

Lepton Flavour Violation at LHCb

WIFAI 2024 - Workshop Italiano sulla Fisica ad Alta intensità - Bologna

Simone Capelli – Università Milano-Bicocca & INFN – 14 Nov 2024

Motivations

- **Lepton Flavour** is an *accidental simmetry* in the SM
- ν *oscillations* lead to Lepton Flavour Violation (LFV) for **neutral leptons**
- No **cLFV** process observed yet, $SM+\nu$ mixing: $\mathcal{B}(\tau\rightarrow\mu\gamma) \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-40})$
- Beyond SM new particles can *enhance* such processes up to $\sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-10} 10^{-7})$
- **Observation** of cLFV: **clear sign** of New Physics
- *Limit setting* on B: *constrain theories* of NP

[[PhysRevD.110.075004](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2811687), [JHEP09\(2024\)174,](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2804228) [sym16030359\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym16030359)

LHCb

- Forward spectrometer: excellent detector for study beauty and charm hadrons
- Most b produced in acceptance
- Excellent vertex resolution, tracking and Particle Identification

 $\Delta p/p = 0.5\%$ @ low momentum IP resolution: $(15+29/p_T$ [GeV]) μm

 $\epsilon(e) \sim 90\%$, misID $(e \rightarrow h) \sim 5\%$ $\epsilon(K) \sim 96\%$, misID $(\pi \rightarrow K) \sim 5\%$ $\epsilon(\mu) \sim 97\%, \text{misID}(\pi \rightarrow \mu) \sim 2\%$

Run1 & Run2 Detector

Semileptonic B decays: why search cLFV here?

• cLFV is intrinsically connected to Lepton Flavour Universality Violation (coupling strengths are not identical across different lepton flavours) [\[PhysRevLett.114.091801\]](https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.091801)

LFU tests with $b \rightarrow s l^+ l^ (R_K, R_{K^*})$:

- ➢ electron-muon universality compatible with SM [[PRD108\(2023\)032002](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2684465)]
- New particles like Z' or leptoquarks allow for additional tree-level contributions that enhance to experimental level the β of process like: [[PhysRevD.92.054013](https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.054013)]

and $b \rightarrow c l^-\nu_l$ (R_{D^*},R_{D0}): \geq \sim 3 σ deviations with SM [[PhysRevLett.131.111802](https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.111802)]

$$
B^0 \to K^{*0} e^{\pm} \mu^{\mp} \quad B^0 \to K^{*0} \tau^{\pm} \mu^{\mp}
$$

$$
B^0_S \to \phi e^{\pm} \mu^{\mp} \quad B^0_S \to \phi \tau^{\pm} \mu^{\mp}
$$

Analyses performed on 9 fb^{-1}

Effective Theory

● In the full theory, interactions might involve **heavy particles** with masses well above the *low-energy scale* we're interested in.

[[arXiv:1704.05340](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1704.05340)]

The *weak effective* Hamiltonian relevant to $b \rightarrow s l^+l^-$ is:

Where the Wilson coefficients \mathcal{C}_i quantify the strength of each possible operator

- Recently LHCb performed an angular analysis of $B^0 \to K^{*0}e^+e^-$ decays: the angular observables are found to be consistent with the SM expectations [LHCb-PAPER-2024-022] To be published soon
- LFUV in $b \rightarrow c l^-\nu_l$ are still an interesting source for LFV, especially in tau decays

LFU in $b \rightarrow sll$

● $b \rightarrow s l l$ are rare process studied extensively to test LFU of the SM by measuring relative rates

$$
R_{(K,K^*)}(q_a^2, q_b^2) = \frac{\displaystyle \int_{q_a^2}^{q_b^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(B^{(+,0)} \to K^{(+,*)0} \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\mathrm{d}q^2} \mathrm{d}q^2}{\displaystyle \int_{q_a^2}^{q_b^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(B^{(+,0)} \to K^{(+,*)0} e^+ e^-)}{\mathrm{d}q^2} \mathrm{d}q^2}
$$

