78
Normalized Light Yield <sup>a,c</sup>	42 4.8	1.7 4.8	0.95	0.19 <sup>d</sup>	0.36 <sup>d</sup>	2.6 <sup>d</sup> 4.0 <sup>d</sup>	6.5 0.5	100	35° 48°	9 32	190	16 15	80
Total Light yield (ph/MeV)	13,000	2,000	280	57ª	110ª	<b>2,000</b> <sup>d</sup>	2,100	30,000	25,000°	12,000	58,000	10,000	24,000
Decay time <sup>a</sup> (ns)	600 0.5	600 0.5	1.1 <sup>d</sup>	1.1 <sup>d</sup>	1.8 <sup>d</sup>	3.0 <sup>d</sup> 1.0 <sup>d</sup>	110 5.3	40	820 50	191 25	570 130	1485 36	75
LY in 1 <sup>st</sup> ns (photons/MeV)	1200	1200	170	<b>34</b> <sup>d</sup>	46 <sup>d</sup>	980 <sup>d</sup>	43	740	240	391	400	125	318
LY in 1 <sup>st</sup> ns /Total LY (%)	9.0	64	60	60	43	49	2.0	2.5	1.2	3.3	0.7	1.4	1.3
40 keV Att. Leng. (1/e, mm)	0.106	0.106	0.127	0.314	0.439	0.407	0.394	0.185	0.251	0.314	0.319	0.214	0.334
<sup>a</sup> top/bottom row: slow	<sup>a</sup> top/bottom row: slow/fast component; <sup>b</sup> at the emission peak; <sup>c</sup> normalized to LYSO:Ce; <sup>d</sup> excited by Alpha particles; <sup>e</sup> 0.3 Mg at% co-doping; <sup>f</sup> Lu <sub>0.7</sub> Y <sub>0.3</sub> AlO <sub>3</sub> :Ce.												

# Crystals (2/3)



# Crystals (3/3)

Scaling to X <sub>0</sub> , order of crystal cost: PWO, BGO, CsI, BSO, BaF <sub>2</sub> :Y, LYSO								
ltem	Size	1 m <sup>3</sup>	10 m <sup>3</sup>	100 m <sup>3</sup>	Scaled to X <sub>0</sub>			
BGO	22.3×22.3×280 mm	\$8/cc	\$7/cc	\$6/cc	1.23			
BaF <sub>2</sub> :Y	31.0×31.0×507.5 cm	\$12/cc	\$11/cc	\$10/cc	2.28			
LYSO:Ce	20.7x20.7x285 mm	\$36/cc	\$34/cc	\$32/cc	1.28			
PWO	20x20x223 mm	\$9/cc	\$8/cc	\$7.5/cc	1.00			
BSO	22x22x274 mm	\$8.5/cc	\$7.5/cc	\$7.0/cc	1.29			
Csl	35.7x35.7x465 mm	\$4.6/cc	\$4.3/cc	\$4.0/cc	2.09			

## **Photo-detectors**

□ A large area SiPM, with delta-doping (a super-lattice) for improved speed and QE, and an integrated ALD-applied interference filter
 → Caltech and JPL are working with FBK to incorporate a 220nm filter on a large area SiPM and to also incorporate a superlattice.



# FBK SiPM with three-layer filter



# Next steps in the program

Optimization of the MBE superlattice layer parameters
 More complex filters will be incorporated (5 layers filter)



3) Backside illuminated SiPM with optimized superlattice

Awaits funding ~ 400k\$

# Thoughts on Mu2e-II calo requirements - 1

#### Requirements

Crystals:

- Hardness to dose
- Moderate light yield for energy and timing resolution

Photosensors:

- Fast
- Good QE
- High radiation hardness

### Are these two points compatible?

## Short summary from Mu2e experience and Tech choices:

- pure Csl LY 4%(Nal),
- Ham UV-extended SiPMs 30% PDE(@310 nm, 50 μm pixel size)
  - > LY~30 p.e./MeV (10% LY drop at 100 krad)
  - Cooling needs to reduce noise, -10 °C on SiPMs
  - >  $10^{12}$  neutrons/cm<sup>2</sup> total  $\rightarrow \sim 1$  MeV noise level/crystal

# Thoughts on Mu2e-II calo requirements - 2

#### Requirements

Crystals:

- Hardness to dose
- Moderate light yield for energy and timing resolution

Photosensors:

- Fast
- Good QE
- High radiation hardness

Are these two points compatible?

