

#### **Entering the Neutrino Fog**

**First Measurement of Solar Neutrinos via CEvNS in XENONnT**



19th Patras Workshop on Axions WIMPs and WISPs 17.09.2024



#### **XENONnT Solar <sup>8</sup>B CEvNS Search Results**







- ❖ <sup>8</sup>B neutrinos measured via CEvNS at **2.73σ**.
- ❖ **FIRST** detected astrophysical v in a dark matter detector.
- ❖ **FIRST** measured CEvNS from astrophysical v source.
- ❖ **FIRST** measured CEvNS with a xenon target.





2 Matteo Guida | 19th Patras Workshop on Axions WIMPs and WISPs | 17.09.2024



### **The XENONnT Experiment**





#### ❖ **S1 SIGNAL**

prompt scintillation photons.

#### ❖ **S2 SIGNAL**

secondary scintillation photons from electroluminescence in gaseous xenon (GXe) due to drifted electrons.

#### ❖ **3D VERTEX RECONSTRUCTION**

**X,Y**: S2 hit pattern in the top PMT array. **Z**: drift time S2-S1.

#### ❖ **ENERGY RECONSTRUCTION**

from combined S1 and S2 signals.





## **Recoil Type Discrimination**





Recoil type discrimination S1/S2 ratio depends on dE/dx.





### **XENONnT Timeline**



❖ Stable detector response: achieving <1% (<3%) variation in light (charge) yield.

**XENON** 

- ❖ Electron lifetime excellence ≈ 20ms.
- ❖ **Radon suppression milestone**: distillation with combined gaseous and liquid xenon flow.

**<sup>222</sup>Rn** ER background is pushed to record-low levels  $<$  1  $\mu$ Bq  $kg^{-1}$ .





#### **Physics Results So Far**





# **New Physics Search in Electronic Recoils Data**

 $\div$  The XENON1T excess excluded at  $\approx 4 \sigma$ . Tiny tritium leak suspected in XENON1T. Tritium mitigation implemented in XENONnT.



❖ Record-low ER background: 15.8 events/(t y keV) in (1, 30) keV ROI.





**IMPRS** 

for Precision Tests of ental Symmetries NATIONAL MAX PLANCE

[Phys. Rev. 482 Lett. 129, 161805 \(2022\)](https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.161805)

**XENON** 



#### **WIMP Dark Matter Search**



- $\cdot$  Strongest limit for spin-independent WIMP-nucleon cross section: 2.6 × 10<sup>-47</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> at 28 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>.
- ❖ Power-constrained limit (PCL) to median sensitivity: excluding only parameter space that the detector is sensitive to.
- ❖ The convention needs to be rediscussed within the community.

**XENON** 



## **SOLAR <sup>8</sup>B CE**ν**NS**





"high" cross section for v physics but low recoil energy  $< 1.5 \text{ keV}_{\text{NR}}$ .

- ❖ Flavour-independent process, exchange of a Z boson.
- ❖ Nuclear cross−section dependence

 $\sigma$ CEvNS  $\propto$  N<sup>2</sup>  $N \equiv$  neutrons

- ❖ **Never** measured in a xenon target.
- ❖ **Never** measured from astrophysical source.

- ❖ First measured by COHERENT (2017) from Spallation Neutron Source (SNS). Science <sup>357</sup> (2017) 6356, [1123-1126](https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.aao0990)
- ❖ <sup>8</sup>B CEνNS in XENONnT: 90% of events from the range [8.9, 13.7] MeV.





#### **<sup>88</sup>YBe Low Energy Nuclear Recoil Calibration**

- ❖ First ⁸⁸YBe low-energy nuclear recoil (NR) calibration for 7 days using a external photoneutron source to get the lowenergy yields in the liquid xenon.
- ❖ Quasi-monoenergetic 152 keV neutrons are produced by photo-disintegration of  $9$ Be by the 1.84 MeV y-rays of the <sup>88</sup>Y.

 $y + {}^{9}Be \rightarrow n + {}^{8}Be$ 

❖ Challenging external calibration due to proximity to the detector threshold, high background rates, and low statistics.









### **Yield model with <sup>88</sup>YBe Calibration**

- ❖ Great agreement between data and model.
- ❖ The same data-driven simulation pipeline for accidental coincidence, the largest background source, was applied uniformly across all science searches and calibrations.





