







## Lepton Flavour Violation at LHCb

WIFAI 2024 - Workshop Italiano sulla Fisica ad Alta intensità - Bologna

Simone Capelli – Università Milano-Bicocca & INFN – 14 Nov2024

# Motivations

- Lepton Flavour is an *accidental simmetry* in the SM
- $\nu$  oscillations lead to Lepton Flavour Violation (LFV) for **neutral leptons**
- No **cLFV** process observed yet, SM+ $\nu$  mixing:  $\mathcal{B}(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma) \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-40})$
- Beyond SM new particles can enhance such processes up to  $\sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-10}-10^{-7})$
- **Observation** of cLFV: **clear sign** of New Physics
- Limit setting on  $\mathcal{B}$ : constrain theories of NP

[PhysRevD.110.075004, JHEP09(2024)174, sym16030359]

## LHCb

- Forward spectrometer: excellent detector for study beauty and charm hadrons
- Most *b* produced in acceptance
- Excellent vertex resolution, tracking and Particle Identification

 $\Delta p/p = 0.5\%$  @ low momentum IP resolution:  $(15+29/p_T \ [GeV])\mu m$   $\begin{array}{l} \epsilon(e) ~~ \sim 90\% \,, \mathrm{misID}(e \rightarrow h) ~~ \sim 5\% \\ \epsilon(K) ~~ 96\% \,, \mathrm{misID}(\pi \rightarrow K) ~~ \sim 5\% \\ \epsilon(\mu) ~~ \sim 97\% \,, \mathrm{misID}(\pi \rightarrow \mu) ~~ \sim 2\% \end{array}$ 



## Run<br/>1 & Run2 Detector

# Semileptonic B decays: why search cLFV here?

• cLFV is intrinsically connected to Lepton Flavour Universality Violation (coupling strengths are not identical across different lepton flavours) [PhysRevLett.114.091801]

LFU tests with  $b \rightarrow s l^+ l^- (R_K, R_{K^*})$ :

- electron-muon universality
   compatible with SM [PRD108(2023)032002]
- New particles like Z' or leptoquarks allow for additional tree-level contributions that enhance to experimental level the B of process like: [PhysRevD.92.054013]

and  $b \rightarrow c \, l^- \nu_l \, (R_{D^*}, R_{D^0})$ : [PhysRevLett.131.111802]  $\sim 3\sigma$  deviations with SM

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^0 \to K^{*0} e^{\pm} \, \mu^{\mp} & B^0 \to K^{*0} \, \tau^{\pm} \, \mu^{\mp} \\ B^0_S \to \phi \, e^{\pm} \, \mu^{\mp} & B^0_S \to \phi \tau^{\pm} \mu^{\mp} \end{array}$$

• Analyses performed on 9 fb<sup>-1</sup>

4

# Effective Theory

• In the full theory, interactions might involve **heavy particles** with masses well above the *low-energy scale* we're interested in.

[arXiv:1704.05340]

The weak effective Hamiltonian relevant to  $b \to s l^+ l^-$  is:  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \sum_i \mathcal{C}_i \mathcal{O}_i + \text{h.c.},$ 

Where the Wilson coefficients  $C_i$  quantify the strength of each possible operator

- Recently LHCb performed an angular analysis of  $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} e^+ e^-$  decays: the angular observables are found to be consistent with the SM expectations To be published soon
- LFUV in  $b \rightarrow c \, l^- \nu_l$  are still an interesting source for LFV, especially in tau decays

# **LFU** in $b \rightarrow sll$

•  $b \rightarrow s l l$  are rare process studied extensively to test LFU of the SM by measuring relative rates

$$R_{(K,K^*)}(q_a^2, q_b^2) = \frac{\int_{q_a^2}^{q_b^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(B^{(+,0)} \to K^{(+,*0)} \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\mathrm{d}q^2} \mathrm{d}q^2}{\int_{q_a^2}^{q_b^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(B^{(+,0)} \to K^{(+,*0)} e^+ e^-)}{\mathrm{d}q^2} \mathrm{d}q^2}$$

•  $\mathcal{B} \sim 10^{-7} - 10^{-6}$  (loops or boxes transitions)

• non-LFU new physics mediators can contribute with amplitudes similar to SM one's



• See also Gabriele's talk for more details

## **LFU in** $b \rightarrow c l \nu$

- LFU can be studied using **tree-level semileptonic** transition  $b \rightarrow c l \bar{\nu}$
- In particular,  $b \rightarrow c \tau \overline{\nu}_{\tau}$  decays are *sensitive* to NP contributions, due to the 3<sup>rd</sup> gen. fermions
- Currently, there is a  $3.3\sigma$  tension  $R(D^{(*)})$  with SM predictions for *tau-muon universality*
- Very **prolific** research field: different decay channels and angular analysis ongoing
- Many systematics are driven by data: with HL Upgrade sample  $\rightarrow \sigma_{\!_{\!\!R(D^{(*)})}}\!\!< 3\%$



