

4th international FLAG Workshop: The Quantum and Gravity



Gauge invariant quantum backreaction in U (1) axion inflation

Simony Santos da Costa in collaboration with:

Davide Campanella Galanti, Pietro Conzinu and Giovanni Marozzi

Based on https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.19960

simony.santosdacosta@unitn.it





Trento Institute for Fundamental Physics and Applications

Outline

- Motivation
- U(1)-axion inflation model
- Second order solution in U(1)-axion inflation
- Numerical analysis
- Discussion and Conclusions

Motivation

- → Inflation ⇒ remains the most attractive theory to explain the Cosmic Microwave Background observations.
- → One of the particle physics models which can lead to a quasi-exponential expansion are in the class of <u>axion-like</u> particles.
- → Axion Inflation \Rightarrow when an axion-like inflation field is coupled to an Abelian gauge field through a Chern-Simons interaction.
 - In the presence of this coupling, gauge fields are copiously produced by the rolling of inflation;
 Due to the Schwinger effect, these strong electric fields produce pairs of charged particles and antiparticles and guickly form an ultrarelativistic plasma.
 - Which in turn, backreacts on the inflaton equation of motion (EOM).
 Such a plasma efficiently screens electric fields and, therefore, strongly influences the generation and evolution of the gauge fields, especially near the end of inflation and during reheating.

3

Let us consider a pseudo-scalar inflaton coupled to U(1) abelian gauge fields described by the following action



where
$$ilde{F}^{\mu
u}=rac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu
ulphaeta}F_{lphaeta}, \quad F_{lphaeta}=\partial_lpha A_eta-\partial_eta A_lpha,$$
 and A_μ are the gauge fields.

U(1)-axion inflation model: what is the standard procedure in the literature?

1) Consider a spatially flat <u>Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Wal</u> <u>ker (FLRW)</u> background metric

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a^{2}(t)d\mathbf{x}^{2}, \qquad (2)$$

with a(t) the scale factor, and we will evaluate the impact of the gauge fields production on the background Euler-Lagrange and Einstein equations.

Notation:

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{\mathbf{A}'}{a^2}, \qquad \qquad \mathbf{B} = \frac{\mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{A}}{a^2}, \qquad (3)$$

one obtains

$$\phi'' + 2aH\phi' - \nabla^2\phi + a^2V_\phi(\phi) = ga^2 \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}, \qquad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{E}' + 2aH\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{B} = -g\phi'\mathbf{B} - g\mathbf{\nabla}\phi \times \mathbf{E}, \quad (5)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{E} = -g(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi) \cdot \mathbf{B} \,, \tag{6}$$

while from the Bianchi identities one obtains

$$\mathbf{B}' + 2aH\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{E} = 0, \qquad (7)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \qquad (8)$$

U(1)-axion inflation model: what is the standard procedure in the literature?

To understand the impact of the gauge fields on the Einstein equations one has to evaluate their energy-momentum tensor

$$T_{00}^{(F)} = \frac{\mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{B}^2}{2}, \qquad (14)$$
$$T_{ij}^{(F)} = -E_i E_j - B_i B_j + \delta_{ij} \frac{\mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{B}^2}{2}. \qquad (15)$$

Taking into account only the backreaction of the gauge fields on the background, we can then rewrite the Friedmann equations in the Hartree approximation as

$$H^{2} = \frac{1}{3m_{Pl}^{2}} \left[\frac{\dot{\phi}^{2}}{2} + V(\phi) + \frac{\langle \mathbf{E}^{2} + \mathbf{B}^{2} \rangle}{2} \right], \quad (17)$$
$$\dot{H} = -\frac{1}{2m_{Pl}^{2}} \left[\dot{\phi}^{2} + \frac{2}{3} \langle \mathbf{E}^{2} + \mathbf{B}^{2} \rangle \right], \quad (18)$$

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + V_{\phi} = g\langle \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} \rangle \,. \tag{19}$$

Inflation field homogeneous $abla \phi = 0$

6

U(1)-axion inflation model: what is the standard procedure in the literature?

