

The peculiar velocity bispectrum







Funded by the European Union **NextGenerationEU**





Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Sezione di Torino



Atefano Camera

Department of Physics, Alma Felix University of Turin, Italy



redshift independently



• Galaxies' peculiar velocities can be estimated by combining measurements of their observed redshift with a distance indicator that enables us to infer the cosmological

[Davis & Scrimgeour 2014; Watkins & Feldman 2015]









- redshift independently
- complement traditional galaxy clustering



• Galaxies' peculiar velocities can be estimated by combining measurements of their observed redshift with a distance indicator that enables us to infer the cosmological

[Davis & Scrimgeour 2014; Watkins & Feldman 2015]

• The power spectrum of peculiar velocities has been proposed as a powerful tool to

[Burkey & Taylor 2004; Iršič & Slosar 2011; Koda et al. 2014; Howlett et al. 2017a,b; Whitford et al. 2021]

































Maximum redshift, z_{max}





- redshift independently
- complement traditional galaxy clustering
- Surveys that directly measure peculiar velocities have demonstrated dramatic alone at low redshift



• Galaxies' peculiar velocities can be estimated by combining measurements of their observed redshift with a distance indicator that enables us to infer the cosmological

[Davis & Scrimgeour 2014; Watkins & Feldman 2015]

• The power spectrum of peculiar velocities has been proposed as a powerful tool to

[Burkey & Taylor 2004; Iršič & Slosar 2011; Koda et al. 2014; Howlett et al. 2017a,b; Whitford et al. 2021]

improvement on constraints on the growth of structure relative to galaxy clustering

[Carrick et al. 2015; Qin et al. 2019; Adams & Blake 2020; Said et al. 2020; Lai et al. 2022]

















Redshift, z_{max}

- redshift independently
- complement traditional galaxy clustering
- Surveys that directly measure peculiar velocities have demonstrated dramatic alone at low redshift
- What about the bispectrum, then?



• Galaxies' peculiar velocities can be estimated by combining measurements of their observed redshift with a distance indicator that enables us to infer the cosmological

[Davis & Scrimgeour 2014; Watkins & Feldman 2015]

• The power spectrum of peculiar velocities has been proposed as a powerful tool to

[Burkey & Taylor 2004; Iršič & Slosar 2011; Koda et al. 2014; Howlett et al. 2017a,b; Whitford et al. 2021]

improvement on constraints on the growth of structure relative to galaxy clustering

[Carrick et al. 2015; Qin et al. 2019; Adams & Blake 2020; Said et al. 2020; Lai et al. 2022]











peculiar velocities, ...):





• Given an observable cosmological perturbation field X (e.g. galaxy number counts,



- peculiar velocities, ...):

 - Auto-correlations only





• Given an observable cosmological perturbation field X (e.g. galaxy number counts,

• Fourier-space summary statistics for N-point correlation functions [polyspectra]



- peculiar velocities, ...):

 - Auto-correlations only
- Power spectrum





• Given an observable cosmological perturbation field X (e.g. galaxy number counts,

• Fourier-space summary statistics for N-point correlation functions [polyspectra]

$\langle X(\mathbf{k}_1) X(\mathbf{k}_2) \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \,\delta_{\rm D}(\mathbf{k}_{12}) P_X(\mathbf{k}_1)$



- peculiar velocities, ...):

 - Auto-correlations only
- Power spectrum

$$\langle X(\boldsymbol{k}_1) X(\boldsymbol{k}_2) \rangle = ($$

Bispectrum

 $\langle X(\mathbf{k}_1) X(\mathbf{k}_2) X(\mathbf{k}_3) \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \, \delta_{\rm D}(\mathbf{k}_{123}) \, B_X(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$





• Given an observable cosmological perturbation field X (e.g. galaxy number counts,

• Fourier-space summary statistics for N-point correlation functions [polyspectra]

$(2\pi)^3 \, \delta_{\rm D}({m k}_{12}) \, P_X({m k}_1)$



• Small perturbations can be treated perturbatively





- Small perturbations can be treated perturbatively
- As long as the matter distribution can be described as an irrotational fluid (i.e. no shell crossing), gravitational instability can be fully described by the density contrast δ and the velocity divergence θ





- Small perturbations can be treated perturbatively
- As long as the matter distribution can be described as an irrotational fluid (i.e. no shell crossing), gravitational instability can be fully described by the density contrast δ and the velocity divergence θ
- In the spherical collapse model (sensible for small perturbations), solutions at any order remain separable in time and scale