• $\mathcal{B}\sim 10^{-7}-10^{-6}$ (loops or boxes transitions)

● non-LFU new physics mediators can contribute with amplitudes similar to SM one's • See also Gabriele's [talk](https://agenda.infn.it/event/41047/contributions/243406/) for more details

cLFV @ LHCb 14 Nov 2024

LFU in b→clν

- LFU can be studied using **tree-level semileptonic** transition $b \rightarrow c l \bar{\nu}$
- In particular, $b \rightarrow c \tau \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ decays are *sensitive* to NP contributions, due to the $3rd$ gen. fermions
- Currently, there is a 3.3σ tension $R(D^{(*)})$ with SM predictions for *tau-muon universality*
- Very **prolific** research field: different decay channels and angular analysis ongoing
- Many systematics are **driven by data**: with HL Upgrade sample $\rightarrow \sigma_{R(D^{(*)})} < 3\%$

cLFV @ LHCb 14 Nov 2024

Branching ratio's Recipe

• The number of expected events for $X \rightarrow Y$ can be expressed as

$$
N(X \to Y) = \mathcal{L} \cdot \sigma(X \to Y) \cdot \mathcal{B}(X \to Y) \cdot \epsilon(X \to Y)
$$

• Since at LHCb the number of X produced in the sample of analysed data is not known, branching ratios β are normalized to a well measured control channel

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\text{sig}} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathcal{B}_{\text{norm}}}{N_{\text{norm}}} \times \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{norm}}}{\varepsilon_{\text{sig}}} \times N_{\text{sig}}}_{=\alpha},
$$

 \bullet α is a normalization factor that represent the single event sensitivity

$\textbf{Search for } B^0 \!\to\! K^{*0} e^\pm \mu^\mp \textbf{ and } B^0_S \!\to\! \phi \, e^\pm \mu^\mp \textbf{ [JHEP06(2023)073]}$ $\textbf{Search for } B^0 \!\to\! K^{*0} e^\pm \mu^\mp \textbf{ and } B^0_S \!\to\! \phi \, e^\pm \mu^\mp \textbf{ [JHEP06(2023)073]}$ $\textbf{Search for } B^0 \!\to\! K^{*0} e^\pm \mu^\mp \textbf{ and } B^0_S \!\to\! \phi \, e^\pm \mu^\mp \textbf{ [JHEP06(2023)073]}$

Final states studied *separately* (different BSM effects)

- **Normalization Modes**: $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} J/\psi (\mu^+\mu^-) \, {\rm and } \,~B^0_s \rightarrow \phi \, J/\psi (\mu^+\mu^-)$ **Backgrounds**:
- Combinatorial reduced with BDT selection

• Main MisID backgrounds included into the fit

$$
\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ e^-) < 5.7 \times 10^{-9} \ (6.9 \times 10^{-9}),
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^- e^+) < 6.8 \times 10^{-9} \ (7.9 \times 10^{-9}),
$$

Yields obtained with unbinned **extended fit**

$$
\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^{\pm} e^{\mp}) < 10.1 \times 10^{-9} \ (11.7 \times 10^{-9}),
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \phi \mu^{\pm} e^{\mp}) < 16.0 \times 10^{-9} \ (19.8 \times 10^{-9})
$$

World's most stringet limits to date @ 90% (95%) of C.L.