The impact of the gauge fields on the inflationary background is calculated in the Coulomb gauge $(A_0 = 0 \text{ and } \nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial \tau^2} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} - g \phi' \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = 0.$$
 (9)

Then one promotes $A(\tau, x)$ to a quantum operator

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) A_{\lambda}(\tau, \mathbf{k}) \hat{a}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} + \text{h.c.} \right],$$
(10)

Finally, the equation of motion Fourier modes A± reads

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2} A_{\pm}(\tau, k) + \left(k^2 \mp kg\phi'\right) A_{\pm}(\tau, k) = 0.$$
 (12)

U(1)-axion inflation model: homogeneous case



Gauge invariant quantum backreaction

One can construct a general non-local observable by taking quantum averages of a scalar field S(x) over a space-time hypersurface ΣAO where A(x), another time-like scalar field, assumes a constant value AO.



It is possible to show that the effective scale factor satisfies the following gauge independent effective equation $\left(\frac{1}{a_{eff}}\frac{\partial a_{eff}}{\partial A_0}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{9}\left\langle\frac{\Theta}{\sqrt{-\partial^{\mu}A\partial_{\mu}A}}\right\rangle_{A_0}^2,$ $\left(\frac{1}{a_{eff}}\frac{\partial a_{eff}}{\partial A_0}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{9}\left\langle\frac{\Theta}{\sqrt{-\partial^{\mu}A\partial_{\mu}A}}\right\rangle_{A_0}^2,$ A is homogeneous with $\Theta = \nabla_{\mu}n^{\mu}$ $n^{\mu} = -\frac{\partial^{\mu}A}{\sqrt{-\partial^{\nu}A\partial_{\nu}A}},$ settles then the class of observers

setties then the class of observers

The space-time dynamics can be studied in any gauge, simply by solving the matter and Einstein equations in the chosen gauge. Considering cosmological perturbation theory up to the second order, so we write the general perturbed metric around a FLRW space-time as

$g_{00} = -1 - 2\alpha - 2\alpha^{(2)} ,$	-
$g_{i0} = -\frac{a}{2}(\beta_{,i} + B_i) - \frac{a}{2}\left(\beta_{,i}^{(2)} + B_i^{(2)}\right),$	-
$g_{ij} = a^2 \left[\delta_{ij} \left(1 - 2\psi - 2\psi^{(2)} \right) + D_{ij} \left(E + E^{(2)} \right) \right]$	-
$+\frac{1}{2}(\chi_{i,j}+\chi_{j,i}+h_{ij})+\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi_{i,j}^{(2)}+\chi_{j,i}^{(2)}+h_{ij}^{(2)}\right)\right],$	-

At the same time, the inflaton can be written to second order as

$$\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \phi(t) + \varphi(t, \mathbf{x}) + \varphi^{(2)}(t, \mathbf{x}).$$

Long wavelength (LW) limit for scalar fluctuations produces:

$$\begin{split} \bar{\Theta} &= 3H - 3H\bar{\alpha} - 3\dot{\bar{\psi}} + \frac{9}{2}H\bar{\alpha}^2 + 3\bar{\alpha}\dot{\bar{\psi}} - 6\bar{\psi}\dot{\bar{\psi}} \\ &- 3H\bar{\alpha}^{(2)} - 3\dot{\bar{\psi}}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{8}h_{ij}\dot{h}^{ij} , \\ &- \partial_{\mu}\bar{A}\partial^{\mu}\bar{A} = 1 - 2\bar{\alpha} + 4\bar{\alpha}^2 - 2\bar{\alpha}^{(2)} , \\ &\sqrt{|\overline{\gamma}|} = a^3 \left(1 - 3\bar{\psi} + \frac{3}{2}\bar{\psi}^2 - \frac{1}{16}h^{ij}h_{ij} - 3\bar{\psi}^{(2)}\right). \end{split}$$

