

Minutes of the OEC loading discussion in Lecce 07/05/2024

2 Agenda and slides at <https://agenda.infn.it/event/40809>

3 1 Half ring for SR1 slice test

4 Ben presents some slides on the plans for the half-ring that will go to the slice test in
5 SR1. The PRR is currently set for January 2025; this should include results from the
6 combined slice test. This half ring will be needed earlier than those produced at other
7 sites (4 should be made in total), and is planned to be built at RAL.

8 The reception test setup at RAL is presented, highlighting the lack of MOPS HUB
9 and that the interlock has not yet been set up. Preparations are in process to load a
10 digital ITkPix v1 onto an old half ring for low-power tests. They have a pre-production
11 quality half ring without bus tapes. Ben highlights that he does not believe final
12 drawings of the half-rings (with modules) are available; these will be needed to load in
13 the correct positions.

14 For pre-production the pigtail alignment issue is highlighted: we cannot assume
15 that the bus tape will be in the correct position, and the version of bus tape currently
16 available does not have much tolerance to misalignment. For the slice test half ring
17 RAL plans to use a side-on camera and place modules with the power pigtails attached;
18 they will move modules to make sure that the pigtails can be soldered and connected.
19 It is unclear whether the other 3 pre-production half rings will have new or old bus
20 tapes; it is suggested that they will still have the old bus tapes. Paolo believes that
21 v6 bus tapes only exist for L4 half rings; L2 and L3 will likely have older bus tape
22 designs. There is a little bit of discussion about how feasible it is to align modules to
23 the bus tape soldering pads, and surveying the ring before loading. It is expected that
24 the pre-loading survey will be used to correct the module placement position, though
25 of course this is a little counterproductive for a PRR.

26 There is currently no jig at RAL for the pigtail soldering. This should be provided
27 by Genova, along with test pieces to trial before the slice test half ring. There are a
28 few things which have to be sourced/manufactured at RAL to go along with what is
29 provided.

30 The post-loading test setup at RAL is shown, highlighting which parts are not
31 available. In particular, new data adaptor boards are needed, the MOPS HUB and
32 interlock are both needed, and the optobox has to be set up. CO2 is also an issue:
33 a blow-off system will be used and many safety checks have to be carried out by an
34 external company at RAL. It is feared that it may be a significant amount of time
35 for this to happen, and is considered a major risk. For the MOPS HUB, RAL were
36 not included in the common order and so do not have a system. They will need to
37 be able to read out 3 MOPS chips simultaneously to have a full half-ring operational.
38 There is uncertainty about the order status for the next round of MH4B (MOPS
39 HUB 4 Beginners), and for single MOPS systems - effectively level adaptors. Helen is
40 gathering numbers at the moment for these level-adaptors (but will not include CAN
41 bus controllers). Simon adds that a single MH4B should be able to read 8 MOPS
42 chips in principle.

43 Plan A is that RAL will build and fully test the slice test half ring. Given the worries
44 about CO2, soldering and MOPS readout, plan B is that the ring will be fully loaded

45 at RAL: each module will be tested individually with the reception test setup, and the
46 ring will then be sent to Italy for pigtail soldering and multi-module testing.

47 The timeline is discussed. An estimate of when things need to be ready is shown,
48 indicating (for plan B) that the half ring would need to be in Italy before August, to
49 go to CERN for the beginning of September. RAL should decide by the start of July
50 whether they will be able to test the slice test half ring, otherwise they should inform
51 Italy to test. It is highlighted that this means there are 2 months to load the half ring
52 and to commission the test setup. We should ask the system test group what they
53 plan to do and when; it is unclear when exactly they need the half-ring and what they
54 will be able to do with it at that point. Paolo asks whether we should do the full
55 half-ring testing at all, and whether that might be better to leave to SR1. Marianna
56 comments that the slice test is intended to look at eg. grounding issues with all of the
57 final services, and whatever DAQ is ready at the time. It is unclear what the status of
58 things like twinax cables is. Andreas comments that delivering the slice test half ring
59 to CERN earlier will help for DAQ development. Paolo brings up the idea of preparing
60 5 half-rings, with the purpose of building up DAQ experience at each loading site.
61 It is commented that module availability will be limited, and even 4 fully populated
62 half rings seems questionable at the moment. Oxford and RAL are close enough that
63 the Oxford half ring could be tested at RAL, but this means sequencing the work. A
64 partially loaded half ring seems more likely/possible.