 $\Box$  In Mu2e-2, we expect x10 increase in n-flux up to 10<sup>13</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup> total

→ SiPM 50um pixel @ -30/-40 °C ???

→ We must demonstrate that Ham SiPMs with >15 μm pixel size can work at 10<sup>13</sup> neutrons/cm<sup>2</sup>

→ We have to test as well FBK SiPMs (from Mu2e R&D: the FBK SiPMs radiation hardness is lower than Hamamatsu SiPMs)

# Short LYso crystal calorimetER - SLYER -

#### **ADVANTAGES**

- 8 cm length LYSO are enough to achieved O(5%) energy resolution
- Not problem of ENE and good LRU
- Great timing resolution still after 10<sup>13</sup> neutrons/cm<sup>2</sup>
- SiPMs already exist NOT R&D needed
- High LY  $\rightarrow$  SiPM @ low over voltage  $\rightarrow$  enhanced resistance  $\rightarrow$  lower power dissipation
- Not Front-End Amplifier is needed  $\rightarrow$  not problems with irradiation level

#### DISADVANTAGES

 LYSO ~30\$/cc vs ~10\$/cc BaF2 (17\$/cc vs 10\$/cc for equal X0) IS the pile-up rate acceptable with LYSO? We need simulation ...

SLYER proposal: Total cost of the LYSO crystals for the 2 disks = 3.8M\$ (Mu2e: 20 cm Csl + FEE = 1.7M\$ + 0.2M\$) (14 cm BaF2 + FEE = 2.2M\$ + 0.2M\$)

• Emission time of 40 ns of LYSO vs <1 ns of BaF2

# SiPMs radiation hardness

V. [V]	$I(V_{1}+4V)$ [mA]	I(V <sub>b</sub> +6V) [mA]	I(V <sub>b</sub> +8V) [mA]
75 20 ± 0.01	$12.56 \pm 0.01$	20.45 ± 0.01	46 76 ± 0.01
75.29 ± 0.01	14 S0 ± 0.01	$30.45 \pm 0.01$ 22.12 $\pm 0.01$	$46.77 \pm 0.01$
$76.27 \pm 0.01$	$17.38 \pm 0.01$	$32.12 \pm 0.01$ $33.93 \pm 0.01$	$47.47 \pm 0.01$
	10		
	$10 \mu \text{m}$		
37 [37]	T/37 1 437) [ A]	1/37 : 037) [ A]	7/37 (037) [
	$V_{br}$ [V] $75.29 \pm 0.01$ $75.81 \pm 0.01$ $76.27 \pm 0.01$	$V_{br}$ [V]         I( $V_{br}$ +4V) [mA]           75.29 ± 0.01         12.56 ± 0.01           75.81 ± 0.01         14.89 ± 0.01           76.27 ± 0.01         17.38 ± 0.01 <b>10</b> $\mu$ m	$\frac{\mathbf{V_{br}} \left[\mathbf{V}\right]}{75.29 \pm 0.01} \frac{\mathbf{I} \left(\mathbf{V_{br}} + 4\mathbf{V}\right) \left[\mathbf{mA}\right]}{12.56 \pm 0.01} \frac{\mathbf{I} \left(\mathbf{V_{br}} + 6\mathbf{V}\right) \left[\mathbf{mA}\right]}{30.45 \pm 0.01} \frac{30.45 \pm 0.01}{32.12 \pm 0.01} \frac{32.12 \pm 0.01}{33.93 \pm 0.01} \frac{32.12 \pm 0.01}{33.93 \pm 0.01} \frac{10 \ \mu m}{10 \ \mu m}$

	.06.[.]	e( . Di. i ) framel	e(. bt : e . ) [enerel	a( , bt ; a , ) ferred
$-10 \pm 1$	$76.76 \pm 0.01$	$1.84 \pm 0.01$	$6.82 \pm 0.01$	$29.91 \pm 0.01$
$-5 \pm 1$	$77.23 \pm 0.01$	$2.53 \pm 0.01$	$9.66 \pm 0.01$	$37.51 \pm 0.01$
$0 \pm 1$	$77.49 \pm 0.01$	$2.99 \pm 0.01$	$11.59 \pm 0.01$	$38.48 \pm 0.01$

**Neutrons irradiation:** 14 MeV neutrons with a total fluence of  $10^{14}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> for 80 hours on a series of two SiPMs (10 and 15  $\mu$ m)