- Small perturbations can be treated perturbatively
- As long as the matter distribution can be described as an irrotational fluid (i.e. no shell crossing), gravitational instability can be fully described by the density contrast δ and the velocity divergence θ
- In the spherical collapse model (sensible for small perturbations), solutions at any order remain separable in time and scale

$$\delta(\boldsymbol{k}, z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} D^m(z) \, \delta^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{k})$$
$$) = -\mathcal{H}(z) \, f(z) \, \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} D^m(z) \, \theta^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{k})$$

 $heta(m{k},z)$





• From the continuity and Euler's equations in Fourier space

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{k}) \\ \theta^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{k}) \end{bmatrix} = \int_{\boldsymbol{q}_1} \dots \int_{\boldsymbol{q}_m} \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{q}_1) \dots \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{q}_m) \begin{bmatrix} F_m(\boldsymbol{q}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{q}_m) \\ G_m(\boldsymbol{q}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{q}_m) \end{bmatrix} (2\pi)^3 \, \delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\boldsymbol{q}_{1\dots m} - \boldsymbol{k})$$





- From the continuity and Euler's equations in Fourier space $\begin{vmatrix} \delta^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{k}) \\ \theta^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{k}) \end{vmatrix} = \int_{\boldsymbol{q}_1} \dots \int_{\boldsymbol{q}_n} \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{q}_1) \dots \delta^{(1)}$
- Hence the tree-level power spectrum and bispectrum $\langle X(\boldsymbol{k}_1) X(\boldsymbol{k}_2) \rangle$

$$\langle X(\boldsymbol{k}_1) X(\boldsymbol{k}_2) X(\boldsymbol{k}_3) \rangle = \langle X^{(\boldsymbol{k}_3)} \rangle$$



$$O(\boldsymbol{q}_m) \begin{bmatrix} F_m(\boldsymbol{q}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{q}_m) \\ G_m(\boldsymbol{q}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{q}_m) \end{bmatrix} (2\pi)^3 \delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\boldsymbol{q}_{1\dots m} - \boldsymbol{k})$$

$$= \langle X^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1) X^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_2) \rangle$$

 $(^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1) X^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_2) X^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k}_3)) + 2 \circlearrowleft_{\boldsymbol{k}_i}$



 $\delta_{\mathrm{g}}(oldsymbol{x})\coloneqq$



• Galaxy clustering is the summary statistics of fluctuations in galaxy number counts

$$= \frac{n_{\rm g}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \bar{n}_{\rm g}}{\bar{n}_{\rm g}}$$



- - $\delta_{\mathrm{g}}(\boldsymbol{x})\coloneqq$
- them from their observed redshifts, which include radial peculiar velocities



Galaxy clustering is the summary statistics of fluctuations in galaxy number counts

$$= \frac{n_{\rm g}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \bar{n}_{\rm g}}{\bar{n}_{\rm g}}$$



- - $\delta_{\mathrm{g}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \coloneqq$
- them from their observed redshifts, which include radial peculiar velocities





Galaxy clustering is the summary statistics of fluctuations in galaxy number counts

$$= \frac{n_{\rm g}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \bar{n}_{\rm g}}{\bar{n}_{\rm g}}$$





- - $\delta_{\mathrm{g}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \coloneqq$
- them from their observed redshifts, which include radial peculiar velocities





Galaxy clustering is the summary statistics of fluctuations in galaxy number counts

$$= \frac{n_{\rm g}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \bar{n}_{\rm g}}{\bar{n}_{\rm g}}$$





- - $\delta_{\mathrm{g}}(\boldsymbol{x})\coloneqq$
- them from their observed redshifts, which include radial peculiar velocities





Galaxy clustering is the summary statistics of fluctuations in galaxy number counts

$$= \frac{n_{\rm g}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \bar{n}_{\rm g}}{\bar{n}_{\rm g}}$$





- - $\delta_{\mathrm{g}}(\boldsymbol{x})\coloneqq$
- them from their observed redshifts, which include radial peculiar velocities





Galaxy clustering is the summary statistics of fluctuations in galaxy number counts

$$= \frac{n_{\rm g}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \bar{n}_{\rm g}}{\bar{n}_{\rm g}}$$





- - $\delta_{\mathrm{g}}(\boldsymbol{x})\coloneqq$
- them from their observed redshifts, which include radial peculiar velocities





Galaxy clustering is the summary statistics of fluctuations in galaxy number counts

$$= \frac{n_{\rm g}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \bar{n}_{\rm g}}{\bar{n}_{\rm g}}$$





• However, number density conservation dictates that $\mathrm{d}^3 s \left[1 + \Delta(s)\right]$