❖ The Light Yield (LY) and Charge Yield (CY) were extracted down to 0.5 keV $_{NR}$  at XENONnT electric field of 23 V/cm.

**XENON** 

 $\dots$  Yield model uncertainty leads to  $\approx$  30% signal rate uncertainty.



#### **<sup>8</sup>B CEvNS: Signal Region of Interest**





**XENON** 

#### **S1** ROI: **2** or **3 hits**

S1 hit: photon hitting the PMT and recorded by DAQ and software.

#### **S2** ROI: [**120 – 500**] **PE**

120 PE used to reject high isolated S2 background below it.





## **Lowering the Energy Threshold**



❖ Relaxed the S1 waveform shape requirement from conventional analysis:

**XENON** 

- 3-fold  $\rightarrow$  2-fold
- keeping AC background under control
- ❖ Lowered S2 threshold from conventional analysis 200 PE  $\rightarrow$  120 PE.







## **Accidental Coincidence (AC) Background**

**XENON** 



**Dominant** background close to the threshold.

- ❖ Exact physical mechanisms of isolated peaks are under investigation.
	- "isolated S1 signals": from pileup-induced single PMT hits, misclassified single electrons, …
	- "isolated S2 signals": from few-electron pileup events, notably following high-energy (HE) interactions, …
- $\triangleq$  Raw AC rate ≈ 400 per day
	- "Isolated" S1  $\approx$  15 Hz
	- "Isolated" S2  $\approx$  0.15 Hz
	- Max. drift time: 2.25 ms

14 Matteo Guida | 19th Patras Workshop on Axions WIMPs and WISPs | 17.09.2024



# **Reducing AC Background**



Signal





S2 **Time shadow** → S2/Δt

- ❖ Further suppression by 2 Boosted Decision Tree (BDT):
	- **S2 BDT**  $\rightarrow$  check that S2 pulse shape correlated with the diffusion of the drifting electron cloud law. No correlation in AC background.
	- **S1 BDT**  $\rightarrow$  leverage S1 pulse and S1 spatial distribution across the PMT arrays to discriminate signal from isolated S1 signals induced by a random pileup of PMT hits.





 $0.5$ 





### **Validation of AC: AC-Sideband Unblinding**



❖ AC model is datadriven → validation is crucial AC sideband (invert highest AC rejection power cuts)



- ❖ The S2 threshold is increased to 120 PE after sideband unblinding  $\rightarrow$  avoid mismodeling.
- ❖ The remaining differences propagate as **uncertainty** to the final likelihood:
	- SR0: 9%
	- SR1:6%







## **Discovery Potential**



- ❖ Extended binned likelihood in 4D parameter space  $3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3 = 81$  bins.
- ❖ Separate terms for SR0 & SR1. Constraints on rates and yields from ancillary measurements.
- ❖ Expected background: 26.4 events Expected signal: 11.9 events

- ❖ Likelihood analysis:
	- $2σ: 80%$
	- $\cdot$  > 3σ: 50%







#### **Unblinding Results**











Matteo Guida | 19th Patras Workshop on Axions WIMPs and WISPs | 17.09.2024



#### **XENONnT: The Smallest "Solar" Neutrino Detector**

**EXENON** 





20

#### **Physics Results**





❖ <sup>8</sup>B neutrino flux:  $(4.7^{+3.6}_{-2.3}) \times 10^6$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> at 68% C.L. no tension with literature value

- ❖ With the solar 8B neutrino flux constrained by SNO  $\sigma_{\text{CEvNS}}$  measured.
- ❖ First measurement on xenon: consistent with the SM prediction.





21

## **Summary and Outlook**



- ❖ **FIRST** detection with **blind analysis** of <sup>8</sup>B solar neutrino CEvNS at **2.73σ**.
- ❖ **FIRST** observed astrophysical v in a dark matter detector.
- ❖ **FIRST** measured CEvNS with a Xe target.
- $\cdot$  The unexplored WIMP parameter space is awaiting  $-$  stay tuned!
- ❖ Reduced ER and NR background in new data: using GXe + LXe Rn distillation and neutron veto water Gd-doping.
- ❖ Much more **blinded data** collected!









