COSMOLOGICAL BOUNDS ON THREE SCENARIOS OF AXION-LIKE PARTICLES AND CONDENSATES FROM NON-EQUILIBRIUM QFT

17th September 2024

Amedeo Maria Favitta, University of Palermo amedeomaria.favitta@unipa.it

Based on work in progress with R. Passante & L. Rizzuto

19th Patras Workshop on Axions, WIMPs and WISPs











CONTENTS



- 2 Boltzmann transport equations of ALPs particles and condensates
- 3 Summary and conclusions







We consider two methods for non-equilibrium QFT



We consider two methods for non-equilibrium QFT

1. 2PI effective action



We consider two methods for non-equilibrium QFT

- 1. 2PI effective action
- 2. Lioville-Von Neumann equation $\dot{\rho}_I = -i[H_I, \rho_I]$



We consider two methods for non-equilibrium QFT

- 1. 2PI effective action
- 2. Lioville-Von Neumann equation $\dot{\rho}_I = -i[H_I, \rho_I]$

and apply them to study the relic abundance of ALP particles and condensates, taking care of their interaction.



We consider two methods for non-equilibrium QFT

- 1. 2PI effective action
- 2. Lioville-Von Neumann equation $\dot{\rho}_I = -i[H_I, \rho_I]$

and apply them to study the relic abundance of ALP particles and condensates, taking care of their interaction.

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \Phi \,\partial^\mu \Phi - \frac{1}{2} m_\phi^2 \Phi^2 - \frac{\lambda_\phi}{4!} \Phi^4 + K_\chi + g_\chi \Phi O_\chi \right]$$



We consider two methods for non-equilibrium QFT

- 1. 2PI effective action
- 2. Lioville-Von Neumann equation $\dot{\rho}_I = -i[H_I, \rho_I]$

and apply them to study the relic abundance of ALP particles and condensates, taking care of their interaction.

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \Phi \,\partial^\mu \Phi - \frac{1}{2} m_\phi^2 \Phi^2 - \frac{\lambda_\phi}{4!} \Phi^4 + K_\chi + g_\chi \Phi O_\chi \right]$$





We take $\Phi = \varphi + \phi$ with $\varphi(x) = \langle \Phi \rangle$



We take $\Phi = \varphi + \phi$ with $\varphi(x) = \langle \Phi \rangle$ and extend the work done by Ai, WY., Beniwal, A., Maggi, A. (2024) [1] and S. Cao and D. Boyanovsky PRD 107, 063518 (2023) [2] in both the formalism and considering three ALPs models in a freeze-in scenario:



We take $\Phi = \varphi + \phi$ with $\varphi(x) = \langle \Phi \rangle$ and extend the work done by Ai, WY., Beniwal, A., Maggi, A. (2024) [1] and S. Cao and D. Boyanovsky PRD 107, 063518 (2023) [2] in both the formalism and considering three ALPs models in a freeze-in scenario:

1. Photophilic
$$\rightarrow K_{\chi} = -\frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} + K_f$$
 and $O_{\chi} = -\frac{1}{4}\tilde{F}F$



We take $\Phi = \varphi + \phi$ with $\varphi(x) = \langle \Phi \rangle$ and extend the work done by Ai, WY., Beniwal, A., Maggi, A. (2024) [1] and S. Cao and D. Boyanovsky PRD 107, 063518 (2023)[2] in both the formalism and considering three ALPs models in a freeze-in scenario:

- 1. Photophilic $\rightarrow K_{\chi} = -\frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} + K_f$ and $O_{\chi} = -\frac{1}{4}\tilde{F}F$
- 2. Photophobic $\rightarrow K_{\chi} = K_f = \sum_f \bar{\Psi}_f (i\partial \!\!\!/ m_f + q_f A\!\!\!/) \Psi$ and $O_{\chi} = \partial_{\mu} \left[\sum_f g_{aff} \bar{\Psi}_f \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 \Psi_f \right]$



We take $\Phi = \varphi + \phi$ with $\varphi(x) = \langle \Phi \rangle$ and extend the work done by Ai, WY., Beniwal, A., Maggi, A. (2024) [1] and S. Cao and D. Boyanovsky PRD 107, 063518 (2023)[2] in both the formalism and considering three ALPs models in a freeze-in scenario:

1. Photophilic $\rightarrow K_{\chi} = -\frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} + K_f$ and $O_{\chi} = -\frac{1}{4}\tilde{F}F$ 2. Photophobic $\rightarrow K_{\chi} = K_f = \sum_f \bar{\Psi}_f (i\partial \!\!\!/ - m_f + q_f A\!\!\!/)\Psi$ and $O_{\chi} = \partial_{\mu} \left[\sum_f g_{aff} \bar{\Psi}_f \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 \Psi_f\right]$ 3. QCD $\rightarrow K_{\chi} = -\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^{a\mu\nu}$ and $O_{\chi} = -\frac{1}{4}\tilde{G}G$



From the quantum EoMs of φ and Δ_{ϕ} , along with the help of the Liouville-Von Neumann equation, we get the following fully relativistic coupled Boltzmann equations:



From the quantum EoMs of φ and Δ_{ϕ} , along with the help of the Liouville-Von Neumann equation, we get the following fully relativistic coupled Boltzmann equations:

$$[k_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}k^{\mu}k^{\nu}\partial_{p^{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}M^{2}_{\phi})\partial_{k_{\mu}}]f_{\phi}(x) = \mathcal{C}[f_{\phi}, f_{\chi}]$$
$$[k_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}k^{\mu}k^{\nu}\partial_{k^{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}M^{2}_{\varphi})\partial_{k_{\mu}}]f_{\varphi}(x) = \mathcal{C}_{1}[f_{\chi}]f_{\varphi} + \mathcal{C}_{2}[f_{\chi}]f_{\varphi}n_{\varphi}$$



From the quantum EoMs of φ and Δ_{ϕ} , along with the help of the Liouville-Von Neumann equation, we get the following fully relativistic coupled Boltzmann equations:

$$[k_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}k^{\mu}k^{\nu}\partial_{p^{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}M^{2}_{\phi})\partial_{k_{\mu}}]f_{\phi}(x) = \mathcal{C}[f_{\phi}, f_{\chi}]$$
$$[k_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}k^{\mu}k^{\nu}\partial_{k^{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}M^{2}_{\varphi})\partial_{k_{\mu}}]f_{\varphi}(x) = \mathcal{C}_{1}[f_{\chi}]f_{\varphi} + \mathcal{C}_{2}[f_{\chi}]f_{\varphi}n_{\varphi}$$

Such complete equations can be useful to handle a more complex case



From the quantum EoMs of φ and Δ_{ϕ} , along with the help of the Liouville-Von Neumann equation, we get the following fully relativistic coupled Boltzmann equations:

$$[k_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}k^{\mu}k^{\nu}\partial_{p^{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}M^{2}_{\phi})\partial_{k_{\mu}}]f_{\phi}(x) = \mathcal{C}[f_{\phi}, f_{\chi}]$$
$$[k_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}k^{\mu}k^{\nu}\partial_{k^{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}M^{2}_{\varphi})\partial_{k_{\mu}}]f_{\varphi}(x) = \mathcal{C}_{1}[f_{\chi}]f_{\varphi} + \mathcal{C}_{2}[f_{\chi}]f_{\varphi}n_{\varphi}$$

Such complete equations can be useful to handle a more complex case Objective: Get the relic abundances and ΔN_{eff} ($\varphi = \varphi(t)$).











BOLTZMANN TRANSPORT EQUATIONS OF ALPS AND CONDENSATES



• We get for each model *i* the following Boltzmann equations:



• We get for each model *i* the following Boltzmann equations:

$$\frac{df}{dt} - H k \frac{df}{dk} + M(t)\dot{\tilde{M}}\frac{df}{dk} = \mathcal{C}[f]$$
$$\ddot{A} + (\gamma_i + \frac{3}{2}H + \frac{\dot{M}}{2M})\dot{A} + \sigma_i A^3 = 0$$



• We get for each model *i* the following Boltzmann equations:

$$\frac{df}{dt} - H k \frac{df}{dk} + M(t)\dot{\tilde{M}}\frac{df}{dk} = \mathcal{C}[f]$$
$$\ddot{A} + (\gamma_i + \frac{3}{2}H + \frac{\dot{M}}{2M})\dot{A} + \sigma_i A^3 = 0$$

where $\varphi(t) = A(t) \cos(\int M(t) dt)$ and γ_i and σ_i are coefficients relative to collision operators involving processes with 1 and 2 condensate quanta respectively.