#### At 10<sup>13</sup> n<sub>1MeV</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup>:

#### 10 μm pixel size OK, with Mu2e calorimeter cooling system

- 15  $\mu$ m pixel size OK, probably with the Mu2e calorimeter cooling system  $\rightarrow$  specific tests should be done

## First tests of LYSO crystals with SiPM readout





#### LYSO Crystals:

- Dimensions: 3x3x8 cm<sup>3</sup>
- Wrapped with ESR (Enhanced Specular Reflector)
- No optical grease applied
- Mu2e-II SIPMs:
  - Configuration: Two new Mu2e SIPMs
  - Each SIPM comprises 16 SiPMs (3x3 mm<sup>2</sup>) with a 10 µm pixel size
  - Equivalent to 4 SiPMs (6x6 mm<sup>2</sup>) per channel
  - Area SiPM/Cry → 16% per SiPM
- Readout and Acquisition:
  - Individual readout of each SiPM
  - Acquired with Flash ADC CAEN V1742 at 2.5 Gs/s
- Future Studies:
  - Hamamatsu now offers 6x6 mm<sup>2</sup> SiPMs with a 10 µm pixel size
  - Future studies will utilize these new SiPMs directly

# **CR and Test Beam @ BTF**

#### scale factor=0.0117 MeV/pC

#### Npe/MeV ~ 300 p.e.



Mean Cosmic Ray charge deposition in LYSO readout channels

 TB carried out at LNF BTF using e<sup>-</sup> beam with multiplicity 1

#### E = 100, 80, 60 MeV

· Beam impacted on the module's center



# **Timing Resolution**

- Waveform Summation:
  - Difficulty in summing waveforms corresponding to each SiPM
- Upcoming Test:
  - Next test will be conducted directly in the Mu2e-like configuration
  - Configuration: Parallel of two series per channel



Time resolution for Mu2e2 LYSO

# Summary

Even if the requirement about the energy [ $\sigma_E/E$  of O(10 %)] and time [ $\sigma(t) < 500 \text{ ps}$ ] resolution remain the same, a big part of the detector and all the electronics **can't survive** to the new radiation environment

- To run Mu2e-II a new technological solution (crystal + photosensor) for the calorimeter is needed
  - TID of about 600 krad and 1-MeV-eq n fluence of  $5x10^{12}$
  - Signals with an approximative length of 75 ns
- Solar Blind SIPMs R&D should be concluded and tested the performances
- After neutron irradiation
- with BaF2:Y in a dedicated test beam

LYSO + 10 um pixels SiPMs is a reasonable possibility  $\rightarrow$  but dedicated simulations are needed

# SPARES

14 November 2024

# **BaF2 Neutron Irradiation**

For the first session of neutron irradiation, only four samples  $(1x1x1 \text{ cm}^3)$  produced by SICCAS were selected: one pure BaF<sub>2</sub> crystal and three samples doped with yttrium in the proportion of 1 at.%Y, 3at.%Y and 5at.%Y

- All four samples were placed together about 5 m from the water moderator
- During the 14-day reactor cycle about 2.3×10<sup>14</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup> (E>1MeV) passed through the samples
  - All samples were measured before and after irradiation
  - Light outputs were measured using <sup>22</sup>Na source
  - Signals were digitized by CAEN NDT5751
  - The total signal from the  $BaF_2$  samples was measured for 2 µs, the fast component during the first 20 ns, and the slow component after 20 ns

# Light yield loss after irradiation



# What we are doing for Muon Collider

# Crilin: Fast and Rad. Hard. Semi-homogeneous calorimeter

https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-0221/17/09/P09033 https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-0221/17/05/T05015

What can be used/proposed also to Mu2e-II?

- Photosensors
- Electronics

Crucial point is the radiation hardness. We tested SiPM of 10 and 15 um



## Crilin Picture/CAD ???



# SiPMs Characterisation done: neutrons-1

**Neutrons irradiation:** 14 MeV neutrons with a total fluence of  $10^{14}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> for 80 hours on a series of two SiPMs (10 and 15  $\mu$ m)



# SiPMs Characterisation done: neutrons -2

Extrapolated from I-V curves at 3 different temperatures:

- Currents at different operational voltages.
- Breakdown voltages;



For the expected radiation level, **the best SiPMs choice is the 10**  $\mu$ **m one** for its minor dark current contribution.