• However, number density conservation dictates that



$\mathrm{d}^3 s \left[1 + \Delta(\boldsymbol{s}) \right] = \mathrm{d}^3 x \left[1 + \delta_\mathrm{g}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right]$



- However, number density conservation dictates that $d^3s \left[1 + \Delta(s)\right]$
- $\Delta^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{q}_1} \dots \int_{\boldsymbol{q}_m} \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{q}_1) \dots \delta^{(1)}$



$$] = \mathrm{d}^3 x \left[1 + \delta_\mathrm{g}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right]$$

• Knowing the real-to-redshift space mapping, we construct redshift-space kernels...

$$(\boldsymbol{q}_m) \, \mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{q}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{q}_m) \, (2 \, \pi)^3 \, \delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\boldsymbol{q}_{1...m} - \boldsymbol{k})$$



- However, number density conservation dictates that $d^3s \left[1 + \Delta(s)\right]$
- $\Delta^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{q}_1} \dots \int_{\boldsymbol{q}_m} \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{q}_1) \dots \delta^{(1)}$
- ...from which

- $P_{\Delta}(\boldsymbol{k}_1) = \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}$
- $B_{\Delta}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) = 2 \,\mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1) \,\mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_2) \,\mathcal{Z}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) \,P(\boldsymbol{k}_1) \,P(\boldsymbol{k}_2) + 2 \, \bigcirc_{\boldsymbol{k}_i}$



$$| = \mathrm{d}^3 x \left[1 + \delta_\mathrm{g}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right]$$

• Knowing the real-to-redshift space mapping, we construct redshift-space kernels...

$$(\boldsymbol{q}_m) \, \mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{q}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{q}_m) \, (2 \, \pi)^3 \, \delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\boldsymbol{q}_{1...m} - \boldsymbol{k})$$

$$(\boldsymbol{k}_1) \, \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(-\boldsymbol{k}_1) \, P(k_1)$$



• Galaxy clustering kernels in redshift space

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1) = b_1 + f \,\mu_1^2$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) = \frac{b_2}{2} + b_1 \,F_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) + b_{\mathcal{G}_2} \,S_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) + f \,\mu_{12}^2 \,G_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) + \frac{f}{2} \,\mu_{12} \,k_{12} \left[\frac{\mu_1}{k_1} \,\mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_2) + \frac{\mu_2}{k_2} \,\mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_2)\right]$$

...from which

$$P_{\Delta}(\boldsymbol{k}_1) = \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}$$

$$B_{\Delta}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = 2 \, \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1) \, \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_2)$$



 $P(\mathbf{k}_1) \, \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(-\mathbf{k}_1) \, P(k_1)$ $(\mathbf{k}_2) \, \mathcal{Z}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \, P(k_1) \, P(k_2) + 2 \, \circlearrowright_{\mathbf{k}_i}$





• Galaxy clustering kernels in redshift space

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1) = b_1 + f \,\mu_1^2$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) = \frac{b_2}{2} + b_1 \,F_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) + b_{\mathcal{G}_2} \,S_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) + f \,\mu_{12}^2 \,G_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) + \frac{f}{2} \,\mu_{12} \,k_{12} \left[\frac{\mu_1}{k_1} \,\mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_2) + \frac{\mu_2}{k_2} \,\mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_2)\right]$$

...from which

$$P_{\Delta}(\boldsymbol{k}_1) = \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}$$

 $P(\mathbf{k}_1) \, \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(-\mathbf{k}_1) \, P(k_1)$ $B_{\Delta}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) = 2 \, \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1) \, \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_2) \, \mathcal{Z}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) \, P(k_1) \, P(k_2) + 2 \, \bigcirc_{\boldsymbol{k}_i}$







Galaxy clustering kernels in redshift space

 $\mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(oldsymbol{k}_1$ $\mathcal{Z}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) = \frac{b_2}{2} + b_1 F_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) + b_{\mathcal{G}_2} S_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) + c_2 S_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}$

...from which

$$P_{\Delta}(\boldsymbol{k}_1) = \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}$$

 $(\boldsymbol{k}_1) \, \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(-\boldsymbol{k}_1) \, P(k_1)$ $B_{\Delta}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) = 2 \, \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1) \, \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_2) \, \mathcal{Z}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) \, P(k_1) \, P(k_2) + 2 \, \bigcirc_{\boldsymbol{k}_i}$



$$f \mu_{12}^{2} G_{2}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1}, \boldsymbol{k}_{2}) + \frac{f}{2} \mu_{12} k_{12} \left[\frac{\mu_{1}}{k_{1}} \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_{2}) + \frac{\mu_{2}}{k_{2}} \mathcal{Z}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_{2}) \right]$$







• Galaxies' (radial) peculiar velocities are inferred from various types of observations (SNela luminosity-distance fluctuations, Tully-Fisher or Faber-Jackson relations, ...)