Neglecting tensor perturbations

$$H_{eff}^2 = \left(\frac{1}{a_{eff}}\frac{\partial a_{eff}}{\partial A_0}\right)^2 = H^2 \left[1 + \frac{2}{H} \langle \bar{\psi} \dot{\bar{\psi}} \rangle - \frac{2}{H} \langle \dot{\bar{\psi}}^{(2)} \rangle \right].$$

scalar perturbations of the metric

Second order solution in U(1)-axion inflation

Going up to second order in the field and scalar metric perturbations***

- The <u>uniform curvature gauge</u> (UCG) $\Rightarrow \psi = E = 0$
- The Einstein tensor terms remain the usual ones, while the energy-momentum tensor is modified by the presence of the gauge fields.
- We consider the <u>weak backreaction regime</u> and neglect the background contribution of the gauge fields ⇒ we will treat expressions like E², B², E ·
 B as second order perturbations.
- Comoving observer: homogeneous inflaton field for which $\Rightarrow \varphi = \varphi^{(2)} = 0$

To finally obtain

$$H_{\rm eff}^2 = H^2 \left[1 + \frac{1}{3H^2} \left(\frac{1}{M_{Pl}^2} \frac{\langle \mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{B}^2 \rangle}{2} - \frac{g^2}{\xi} \langle \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} \rangle \right) \right]$$

Second order solution in U(1)-axion inflation

How to obtain the energy density and helicity contributions?

- 1) de Sitter background
- the analytical solution of Eq.(12) is given in terms of Whittaker W – functions

$$A_{\pm}(\tau,k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} e^{\pm \pi \xi/2} W_{\pm i\xi,\frac{1}{2}}(-2ik\tau) \,.$$

3) perform a proper renormalization procedure by subtracting to the bare results of the energy density and of the helicity integral their adiabatic counterparts as described in Animali et al. 2022.

$$\begin{split} \frac{\langle \mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{B}^2 \rangle}{2} &= \frac{2H^4}{960\pi^2} + \frac{H^4\xi^2 \left(-1185\xi^4 + (330 + 4\sqrt{15})\xi^2 + 435 + 4\sqrt{15}\right)}{960\pi^2 \left(1 + \xi^2\right)} \\ &- \frac{3H^4\xi^2 \left(5\xi^2 - 1\right) \log \left(15/4\right)}{64\pi^2} + \frac{H^4\xi \left(30\xi^2 - 11\right) \sinh(2\pi\xi)}{64\pi^3} \\ &- \frac{3H^4\xi^2 \left(5\xi^2 - 1\right) \left(\psi(-1 - i\xi) + \psi(-1 + i\xi)\right)}{32\pi^2} \\ &+ \frac{3iH^4\xi^2 \left(5\xi^2 - 1\right) \left(\psi^{(1)}(1 - i\xi) - \psi^{(1)}(1 + i\xi)\right) \sinh(2\pi\xi)}{64\pi^3} \,, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} \rangle &= \frac{H^4 \xi \left(705 \xi^2 - 330 - \sqrt{15} \right)}{240 \pi^2} + \frac{3H^4 \xi \left(5\xi^2 - 1 \right) \log \left(15/4 \right)}{32 \pi^2} \\ &+ \frac{3H^4 \xi \left(5\xi^2 - 1 \right) \left(\psi (1 - i\xi) + \psi (1 + i\xi) \right)}{16 \pi^2} \\ &+ \frac{3iH^4 \xi \left(5\xi^2 - 1 \right) \left(-\psi^{(1)} (1 - i\xi) + \psi^{(1)} (1 + i\xi) \right) \sinh (2\pi\xi)}{32 \pi^3} \\ &+ \frac{H^4 \left(11 - 30\xi^2 \right) \sinh (2\pi\xi)}{32 \pi^3} \,. \end{split}$$

Numerical analysis

System of equations (reduced):