# SiPMs Characterisation done: neutrons-3

#### 15 μm

Temperature [°C]	$V_{\rm br}$ [V]	$I(V_{br}+4V)$ [mA]	$I(V_{br}+6V)$ [mA]	$I(V_{br}+8V)$ [mA]
$-10 \pm 1$	$75.29 \pm 0.01$	$12.56\pm0.01$	$30.45\pm0.01$	$46.76\pm0.01$
$-5\pm1$	$75.81\pm0.01$	$14.89\pm0.01$	$32.12\pm0.01$	$46.77\pm0.01$
$0\pm 1$	$76.27\pm0.01$	$17.38\pm0.01$	$33.93\pm0.01$	$47.47\pm0.01$

#### **10** μm

Temperature [°C]	$V_{\rm br}$ [V]	$I(V_{br}+4V)$ [mA]	$I(V_{br}+6V)$ [mA]	$I(V_{br}+8V)$ [mA]
$-10\pm1$	$76.76 \pm 0.01$	$1.84\pm0.01$	$6.82\pm0.01$	$29.91 \pm 0.01$
$-5\pm1$	$77.23 \pm 0.01$	$2.53\pm0.01$	$9.66\pm0.01$	$37.51\pm0.01$
$0\pm 1$	$77.49 \pm 0.01$	$2.99\pm0.01$	$11.59\pm0.01$	$38.48 \pm 0.01$

## At $10^{13} n_{1MeV}/cm^2$ :

- 10  $\mu$ m pixel size OK, with current Mu2e calorimeter cooling system
- 15 μm pixel size probably OK with the current Mu2e calorimeter cooling system → few specific tests should be still carried out

# Electronics - developments

SiPMs are connected via 50-ohm micro-coaxial transmission lines to a microprocessor-controlled Mezzanine Board which provides signal amplification and shaping, along with all slow control

 ch → 2 micro-coax cables



## Huge advantages here: reduceTID requirement to FEE – easier cabling

# **Energy response for electron beam**



80

400

20

40

60

20.63 / 22

0.3048 ± 0.0248 12.97 ± 0.18 83.86 ± 0.29

1.91e+04 ± 2.09e+02

160

180 200 E<sub>dep</sub> [MeV]

Ν

100 120 140

0.5436

# **Future Prototype: Simulation**

- 25 Crystals with dimension 34x34x80 mm<sup>3</sup>
- 300 p.e./MeV as measured
- 100 keV ENE with threshold apply @  $3\sigma$
- 100 MeV e<sup>-</sup> at 45 degrees





4.6% @ 100 MeV

# Short LYSO crystal calorimetER - SLYER -

• The Mu2e-II calo alternative design we propose is a compromise between the current Mu2e calo and Crilin.

## • What we can re-use of the Mu2e calo:

- 1) The Calibration Source
- 2) The Laser System
- 3) The Cooling distribution and cooling station
- 4) All the support Mechanics
- 5) The digitizers??

## • What we need to procure and do:

1) pure CsI 34x34x200 mm<sup>3</sup>  $\rightarrow$  LYSO 34x34x80 mm<sup>3</sup>

- 2) 50 um SiPMs  $\rightarrow$  10 um SiPMs
- 3) FEEs + cabling  $\rightarrow$  only 2 cables per SiPM

# **SLYER - Advantages**

- 8 cm length LYSO crystals are enough to achieve O(5%) energy resolution
- Not problem of Equivalent Noise Level nor probably RIN
- Reasonably small LRU
- Great timing resolution still after 10<sup>13</sup> neutrons/cm<sup>2</sup>
- SiPMs already exist other **R&D not needed**
- High LY → SiPM @ low over voltage → enhanced resistance → lower power dissipation
- Not Front End Amplifier needed  $\rightarrow$  no problems with TID

# SLYER – Disadvantages

## • Buy expensive crystals

budgetary estimate:

- LYSO ~30\$/cc vs ~10\$/cc BaF2
- (17\$/cc vs 10\$/cc for equivalent X0, X0-Lyso (1cm), X0-BaF2 (2cm)

## • Total cost of SLYER full proposal

- Slyer LYSO crystals for 2 disks = 3.8M\$
- Mu2e: (20 cm CsI + FEE = 1.7M\$ + 0.2M\$)
- Mu2e-2-baseline: (14 cm BaF2 + FEE = 2.2M\$ + 0.2M\$)
- Relaxed price for SiPMs:
  - 600 k\$, no R&D needs
  - wrt 500 k\$ R&D for SolarBlind SiPMs
- **SLYER reduced proposal:** 1 disk only, specific radial regions
- Emission time of 40 ns of LYSO w.r.t. 0.9 ns of BaF2