- Galaxies' (radial) peculiar velocities are inferred from various types of observations (SNeIa luminosity-distance fluctuations, Tully-Fisher or Faber-Jackson relations, ...)
- RSD still arise, because in most cases the underlying peculiar velocity field of matter (as traced by galaxies) is reconstructed by positioning galaxies in a 3D comoving grid constructed from their redshift-space position—same as in galaxy clustering





- Galaxies' (radial) peculiar velocities are inferred from various types of observations (SNeIa luminosity-distance fluctuations, Tully-Fisher or Faber-Jackson relations, ...)
- RSD still arise, because in most cases the underlying peculiar velocity field of matter (as traced by galaxies) is reconstructed by positioning galaxies in a 3D comoving grid constructed from their redshift-space position—same as in galaxy clustering
- Analogously to what done before, I computed peculiar-velocity kernels...

$$u^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{q}_1} \dots \int_{\boldsymbol{q}_m} \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{q}_1) \dots \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{q}_m) \,\mathcal{U}^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{q}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{q}_m) \,(2\,\pi)^3 \,\delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\boldsymbol{q}_{1\dots m} - \boldsymbol{k})$$





• ...from which



$P_u(\mathbf{k}_1) = \mathcal{U}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1) \,\mathcal{U}^{(1)}(-\mathbf{k}_1) \,P(k_1)$ $B_u(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = 2 \mathcal{U}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1) \mathcal{U}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_2) \mathcal{U}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) P(k_1) P(k_2) + 2 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}_i}$



• ...from which

 $P_u(m{k}_1) = \mathcal{U}^{(1)}(m{k}_1)$ $B_u(m{k}_1,m{k}_2) = 2 \,\mathcal{U}^{(1)}(m{k}_1) \,\mathcal{U}^{(1)}(m{k}_1)$

• The kernel for the power spectrum coincides with the literature

 $\mathcal{U}^{(1)}(oldsymbol{k}_1)$



$$(\mathbf{k}_1) \mathcal{U}^{(1)}(-\mathbf{k}_1) P(k_1)$$

 $(\mathbf{k}_2) \mathcal{U}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) P(k_1) P(k_2) + 2 \bigcirc_{\mathbf{k}_i}$

$$= -\mathrm{i}\,\mathcal{H}\,f\,D\,\frac{\mu_1}{k_1}$$



• ...from which

 $P_u(\boldsymbol{k}_1) = \mathcal{U}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1)$ $B_u(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = 2 \mathcal{U}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1) \mathcal{U}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_2)$

- $\mathcal{U}^{(1)}(oldsymbol{k}_1)$
- The kernel for the bispectrum is a novel result

$$\mathcal{U}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) = \mathcal{U}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_{12}) D \left[G_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) - \frac{3}{2} f \mu_1 \mu_2 \frac{(k_{12})^2}{k_1 k_2} \right]$$



$$(\mathbf{k}_1) \mathcal{U}^{(1)}(-\mathbf{k}_1) P(k_1)$$

 $(\mathbf{k}_2) \mathcal{U}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) P(k_1) P(k_2) + 2 \bigcirc_{\mathbf{k}_i}$

• The kernel for the power spectrum coincides with the literature

$$= -\mathrm{i}\,\mathcal{H}\,f\,D\,\frac{\mu_1}{k_1}$$



• ...from which

 $P_u(\boldsymbol{k}_1) = \mathcal{U}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1)$ $B_u(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = 2 \mathcal{U}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1) \mathcal{U}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_2)$

- $\mathcal{U}^{(1)}(oldsymbol{k}_1)$
- The kernel for the bispectrum is a novel result

$$\mathcal{U}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) = \mathcal{U}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_{12}) D \left[G_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) - \frac{3}{2} f \mu_1 \mu_2 \frac{(k_{12})^2}{k_1 k_2} \right]$$



$$(\mathbf{k}_1) \mathcal{U}^{(1)}(-\mathbf{k}_1) P(k_1)$$

 $(\mathbf{k}_2) \mathcal{U}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) P(k_1) P(k_2) + 2 \bigcirc_{\mathbf{k}_i}$