Results



Results



Results



Conclusions

- The cosmological <u>backreaction became non-perturbative already after N ~ 10 15</u> <u>e-folds.</u> When this happens our assumption of considering the gauge fields contribution as a perturbative contribution is not valid anymore.
- To go beyond this weak backreaction regime, considering all the possible contributions, one possibility could be to define an effective background for the gauge fields' contribution and write a perturbative theory on top of it, to take into consideration the coupling between the scalar fluctuations of the matter/gravity sector with the gauge fields effective fluctuations consistently.
- 3. The above approach is <u>necessary</u> to consider, in such a case, not only the backreaction of the gauge fields on the inflationary dynamics but also <u>the backreaction that the</u> <u>scalar fluctuations of the matter/gravity sector have on the gauge fields' production</u>.
- 4. <u>The approach performed gives us the possibility to study the weak backreaction</u> regime and understand when this is not valid anymore, without missing part of the <u>effect coming from scalar induced peturbations.</u>



Figure 1: Evolution of ξ assuming a homogeneous axion field (dashed black) and perturbatively including axion gradients (red) for different values of β . The light (dark) gray region indicates that the gradient energy of the axion exceeds 1 % (50 %) of the kinetic energy, while the gray vertical line corresponds to 5 %. Wherever possible we compare to the result of the lattice simulation [35].

Domcke et al. JCAP 03 (2024) 019





$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 A_{\pm}(\tau,\vec{k})}{d\tau^2} + \left[k^2 \pm 2\lambda \xi kaH\right] A_{\pm}(\tau,\vec{k}) &= 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \xi \equiv \frac{\lambda \phi'}{2f} > 0 \,, \end{aligned} \qquad A_{-\lambda}(\tau,\vec{k}) = \frac{e^{\pi \xi/2}}{\sqrt{2k}} W_{-i\xi,1/2}(2ik\tau) \\ \phi'' + \frac{H'}{H} \phi' + 3\phi' + \frac{V_{,\phi}}{H^2} - \frac{1}{fH^2} \langle \vec{E}\vec{B} \rangle &= 0 \,, \end{aligned} \\ 3H^2 M_P^2 &= V(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} H^2(\phi')^2 + \left\langle \frac{E^2 + B^2}{2} \right\rangle \,, \end{aligned} \qquad \langle \vec{E}\vec{B} \rangle_{(0)} = \frac{1}{2^{21} \pi^2} \frac{H_0^4}{\xi^4} e^{2\pi\xi} \int_0^{8\xi} x^7 e^{-x} dx \,, \\ \langle \rho_{EB} \rangle_{(0)} &= \langle \frac{E^2 + B^2}{2} \rangle_{(0)} = \frac{6!}{2^{19} \pi^2} \frac{H_0^4}{\xi^3} e^{2\pi\xi} \,, \end{aligned}$$

.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 A_{\pm}(\tau,\vec{k})}{d\tau^2} + \left[k^2 \pm 2\lambda \xi kaH\right] A_{\pm}(\tau,\vec{k}) &= 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \xi \equiv \frac{\lambda \phi'}{2f} > 0 \,, \end{aligned} \qquad A_{-\lambda}(\tau,\vec{k}) = \frac{e^{\pi\xi/2}}{\sqrt{2k}} W_{-i\xi,1/2}(2ik\tau) \\ \phi''_{(j)} + (3 - \epsilon_{(j)})\phi'_{(j)} + \frac{1}{H^2_{(j)}} \left(V_{\phi}(\phi_{(j)}) + \frac{\alpha}{\Lambda} \langle \vec{E}\vec{B} \rangle_{(j-1)}\right) = 0 \,, \end{aligned} \\ H^2_{(j)} &= \frac{V(\phi_{(j)}) + \langle \rho_{EB} \rangle_{(j-1)}}{3 - \frac{\phi'^2_{(j)}}{2}} \,; \qquad \epsilon_{(j)} = \frac{1}{2} \phi'^2_{(j)} + \frac{2}{3H^2_{(j)}} \langle \rho_{EB} \rangle_{(j-1)} \,. \end{aligned} \qquad \langle \vec{E}\vec{B} \rangle_{(0)} = \frac{1}{2^{21}\pi^2} \frac{H^4_4}{\xi^4} e^{2\pi\xi} \int_0^{8\xi} x^7 e^{-x} dx \,, \\ \langle \rho_{EB} \rangle_{(0)} &= \langle \frac{E^2 + B^2}{2} \rangle_{(0)} = \frac{6!}{2^{19}\pi^2} \frac{H^4_4}{\xi^3} e^{2\pi\xi} \,, \end{aligned}$$