#### BACKUP SLIDES



Matteo Guida | DARWIN Collaboration Meeting | 17.05.2023



23





❖ BDT trained using simulated signal and datadriven AC background, with each feature rigorously validated between data and simulation.





Matteo Guida | 19th Patras Workshop on Axions WIMPs and WISPs | 17.09.2024





- ❖ Waveform-feature-based S1 BDT differentiates isolated S1 signals from random PMT hit clustering.
- ❖ Input features: double photo-electron emission, S1 pulse shape, S1 hit counts, PMT channel distribution of S1.

**S1 BDT**

- ❖ Trained with a data-driven sample of isolated S1 and simulated <sup>8</sup>B S1
- ❖ S1 area in the largest-contributing PMT is the most important feature due to the signal-only double photoelectron emission (DPE), where a single photon striking the PMT photocathode produces two photoelectrons with  $p \approx 0.2$ .
- ❖ Enhances signal vs. background discrimination but is significantly weaker than the S2 BDT.















❖ Light yield from 0.27 keV ER signal in <sup>37</sup>Ar SR0 calibration.

- ❖ Comparison with B8 analysis:
	- Similarity: expected signal predicts 2-3 S1 hits and dominated by AC background.
	- Difference: high statistics.
- ❖ Very good agreement: four-dimensional GOF test p-value of 0.92.











- ❖ Mostly good agreement with signal+bkg fit.
- ❖ Perform 4 x 1D goodness of fit tests (95% CL), 4 p-values with threshold: 0.0127
- $\triangleq$  Quantile of S2/ $\Delta t \rightarrow p$ -value: 0.008
- ❖ Detailed inspections of both the individual events in the dataset and the AC sideband data indicate no mismodeling.
- statistical significance of 3.22 σ.
- ❖ Excluding S2/Δt from the statistical analysis would result in a







## **Power-Constrained Limits (PCL)**



- $\clubsuit$  Standard business: report an upper limit (UL) on signal strength  $\mu$  for New Physics. Downward fluctuations and mismodeling (e.g., overestimated bkg rates) can lead to very low ULs.
- ❖ **Issue**: when the chance of rejecting a small signal hypothesis is nearly the same whether it is true or the bkgonly hypothesis is true  $\rightarrow$  lack meaningful discrimination between the signal + bkg and bkg-only hypotheses.
- ❖ **Goal**: only exclude the parameter space that the detector is sensitive to.
- $\triangleq$  **Error in White Paper** (1): mistakenly defined PCL based on discovery power (probability to reject  $\mu = 0$  if the true signal strength is  $\mu$ ) instead of rejection power (probability to reject  $\mu$  if the true signal strength is  $\mu$ ). This caused an absence of a common standard.

#### ❖ **Conventions to date**:

- LZ & PandaX-4T: rejection power which corresponds to  $-1\sigma$  of the quantile of the sensitivity band.
- XENONnT: rejection power which corresponds to median of the sensitivity band
- ❖ New recommendations are needed, intercollaboration discussion is ongoing.





28

## **Yield Uncertainty of Signal**



 $(t_{\text{iv}}, t_{\text{av}})$  two morphers of the yields: uncertainties of the emission model

LY(t<sub>ly</sub>) =  $\langle LY \rangle + t_{ly} \cdot \sigma_{LY}(\text{sign}(t_{ly}))$  $QY(t_{qv}) = \langle QY \rangle + t_{qv} \cdot \sigma_{OY}(\text{sign}(t_{qv}))$ 

with:  $t_{\rm ly} \sim N(0,1); t_{\rm qy} \sim N(0,1)$ 





#### **Solar Neutrinos**



10



 $10^{-1}$ 

Neutrino energy (MeV)



30

# **Fiducial Volume (FV)**



- ❖ Unlike WIMP, the B8 FV was not optimized based on signal and bkg predictions. It was selected to:
	- top/bottom  $\rightarrow$  no areas with limited detector modelling
	- radius  $\rightarrow$  minimize surface bkg to a negligible level.



- ❖ Events near wires are excluded from analysis due to insufficient simulation fidelity.
- ❖ S2 pulse shape varies near perpendicular wires, causing systematic errors if S2 BDT (trained on simulation) is applied.





## **Signal & Background Prediction**







#### **Components Expectation and Best-fit**