$\textbf{Search for } B^+ \!\to\! K^+ \mu^\pm e$ [∓] **[\[PhysRevLett.123.241802\]](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.241802)**

- **Normalization mode**: $B^+ \rightarrow K^+$ $J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)$,
- **Control channel**: $B^+ \to K^+ J/\psi (e^+ e^-)$

Backgrounds:

- *Combinatorial* reduced with BDT
- *Partially reco'ed* (doubly semileptonics) and decays with *charmonium resonances* removed with mass vetoes and with another BDT
- Lastly, PID cuts to fight *misID*
- Potential contamination from b-hadron decays after selection studied with MC but found negligible
- Invariant mass distributions for 2011 and 2012
- Fit performed *with and without* signal component

Limits at 90% (95%) of C.L. improved previous results by one order of magnitude

τ **reconstruction strategies**

- In $b\rightarrow s\tau\mu$ transitions the tau lepton is reconstructed as $\tau\rightarrow \pi\pi\pi\nu_{\tau}$ or $\tau\rightarrow \pi\pi\pi\pi^{0}\nu_{\tau}$
- Since *neutral particles* are not explicitely reconstructed, different techinques could be implemented to **reconstruct** the signal candidates:
- 1. The **corrected mass** is used to recover part of the missing energy of the B meson: [[inSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/582951)]

$$
M = \sqrt{M_{vis}^2 + P_t^2 + P_{vis,l}^2} + \sqrt{M_0^2 + P_t^2 + P_{0,l}^2}
$$

$$
M_{corr} = \sqrt{M_{vis}^2 + P_t^2 + |P_t|}
$$

$$
M_{corr} = \sqrt{M_{vis}^2 + P_t^2 + |P_t|}
$$

- $\geq M_{\text{vis}}$ (M₀) are the total invariant masses of the set of vertex-associated tracks (set of missed particles), $P_{t(l)}$ is the momentum sum transverse (along) the B flight direction
- 2. Direction and mass constraints can be applied to perform a **kinematic fit** [[NIMA552\(2005\)566](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2005.06.078)] in a parameterization of the whole decay chain in terms of vertex positions, momenta and decay times

$\operatorname{\bf Search} \ \operatorname{\bf for} \ B^0 \,{\to}\, K^{*0}\, \tau^{\pm}\, \mu^{\mp} \quad$ [\[JHEP06\(2023\)143\]](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/JHEP06(2023)143)

● **Signal Modes**:

 $K^{*0}(K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}), \tau \rightarrow (3\pi\nu_{\tau} \text{ or } 3\pi\pi^0\nu_{\tau})$

● **Normalization Mode**: $B^0\!\!\rightarrow\! D^-\!\left(K^+\pi^-\pi^-\right)\!D_s^+\!\left(K^+\!K^-\pi^+\right)$

Backgrounds:

• Physics: multi-stage selection with BDTs 1) Combinatorial bkg (exploits topological differences) 2) Rejection of charmed mesons mis-identified as tau

Efficiencies:

- Most of them computed on MC
- PID evaluated using calibration samples

most stringent limits on $b \rightarrow s \tau \mu$ **@ 90% (95%) of C.L.**

$$
\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}\tau^+\mu^-) < 8.2(9.8) \times 10^{-6}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}\tau^+\mu^-) < 1.0(1.2) \times 10^{-5}
$$

 $_{\mu}$ [GeV $^{2}/c^{4}]$

 $18¹$ $16E$

14

 $\sum_{k=10}^{12}$

Efficiency maps used to recast the results for different decay models

LHCb Simulation -0.1

 -0.08

 -0.06

 -0.04

${\bf Search ~ for ~} B^0_S \! \rightarrow \! \phi \, \mu^\mp \tau$ [±] **[\[arXiv:2405.13103\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.13103)**

- **Signal Modes**:
- $\phi(K^+K^-)$, $\tau \rightarrow (3\pi\nu_\tau \text{ or } 3\pi\pi^0\nu_\tau)$
- **Normalization Mode**: $B_s^0 \to \phi(K^+K^-) \psi(2S) \left(J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)\pi^+\pi^-\right)$

Backgrounds:

- BDTs for combinatorial and for partially reco'ed
- misID $_{\pi\to\mu}$ $B \to \overline{D}K^+K^-\pi^+$ similar to signal: contribution estimed with exclusive $D\rightarrow 3\pi X$ samples

 $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \!\!\rightarrow\! \phi\, \mu^\mp\, \tau^\pm) \!<\! 1.0(1.1)\times 10^{-5} @ \, 90\% \,(95\%) \, of \, C.L.$