$$A_{k,\pm}'' + (1 - \epsilon_{(j)})A_{k,\pm}' + \frac{k}{aH_{(j)}} \left(\frac{k}{aH_{(j)}} \mp 2\,\xi_{(j)}(N)\right)A_{k,\pm} = 0.$$

$$A_{-\lambda}(\tau,\vec{k}) = \frac{e^{\pi\xi/2}}{\sqrt{2k}}W_{-i\xi,1/2}(2ik\tau)$$

$$\phi_{(j)}' + (3 - \epsilon_{(j)})\phi_{(j)}' + \frac{1}{H_{(j)}^2} \left(V_{\phi}(\phi_{(j)}) + \frac{\alpha}{\Lambda}\langle\vec{E}\vec{B}\rangle_{(j-1)}\right) = 0,$$

$$H_{(j)}^2 = \frac{V(\phi_{(j)}) + \langle\rho_{EB}\rangle_{(j-1)}}{3 - \frac{\phi_{(j)}'}{2}}; \qquad \epsilon_{(j)} = \frac{1}{2}\phi_{(j)}'^2 + \frac{2}{3H_{(j)}^2}\langle\rho_{EB}\rangle_{(j-1)},$$

$$\langle\vec{E}\vec{B}\rangle_{(0)} = \frac{1}{2^{21}\pi^2}\frac{H_4^4}{\xi^4}e^{2\pi\xi}\int_0^{8\xi}x^7e^{-x}dx,$$

$$\langle\rho_{EB}\rangle_{(0)} = \langle\frac{E^2 + B^2}{2}\rangle_{(0)} = \frac{6!}{2^{19}\pi^2}\frac{H_4^4}{\xi^3}e^{2\pi\xi},$$

$$\begin{cases} A_{k,\pm}'' + (1-\epsilon_{(j)})A_{k,\pm}' + \frac{k}{aH_{(j)}} \left(\frac{k}{aH_{(j)}} \mp 2\xi_{(j)}(N)\right) A_{k,\pm} = 0. \end{cases} \qquad (\vec{E}\vec{B})_{(j)} = \sigma \frac{H_{(j)}}{4\pi^2 a^3} \sum_{i=1}^M d\ln k_i k_i^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial N} |A_{k_i}'|^2 \theta (N-N_i) \\ (\vec{E}\vec{B})_{(j)} = \frac{\pi^2}{4\pi^2 a^3} \sum_{i=1}^M d\ln k_i \left(k_i^3 a^2 H_{(j)}^2 |A_{k_i}'|^2 + k_i^5 |A_{k_i}'|^2 - k_i^4\right) \theta (N-N_i) \\ (\vec{P}_{EB})_{(j)} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 a^4} \sum_{i=1}^M d\ln k_i \left(k_i^3 a^2 H_{(j)}^2 |A_{k_i}'|^2 + k_i^5 |A_{k_i}'|^2 - k_i^4\right) \theta (N-N_i) \\ (\vec{P}_{EB})_{(j)} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 a^4} \sum_{i=1}^M d\ln k_i \left(k_i^3 a^2 H_{(j)}^2 |A_{k_i}'|^2 + k_i^5 |A_{k_i}'|^2 - k_i^4\right) \theta (N-N_i) \\ (\vec{P}_{EB})_{(j)} = \frac{V(\phi_{(j)}) + \langle P_{EB}\rangle_{(j-1)}}{3 - \frac{\phi_{(j)}'^2}{2}}; \qquad \epsilon_{(j)} = \frac{1}{2}\phi_{(j)}'^2 + \frac{2}{3H_{(j)}^2}\langle P_{EB}\rangle_{(j-1)}. \end{cases}$$