65 2 Loaded half ring drawing set

66 Martin presents the updated technical drawings of the bare L2 half rings, highlighting
67 the new tolerances of the mounting lugs. It is highlighted that the rotation of the lug
68 is not defined, and that this affects the construction of the reference system during
69 loading. Danilo comments that a final survey of the bare half ring might be used to
70 correct the construction of the reference system separately for each half ring, since
71 we use the alignment hole and not the mounting hole. The loading sites are not
72 enthusiastic about this. It remains to be seen how big this effect will be in practice.

73 3 Plastic protection and PP0 support

74 Roberto freelances 3D-printing for the ITk. He goes through the module loading head
75 which has been designed in Lecce. Danilo asks if the head actively adjusts to match the
76 bare half ring surface. He comments that given we have glass spheres in the SE4445,
77 we do not need to overconstrain the modules during placement, and as long as there
78 is some compliance then you can just push the module and let it adapt to the surface.
79 There is some to and fro, and questions about whether Lecce and Genova use the
80 same flexible suction cups to provide flexibility to adapt to the glass sphere level.

81 Roberto then shows a potential 3D-printed support for the PP0. At the Italian
82 sites it is proposed to place the final PP0 during testing of the half ring, and to keep
83 this in place until integration on the half shell. This clips on to the handling frame and
84 holds the PP0 from both sides. It would be unclipped after the half ring is mounted
85 on the half shell.

86 4 QC and DAQ requirements for loading sites

87 Antonio presents some slides collating information from many people. He goes through
88 the two readout schemes, comprising data adaptors and zaza boards followed by PP0
89 and twinax. The status of hardware in the UK and Italy is shown. Stage 1 of the DAQ
90 preparations involves the use of YARR with FELIX hardware, followed by a modular
91 system developed with FELIX cards to be used for the last part of LLS loading and
92 system integration. Proposals for stage 2 are presented in greater detail; covering DAQ
93 operations and expected features/interfaces.

94 The hardware used at different sites is shown, highlighting the different power
95 supplies purchased. For DCS, there is a lot of experience with WinCC around. On the
96 interlock side, the current matrix requirements are shown from EDMS document AT2-
97 IP-ES-0017. There are some comments that there are several things in the interlock
98 requirements that are perhaps not needed. There is also a short discussion on the
99 TILOCK signal from the NTC of the last module in the SP chain; type 1 cables are
100 planned.

101 There is a long discussion about DAQ software, WinCC, etc. General depression all
102 around. Not much is ready to handle multi-module setups and it feels like efforts have
103 not yet converged on producing a system which can be used for LLS testing in time
104 for the PRR. Whether that is the case or not is not clear, but several people express
105 this view. What will be produced is not clear; whether this will be DAQ interfaces in
106 WinCC or APIs that allow the DAQ to interact with the DCS and hide WinCC from
107 the user.

108 5 Finite element analysis of the full endcap

109 Mauro presents FEA results of a full outer endcap.

110 6 Action points

- 111 1. Technical drawing with modules positions to be sent round if they exist
- 112 2. Check whether the 3 non-slice-test half rings will have new or old bus tapes
- 113 3. The timescale for shipping the soldering jig and test pieces to RAL should be
114 established, and it should be made clear which additional parts should be ma-
115 chined/sourced at RAL
- 116 4. Sites need to provide numbers for MOPS level adaptors
- 117 5. Ask slice test co-ordinators when they need the EC half-ring and what is planned
118 to be tested
- 119 6. Find out more information on the availability of parts, in particular modules, and
120 agree on the objects to be constructed
- 121 7. It should be understood what the integration sites need in terms of PP0 support,
122 and what the handover from loading sites to integration sites will look like
- 123 8. The interlock matrix for the OEC should be defined, if it will not match what is
124 currently in AT2-IP-ES-0017

125 9. An agreement on type 1 bundles (and connectors) needs to be reached soon and
126 communicated to Stephan Eisenhardt, to ensure that these are produced soon

127 10. Status/plans for the DAQ should be followed up. In particular, the timescale
128 for readout of multiple modules on a SP chain needs to be established, and the
129 plans for software interfaces to the LLS groups need to be understood

130 **A follow-up should be arranged!**