• Distribution of the constrained mass for simulated decays

B meson from B* decays

- The **long lifetime** of B/B^* mesons allows to have vertices well separated from any PV, reducing the amount of **background**
- \bullet By *tagging* the B produced by the excited state, it's **energy** can be determined up to a *quadratic ambiguity* (using decay vertices and mass constraint)
- τ leptons selected inclusively: 1-prong

(mass constraints on the B mesons) + (K⁻ momentum) \rightarrow m_{miss} peaking at m⁻²

Search for B+ → K+μ −τ ⁺ **[\[JHEP06\(2020\)129\]](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/JHEP06(2020)129)**

- **Signal mode** $\mu^-\tau^+$ preferred (lower background from $B\rightarrow DX\mu^+\nu_\mu$): $B_{s2}^{*0}\rightarrow K^-B^+(K^+\mu^-\tau^+)$
- *full four-momentum* of the τ lepton reconstructed: search for a peak in τ missing-mass m_{miss}^2
- **Normalization mode**: $B^+ \to K^+ J/\psi (\mu^+ \mu^-)$
- PID cuts on the third track to define *signal* and *normalization* region and reduce partially reco'ed background (allows also to define a SS sample of candidates not from B_{s2}^{*0}
- **BDT** as last stage of selection to fight *residual background*

 $\mathcal{B}(B^+\!\!\rightarrow\! K^+\mu^-\tau^+)$ < 3.9 × 10⁻⁵ @ 90% C.L.

Limit comparable to the world-best limit

• Fits to the missing-mass-squared distribution signal sample in each bin of BDT output

cLFV in tau decays

- τ reconstructed at LHCb originated mainly from *prompt* or *secondary* decays of **and** $**c**$ **hadrons** (e.g. D_s^* , D and B mesons decays), which are abundantly produced at LHCb
- ~80% of them come from D_s^+ , while at *b-factories* tau come from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$
- b-factories have studies radiative and decays with all combinations of leptons [[Phys.Lett.B 687 \(2010\) 139-143\]](https://inspirehep.net/literature/843249)
- Among $l \rightarrow l'l'l'$ decays the $\tau \rightarrow \mu\mu\mu$ offers a *clear final state*, with high reconstruction and identification efficiencies at LHCb

$\textbf{Search for} \ \tau^\pm \!\rightarrow\! \mu^\pm \mu^\mp \mu^\pm$

- LHCb analysis on Run1 Data (3fb⁻¹) [[JHEP02\(2015\)121](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/JHEP02(2015)121)]
	- **Normalization** and control mode: $D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi(\mu^+\mu^-)\pi^+$
	- **Background**: Combinatorial and misID $(D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow 3\pi, D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$

 $\mathcal{B}\ (\tau^+ \!\! \rightarrow \! \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+) < 4.6 (5.6) \times 10^{-8}$ @ 90% (95%) $C.L.$

- Current best experimental limit from Belle: 2.1× 10−8 **@** 90% C.L. [[arXiv:1001.3221](https://arxiv.org/abs/1001.3221)]
- Ongoing analysis with Run2 Data (coming out very soon!)
	- \geq Extrapolated limit from Run1+Run2: 2.5(3.1) × 10⁻⁸ $\textcircled{ }90\%$ (95%) *C.L.*
	- More efficient selection and detailed analysis, final results should be improved even more

Outlook

- LHCb has a very rich program of cLFV searches in b- and c-hadron decays
	- ➢ No signal observed so far, but more constraints on BSM theories set thanks to improved limits on B
- New Data from Run3!
	- ➢ Upgraded detector and improved trigger system allow to run at $\times 5 \mathcal{L}_{\text{inst}} (2\times10^{33}cm^{-2}s^{-1})$
	- \sim Already record \sim 10 fb⁻¹: reduce statistical error and systematics due to data-driven methods

Thank you!