## Branching ratio's Recipe

• The number of expected events for  $X \rightarrow Y$  can be expressed as

$$N(X \to Y) = \mathcal{L} \cdot \sigma(X \to Y) \cdot \mathcal{B}(X \to Y) \cdot \epsilon(X \to Y)$$

• Since at LHCb the number of X produced in the sample of analysed data is not known, branching ratios  $\mathcal{B}$  are normalized to a well measured control channel

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{sig}} = \underbrace{\frac{\mathcal{B}_{\text{norm}}}{N_{\text{norm}}} \times \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{norm}}}{\varepsilon_{\text{sig}}}}_{=\alpha} \times N_{\text{sig}},$$

•  $\alpha$  is a normalization factor that represent the single event sensitivity

# Search for $B^0 \to K^{*0} e^{\pm} \mu^{\mp}$ and $B^0_S \to \phi e^{\pm} \mu^{\mp}$ [JHEP06(2023)073]

Final states studied *separately* (different BSM effects)

- Normalization Modes:  $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*_0} J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)$  and  $B^0_s \rightarrow \phi J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)$ Backgrounds:
- Combinatorial reduced with BDT selection

• Main MisID backgrounds included into the fit

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ e^-) &< 5.7 \times 10^{-9} \ (6.9 \times 10^{-9}), \\ \mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^- e^+) &< 6.8 \times 10^{-9} \ (7.9 \times 10^{-9}), \end{aligned}$$



Yields obtained with unbinned **extended fit** 

$$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^{\pm} e^{\mp}) < 10.1 \times 10^{-9} \ (11.7 \times 10^{-9}),$$
$$\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \phi \mu^{\pm} e^{\mp}) < 16.0 \times 10^{-9} \ (19.8 \times 10^{-9})$$

World's most stringet limits to date @ 90% (95%) of C.L.

## Search for $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^{\pm} e^{\mp}$ [PhysRevLett.123.241802]

- Normalization mode:  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)$ ,
- Control channel:  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi \left( e^+ e^- \right)$

## Backgrounds:

- *Combinatorial* reduced with BDT
- *Partially reco'ed* (doubly semileptonics) and decays with *charmonium resonances* removed with mass vetoes and with another BDT
- Lastly, PID cuts to fight *misID*
- Potential contamination from b-hadron decays after selection studied with MC but found negligible

- Invariant mass distributions for 2011 and 2012
- Fit performed with and without signal component



Limits at 90% (95%) of C.L. improved previous results by one order of magnitude

## $\tau$ reconstruction strategies

- In  $b \to s \tau \mu$  transitions the tau lepton is reconstructed as  $\tau \to \pi \pi \pi \nu_{\tau}$  or  $\tau \to \pi \pi \pi \pi^0 \nu_{\tau}$
- Since *neutral particles* are not explicitly reconstructed, different techinques could be implemented to **reconstruct** the signal candidates:
- 1. The corrected mass is used to recover part of the missing energy of the B meson: [inSPIRE]

B rest frame 
$$M = \sqrt{M_{vis}^2 + P_t^2 + P_{vis,l}^2} + \sqrt{M_0^2 + P_t^2 + P_{0,l}^2}$$

$$M_{corr} = \sqrt{M_{vis}^2 + P_t^2} + |P_t|$$

- $\sim M_{vis}$  (M<sub>0</sub>) are the total invariant masses of the set of vertex-associated tracks (set of missed particles), P<sub>t(l)</sub> is the momentum sum transverse (along) the B flight direction
- 2. Direction and mass constraints can be applied to perform a **kinematic fit** [NIMA552(2005)566] in a parameterization of the whole decay chain in terms of vertex positions, momenta and decay times

#### Search for $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \tau^{\pm} \mu^{\mp}$ [JHEP06(2023)143]

• Signal Modes:

 $K^{*_0}(K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}), \tau \rightarrow (3\pi\nu_{\tau} \text{ or } 3\pi\pi^0\nu_{\tau})$ 

• Normalization Mode:  $B^{0} \rightarrow D^{-}(K^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{-})D_{s}^{+}(K^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+})$ 

## Backgrounds:

• Physics: multi-stage selection with BDTs 1) Combinatorial bkg (exploits topological differences) 2) Rejection of charmed mesons mis-identified as tau

## **Efficiencies**:

- Most of them computed on MC
- PID evaluated using calibration samples

### most stringent limits on $b \rightarrow s \tau \mu$ @ 90% (95%) of C.L.

$$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \tau^+ \mu^-) < 8.2(9.8) \times 10^{-6}$$
  
$$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \tau^+ \mu^-) < 1.0(1.2) \times 10^{-5}$$



 $_{l}$  [GeV<sup>2</sup>/c<sup>4</sup>]

18 16

14

2<sup>t, 12</sup>

Efficiency maps used to recast the results for different decay models

cLFV @ LHCb

12

 $m_{K^{*0}\mu^{+}}^{2}$  [GeV<sup>2</sup>/ $c^{4}$ ]