• We get for each model *i* the following Boltzmann equations:

$$\frac{df}{dt} - H k \frac{df}{dk} + M(t)\dot{\tilde{M}}\frac{df}{dk} = \mathcal{C}[f]$$
$$\ddot{A} + (\gamma_i + \frac{3}{2}H + \frac{\dot{M}}{2M})\dot{A} + \sigma_i A^3 = 0$$

where $\varphi(t) = A(t) \cos(\int M(t) dt)$ and γ_i and σ_i are coefficients relative to collision operators involving processes with 1 and 2 condensate quanta respectively.

• We are solving them numerically by MicrOMEGAs.



• As one could have expected from qualitative arguments, the presence of the condensate is not significant for QCD ALPs $\rightarrow m_a^2 \sim m_{a0}^2 + c_g^2 m_{QCD}^2$



• As one could have expected from qualitative arguments, the presence of the condensate is not significant for QCD ALPs $\rightarrow m_a^2 \sim m_{a0}^2 + c_g^2 m_{QCD}^2$ No relevant changes to former supernova constraints Lella ,A. , Ravensburg, E. , Carenza, P. & Marsh , M.C.[3]



- As one could have expected from qualitative arguments, the presence of the condensate is not significant for QCD ALPs $\rightarrow m_a^2 \sim m_{a0}^2 + c_g^2 m_{QCD}^2$ No relevant changes to former supernova constraints Lella ,A. , Ravensburg, E. , Carenza, P. & Marsh , M.C.[3]
- However, it is relevant for the photophilic and photophobic scenarios and brings a slight overproduction of the thermal population.



- As one could have expected from qualitative arguments, the presence of the condensate is not significant for QCD ALPs $\rightarrow m_a^2 \sim m_{a0}^2 + c_g^2 m_{QCD}^2$ No relevant changes to former supernova constraints Lella ,A. , Ravensburg, E. , Carenza, P. & Marsh , M.C.[3]
- However, it is relevant for the photophilic and photophobic scenarios and brings a slight overproduction of the thermal population. Photophilic case: Fixing $\theta_i^2 = \frac{2\pi}{3} \times 10^{-6}$, $g_{a\gamma\gamma} \sim 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ and $m_a \sim 100 \text{ MeV}$



- As one could have expected from qualitative arguments, the presence of the condensate is not significant for QCD ALPs $\rightarrow m_a^2 \sim m_{a0}^2 + c_g^2 m_{QCD}^2$ No relevant changes to former supernova constraints Lella ,A. , Ravensburg, E. , Carenza, P. & Marsh , M.C.[3]
- However, it is relevant for the photophilic and photophobic scenarios and brings a slight overproduction of the thermal population. Photophilic case: Fixing $\theta_i^2 = \frac{2\pi}{3} \times 10^{-6}$, $g_{a\gamma\gamma} \sim 10^{-11} \ GeV^{-1}$ and $m_a \sim 100 \ MeV$ we get $\zeta_{\phi} \sim 4 \times 10^{-2}$, while with neglecting condensate $\zeta_{\phi} \sim 10^{-2}$



- As one could have expected from qualitative arguments, the presence of the condensate is not significant for QCD ALPs $\rightarrow m_a^2 \sim m_{a0}^2 + c_g^2 m_{QCD}^2$ No relevant changes to former supernova constraints Lella ,A., Ravensburg, E., Carenza, P. & Marsh, M.C.[3]
- However, it is relevant for the photophilic and photophobic scenarios and brings a slight overproduction of the thermal population. Photophilic case: Fixing $\theta_i^2 = \frac{2\pi}{3} \times 10^{-6}$, $g_{a\gamma\gamma} \sim 10^{-11} \ GeV^{-1}$ and $m_a \sim 100 \ MeV$ we get $\zeta_{\phi} \sim 4 \times 10^{-2}$, while with neglecting condensate $\zeta_{\phi} \sim 10^{-2}$ (this last is the same result obtained in the recent preprint Jain, M., Maggi, A., Ai, W. Y., & Marsh, D. J. (2024) [4])





1. We have developed a full formalism for studying the evolution equation of the ALP distribution function, for both the "particle" and the "condensate" components.