Coupled system

U(1)-axion inflation model: iterative approach![Domcke et al 2020 JCAP 09 (2020) 009]



To handle the complicated dynamics of the inflaton and gauge fields, taking into account the Schwinger effect and the backreaction of the generated gauge fields and primordial plasma on the cosmological evolution, a novel gradient expansion formalism was developed.

In the standard approach we have seen that one works with separate Fourier modes of the gauge field which evolve in a given inflationary background.

In contrast, in the gradient expansion formalism (GEF), one considers vacuum expectation values of a truncated set of bilinear functions of the electric and magnetic fields in coordinate space that include all physically relevant modes at once.

Important: the number of relevant modes constantly grows during inflation as new modes cross the horizon and undergo the quantum to classical transition.

To account for the growth of the number of relevant modes outside the horizon, *boundary terms* are added to the equations of motion for the electromagnetic bilinear functions.

Advantage: it does not rely on an iterative procedure that needs to be repeated over and over again before it converges to a self-consistent result.

Gorbar et al. Phys.Rev.D 104 (2021) 12, 123504



infinite chain that needs to be truncated**

4th FLAG Workshop - Catania

Vew Coupled system



Figure 5. Top plots: the total electromagnetic energy density (red solid lines) and the scalar product $|\langle \boldsymbol{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} \rangle|$ (blue solid lines) as functions of N_e generated in the axial coupling model with (a) $\beta = 20$ and (b) $\beta = 25$ in the absence of the Schwinger effect. Bottom plots show the absolute value of the parameter ξ . The corresponding dashed lines show the same dependences in the absence of backreaction. The pink vertical lines mark the end of inflation in each case while the gray vertical lines show the end of inflation in the absence of backreaction. These solutions obtained from the gradient expansion formalism are in good accordance with the results of the iterative solution of the mode equation (40), presented in Ref. [60], cf. Fig. 6 there.

<u>Gorbar et al. Phys.Rev.D 104 (2021) 12, 123504</u>



The Schwinger effect significantly suppresses magnetogenesis!

As a result, the inflation stage has the same duration as in the unperturbed case.

Gorbar et al. Phys.Rev.D 104 (2021) 12, 123504

Domcke et al. JCAP 03 (2024) 019

Backreaction induced by the gauge fields on the axion

- 1. Changes in the axion velocity impact gauge field modes within tachyonic instability window, which contribute to the friction fc
- 2. As a result, the friction term reacts with some time delay to the changes in the axion velocity, leading to a resonantly coupled system with distinct peaks in the axion velocity.



rapid growth of the axion perturbations ⇒ significant departure from the standard slow-roll regime and the strong non-linearities involved

Domcke et al. JCAP 03 (2024) 019

Backreaction induced by the gauge fields on the axion

Using the GEF to perturbatively include axion gradients requires evolving not only the 2-point functions but also higher p-point functions:

$$\mathcal{P}_X^{(n)} = \frac{1}{a^n} \left\langle \vec{X} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times)^n \vec{X} \right\rangle, \quad \mathcal{P}_{XY}^{(n)} = -\frac{1}{a^n} \left\langle \vec{X} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times)^n \vec{Y} \right\rangle.$$

The main idea of this approach is to self-consistently determine the breakdown of perturbativity.