LHCb Simulation

5

-0.1

0.08

0.06

0.04

0.02

## Search for $B^0_S \rightarrow \phi \, \mu^{\mp} \tau^{\pm}$ [arXiv:2405.13103]

- Signal Modes:
- $\phi(K^{\scriptscriptstyle +}\,K^{\scriptscriptstyle -})$  ,  $au{
  ightarrow}(3\pi
  u_{ au}\,{
  m or}\,\,3\pi\pi^0
  u_{ au})$
- Normalization Mode:  $B^0_s \rightarrow \phi(K^+K^-) \psi(2S) \ (J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)\pi^+\pi^-)$

## Backgrounds:

- BDTs for combinatorial and for partially reco'ed
- misID<sub> $\pi \to \mu$ </sub>  $B \to \overline{D}K^+K^-\pi^+$ similar to signal: contribution estimed with exclusive  $\overline{D} \to 3\pi X$  samples



 $\mathcal{B}(B_s{}^0 \to \phi \, \mu^{\mp} \, \tau^{\pm}) < 1.0(1.1) \times 10^{-5} \, @\, 90\% \, (95\%) \, of C.L.$ 



• Distribution of the constrained mass for simulated decays

# **B** meson from $\mathbf{B}^*$ decays

- The long lifetime of B/B<sup>\*</sup> mesons allows to have vertices well separated from any PV, reducing the amount of **background**
- By *tagging* the B produced by the excited state, it's **energy** can be determined up to a *quadratic ambiguity* (using decay vertices and mass constraint)
- $\tau$  leptons selected inclusively: 1-prong



(mass constraints on the B mesons) + (K<sup>-</sup> momentum)  $\rightarrow m_{miss}$  peaking at  $m_{\tau}^2$ 

# Search for $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^- \tau^+$ [JHEP06(2020)129]

- Signal mode  $\mu^-\tau^+$  preferred (lower background from  $B \rightarrow DX \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}$ ):  $B_{s2}^{*0} \rightarrow K^- B^+ (K^+ \mu^- \tau^+)$
- full four-momentum of the  $\tau$  lepton reconstructed: search for a peak in  $\tau$  missing-mass  $m_{miss}^2$
- Normalization mode:  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi \left(\mu^+ \mu^-\right)$
- PID cuts on the third track to define *signal* and *normalization* region and reduce partially reco'ed background (allows also to define a SS sample of candidates not from B<sup>\*0</sup><sub>s2</sub>)
- **BDT** as last stage of selection to fight *residual background*

 $\mathcal{B}(B^+\!\!\to\!K^+\mu^-\tau^+) < 3.9 \times 10^{-5} \, @\, 90\% \, C.L.$ 

Limit comparable to the world-best limit

• Fits to the missing-mass-squared distribution signal sample in each bin of BDT output



## cLFV in tau decays

- $\tau$  reconstructed at LHCb originated mainly from *prompt* or *secondary* decays of **b** and **c**-hadrons (e.g.  $D_{s^+}$ , D and B mesons decays), which are abundantly produced at LHCb
- ~80% of them come from  $D_{s^+}$ , while at *b*-factories tau come from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$
- b-factories have studies radiative and decays with all combinations of leptons [Phys.Lett.B 687 (2010) 139-143]
- Among  $l \rightarrow l'l'l'$  decays the  $\tau \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu$  offers a *clear final state*, with high reconstruction and identification efficiencies at LHCb

# Search for $\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} \mu^{\mp} \mu^{\pm}$

- LHCb analysis on Run1 Data  $(3fb^{-1})$  [JHEP02(2015)121]
  - ▶ Normalization and control mode:  $D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi(\mu^+\mu^-)\pi^+$
  - ▶ **Background**: Combinatorial and misID  $(D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow 3\pi, D^+ \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+)$

 $\mathcal{B}(\tau^+ \to \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+) < 4.6(5.6) \times 10^{-8} @ 90\% (95\%) C.L.$ 



- Current best experimental limit from Belle:  $2.1 \times 10^{-8} @ 90\% C.L$ . [arXiv:1001.3221]
- Ongoing analysis with Run2 Data (coming out very soon!)
  - ▶ Extrapolated limit from Run1+Run2: 2.5(3.1)×10<sup>-8</sup> @ 90%(95%)C.L.
  - More efficient selection and detailed analysis, final results should be improved even more

# Outlook

- LHCb has a very rich program of cLFV searches in b- and c-hadron decays
  - No signal observed so far, but more constraints on BSM theories set thanks to improved limits on  $\mathcal{B}$
- New Data from Run3!
  - <sup>▶</sup> Upgraded detector and improved trigger system allow to run at  $\times 5 \mathcal{L}_{inst}$  (2×10<sup>33</sup>cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>)
  - Already record ~10 fb<sup>-1</sup>: reduce statistical error and systematics due to data-driven methods



18

# Thank you!