• The kernel for the power spectrum coincides with the literature

$$= -\mathrm{i}\,\mathcal{H}\,f\,D\,\frac{\mu_1}{k_1}$$



Momentum density

- Momentum density is the density-weighted peculiar velocity field
- ...from which

$$\mathcal{P}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1) = \mathcal{U}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1)$$
$$\mathcal{P}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) = \mathcal{U}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) + \mathcal{U}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_{12}) D \left[\frac{f}{2} \mu_1 \mu_2 \frac{(k_{12})^2}{k_1 k_2} + \frac{b_1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu_1}{k_1} + \frac{\mu_2}{k_2}\right) \frac{k_1}{\mu_1}\right]$$



-weighted peculiar velocity field $p \coloneqq (1 + \Delta) u$





 $\hat{k}_{1\perp}$

 $|\mathcal{K}|$













 $k_1 \bot$

- # of dof:
 - 3 3 D $k_i = 9$

 $\hat{k}_{|}$

 $oldsymbol{k}_1$







- # of dof:
 - 3 3 D $k_i = 9$
- But cosmological principle:
 - -3 rotations (isotropy)
 - -3 translations (homogeneity)

 k_1

 \boldsymbol{k}_1







- # of dof:
 - 3 3 D $k_i = 9$
- But cosmological principle:
 - -3 rotations (isotropy)
 - -3 translations (homogeneity)

 k_1

 \boldsymbol{k}_1







- # of dof:
 - 3 3 D $k_i = 9$
- But cosmological principle:
 - -3 rotations (isotropy)
 - -3 translations (homogeneity)
 - +2 angles w.r.t. line of sight
- <u>5</u> dof

 \boldsymbol{k}_1







Comparing bispectra









Comparing bispectra









Comparing bispectra











$\tilde{P}_X = P_X + \begin{cases} 1/\bar{n}_g(\bar{z}_i) & \text{if } X = \Delta \\ \sigma_v^2/\bar{n}_v(\bar{z}_i) & \text{if } X = \{u, p\} \end{cases}$





 $\tilde{P}_X = P_X + \begin{cases} 1/\bar{n}_g(\bar{z}_i) & \text{if } X = \Delta \\ \sigma_v^2/\bar{n}_v(\bar{z}_i) & \text{if } X = \{u, p\} \end{cases}$





 $\tilde{P}_X = P_X + \begin{cases} 1/\bar{n}_g(\bar{z}_i) & \text{if } X = \Delta \\ \sigma_v^2/\bar{n}_v(\bar{z}_i) & \text{if } X = \{u, p\} \end{cases}$



Central redshift of the bin, \bar{z}_i 0.4 0.6



Power spectrum SNR, $SNR_P(\bar{z}_i)$



 $\tilde{P}_X = P_X + \begin{cases} 1/\bar{n}_g(\bar{z}_i) & \text{if } X = \Delta \\ \sigma_v^2/\bar{n}_v(\bar{z}_i) & \text{if } X = \{u, p\} \end{cases}$

0.8

[SC 2024 (in prep.)]





0.20.4



Power spectrum SNR, $SNR_P(\bar{z}_i)$



Central redshift of the bin, \bar{z}_i 0.6



0.8





0.20.4





Central redshift of the bin, \bar{z}_i 0.6

 $\tilde{P}_X = P_X + \begin{cases} 1/\bar{n}_g(\bar{z}_i) & \text{if } X = \Delta \\ \sigma_v^2/\bar{n}_v(\bar{z}_i) & \text{if } X = \{u, p\} \end{cases}$

0.8

[SC 2024 (in prep.)]

Power spectrum SNR, $SNR_P(\bar{z}_i)$



 10^{0}

 10^{1}



 10^{2}



Power spectrum SNR, $SNR_P(\bar{z}_i)$



[SC 2024 (in prep.)]

Central redshift of the bin, \bar{z}_i 0.6



Information content



Central redshift of the bin, \bar{z}_i 0.6

 $I_{\alpha\beta}^{(\Pi,X)}(\bar{z}_i) = \frac{\partial \Pi_X^{\mathsf{H}}(\bar{z}_i)}{\partial \vartheta_{\alpha}} \,\mathsf{C}^{-1}(\bar{z}_i) \,\frac{\partial \Pi_X(\bar{z}_i)}{\partial \vartheta_{\beta}}$ $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} = \{f, b_1, b_2, b_{\mathcal{G}_2}, P_{\text{shot}}, B_{\text{shot}}\}$

0.8

[SC 2024 (in prep.)]

Power spectrum SNR, $SNR_P(\bar{z}_i)$

Information content

Power spectrum SNR, $SNR_P(\bar{z}_i)$

Information content

Power spectrum SNR, $SNR_P(\bar{z}_i)$