Domcke et al. JCAP 03 (2024) 019

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + m_{\phi}^{2}\phi - \frac{\beta}{M_{P}}\left\langle \vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}\right\rangle, \\ 0 &= \ddot{\chi} + 3H\dot{\chi} - \frac{\nabla^{2}\chi}{a^{2}} + m_{\phi}^{2}\chi - \frac{\beta}{M_{P}}\left(\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B} - \left\langle \vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}\right\rangle\right), \\ 0 &= \dot{\vec{E}} + 2H\vec{E} - \frac{1}{a}\vec{\nabla}\times\vec{B} + \frac{\beta}{M_{P}}\left(\dot{\phi} + \dot{\chi}\right)\vec{B} + \frac{\beta}{M_{P}}\frac{1}{a}\vec{\nabla}\chi\times\vec{E}, \\ 0 &= \dot{\vec{B}} + 2H\vec{B} + \frac{1}{a}\vec{\nabla}\times\vec{E}, \\ 0 &= \vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{E} + \frac{\beta}{M_{P}}\vec{\nabla}\chi\cdot\vec{B}, \quad 0 = \vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{B}, \\ H^{2} &= \frac{1}{3M_{P}^{2}}\left\langle \frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{\phi}^{2} + \dot{\chi}^{2}\right) + \frac{(\partial_{i}\chi)^{2}}{2a^{2}} + \frac{m_{\phi}^{2}}{2}\left(\phi^{2} + \chi^{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(|\vec{E}|^{2} + |\vec{B}|^{2}\right) \right\rangle, \end{split}$$

Matter sector

Gravity sector

$$\begin{split} \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{E}^{(n)} + (n+4)H\mathcal{P}_{E}^{(n)} - \frac{2\beta\dot{\phi}}{M_{P}}\mathcal{P}_{EB}^{(n)} + 2\mathcal{P}_{EB}^{(n+1)} = \left[\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{E}^{(n)}\right]_{b}, \\ \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{E}^{(n)} + (n+4)H\mathcal{P}_{E}^{(n)} - 2\mathcal{P}_{EB}^{(n)} = \left[\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{E}^{(n)}\right]_{b}, \\ \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{B}^{(n)} + (n+4)H\mathcal{P}_{B}^{(n)} - 2\mathcal{P}_{EB}^{(n+1)} = \left[\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{B}^{(n)}\right]_{b}, \\ \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{B}^{(n)} + (n+4)H\mathcal{P}_{B}^{(n)} - 2\mathcal{P}_{EB}^{(n+1)} + \mathcal{P}_{B}^{(n+1)} - \frac{\beta\dot{\phi}}{M_{P}}\mathcal{P}_{B}^{(n)} = \left[\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{EB}^{(n)}\right]_{b}, \\ \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{B}^{(n)} + 4H\mathcal{P}_{B}^{(n)} - 2\mathcal{P}_{EB}^{(n)} = \left[\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{B}^{(n)}\right]_{b}, \\ \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{B}^{(n)} + 4H\mathcal{P}_{B}^{(n)} - 2\mathcal{P}_{EB}^{(n)} = \left[\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{B}^{(n)}\right]_{b}, \\ \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{B}^{(n)} + 4H\mathcal{P}_{B}^{(n)} - 2\mathcal{P}_{EB}^{(n)} = \left[\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{B}^{(n)}\right]_{b}, \\ \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{B}^{(n)} + 4H\mathcal{P}_{E}^{(n)} - \mathcal{P}_{E}^{(n)} + \mathcal{P}_{B}^{(1)} - \frac{\beta\dot{\phi}}{M_{P}}\mathcal{P}_{B}^{(0)} - \frac{\beta}{M_{P}}\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{\chi}:B}^{(0)} - \frac{\beta}{M_{P}}\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{\chi}:B}^{(0)} - \frac{\beta}{M_{P}}\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{\chi}:B}^{(0)} - \frac{\beta}{M_{P}}\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{\chi}:B}^{(0)} = \left[\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{EB}^{(0)}\right]_{b}, \\ \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{EB}^{(0)} + 4H\mathcal{P}_{E}^{(0)} - \mathcal{P}_{E}^{(1)} + 2\mathcal{P}_{EB}^{(2)} - \frac{2\beta\dot{\phi}}{M_{P}}\mathcal{P}_{EB}^{(1)} - \frac{\beta}{M_{P}}}\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{\chi}:B}^{(1)} - \frac{\beta}{M_{P}}\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{\chi}:B}^{(1)} = \left[\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{EB}^{(0)}\right]_{b}, \\ \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{EB}^{(1)} + 5H\mathcal{P}_{E}^{(1)} - 2\mathcal{P}_{EB}^{(2)} - \frac{2\beta\dot{\phi}}{M_{P}}\mathcal{P}_{EB}^{(1)} - \frac{\beta\dot{\phi}}{M_{P}}\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{\chi}:B}^{(1)} = \left[\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{E}^{(1)}\right]_{b}, \\ \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{L}^{(0)} - 2\mathcal{P}_{L}^{(0)} = 0, \\ \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{L}^{(0)} - 2\mathcal{P}_{L}^{(0)} = 0, \\ \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{L}^{(0)} - 2\mathcal{P}_{L}^{(0)} + \mathcal{P}_{L}^{(0)} + \frac{\beta}{M_{P}}\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{\chi}:B}^{(0)} = 0, \\ \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{L}^{(0)} + 2\mathcal{P}_{L}^{(0)} + \mathcal{P}_{L}^{(0)} +$$

Boundary terms and truncation**

Domcke et al. JCAP 03 (2024) 019



Figure 1: Evolution of ξ assuming a homogeneous axion field (dashed black) and perturbatively including axion gradients (red) for different values of β . The light (dark) gray region indicates that the gradient energy of the axion exceeds 1 % (50 %) of the kinetic energy, while the gray vertical line corresponds to 5 %. Wherever possible we compare to the result of the lattice simulation [35].

Domcke et al. JCAP 03 (2024) 019



Figure 2: Evolution of the energy densities for different values of β . Gray bands as in Fig. 1. Results from lattice simulations for $\beta = 15, 18$ and 20 from Ref. [35] are shown in dashed.







CosmoLattice

A modern code for lattice simulations of scalar and gauge field dynamics in an expanding universe

<u>2006.15122, 2102.01031</u>

Two ways of formulating a SF@EB in the lattice:

- Lattice EOM approach
- Lattice action approach

Different numerical algorithms to solve the EOM

- Staggered leapfrog
- Position- and velocity-Verlet
- Runge-Kutta
- Yoshida methods

Figueroa et al. Phys.Rev.Lett. 131 (2023) 15, 151003

Lattice simulations \Rightarrow <u>Captures the</u> <u>strong backreaction regime!</u>

Lattice simulations

Figueroa et al. Phys.Rev.Lett. 131 (2023) 15, 151003

The effect of the inhomogeneity is highly non-trivial and requires a dedicated study for each coupling.

In general, the excitation and backreaction of the gauge field is no longer controlled by a homogeneous ξ parameter, and resonant oscillatory backreaction features reported by previous homogeneous analyses are guite attenuated.

The resulting gauge field spectra during inhomogeneous backreaction become smoother than in the homogeneous case, as no spectral oscillatory features are developed.

FIG. 1. Top Row: Evolution of the electromagnetic (purple) and inflaton potential (black), kinetic (red) and gradient (blue) energy densities, all normalized to the total energy density of the system, for $\alpha_{\Lambda} = 15$, 18, 20. Solid (dashed) lines correspond to lattice simulations with inhomogeneous (homogeneous) backreaction. Bottom Row: Evolution of ξ for the same coupling constants, corresponding to simulations with inhomogeneous (black solid) and homogeneous (black dashed) backreaction, and to gradient expansion [58, 59] (green solid) and iterative method [19] (magenta dashed). Solid and dashed vertical lines signal the end of inflation in each case. Evolution in the linear regime (black dash-dotted) is also shown for completeness.