- 1. We have developed a full formalism for studying the evolution equation of the ALP distribution function, for both the "particle" and the "condensate" components.
- 2. Solving the equation for three ALPs scenarios.



- 1. We have developed a full formalism for studying the evolution equation of the ALP distribution function, for both the "particle" and the "condensate" components.
- 2. Solving the equation for three ALPs scenarios.
- 3. Up to now, we have only got results with a misalignment angle $\psi = \psi(t)$.



- 1. We have developed a full formalism for studying the evolution equation of the ALP distribution function, for both the "particle" and the "condensate" components.
- 2. Solving the equation for three ALPs scenarios.
- 3. Up to now, we have only got results with a misalignment angle $\psi = \psi(t)$. Axion and Peccei-Quinn field potentials are non-linear, so axion topological defects should be taken in account.



- 1. We have developed a full formalism for studying the evolution equation of the ALP distribution function, for both the "particle" and the "condensate" components.
- 2. Solving the equation for three ALPs scenarios.
- 3. Up to now, we have only got results with a misalignment angle $\psi = \psi(t)$. Axion and Peccei-Quinn field potentials are non-linear, so axion topological defects should be taken in account.
- 4. Adopt our formalism to study the distribution function of the topological defects of QCD axion and use it as an alternative method to (numerically) evaluate the axion spectrum and its spectral index q.





- Wen-Yuan Ai, Ankit Beniwal, Angelo Maggi, and David JE Marsh. From qft to boltzmann: freeze-in in the presence of oscillating condensates. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2024(2):1–37, 2024.
- Shuyang Cao and Daniel Boyanovsky. Nonequilibrium dynamics of axionlike particles: The quantum master equation. Physical Review D, 107(6):063518, 2023.
- Alessandro Lella, Eike Ravensburg, Pierluca Carenza, and M. C. David Marsh.
 Supernova limits on qcd axionlike particles.
 Phys. Rev. D, 110:043019, Aug 2024.
- Mudit Jain, Angelo Maggi, Wen-Yuan Ai, and David JE Marsh.

New insights into axion freeze-in.

XIV UNENERSERXIV:2406:01678, 202





Thanks for your attention!







ADDITIONAL SLIDES



SECOND CASE: PHOTOPHOBIC ALPS

$$\Gamma_{2PI}[\psi, \Delta_{\phi}, \Delta_{\gamma}] = S[\psi] + i/2 \operatorname{Tr} \ln \Delta_{\phi}^{-1} + i/2 \operatorname{Tr} \ln \Delta_{f}^{-1} + i/2 \operatorname{Tr}[G_{\phi}^{-1}\Delta_{\phi}] + i/2 \operatorname{Tr}[G_{f}^{-1}\Delta_{f}]$$
(1)

where we have explicitly

$$\Delta_{f,mn} = \langle \bar{\Psi}_m(x)\Psi_n(y)\rangle \tag{2}$$

$$G_{f,mn}^{ab,-1} = ic^{ab}(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m_f - g_{aff}\partial_{\mu}\psi\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5)$$
(3)



Precisely, we get from both the two methods the following quantum EoMs for $\varphi(x) = \langle \phi \rangle$ and $\Delta_{\phi}(x, y) = \langle T\phi(x)\phi(y) \rangle$:

$$-(\Box + \tilde{m}_{\phi}^{2})\Delta^{ab}(x_{1}, x_{2}) - \sum_{c} c \int d^{4}x_{3}\Pi_{\phi}^{ac}(x_{1}, x_{3})\Delta^{cb}(x_{3}, x_{2}) = ic^{ab}\delta(x_{1} - x_{2}) \quad (4)$$
$$(\Box + \tilde{m}_{\phi}^{2})\varphi + \frac{\lambda_{\phi}}{2}\Delta_{\phi}^{++}(x, x)\psi - \frac{\delta\Gamma_{2}}{\delta\phi^{+}}|_{\phi^{+}=\phi^{-}=\psi} + g_{\chi}\Delta_{\chi,\tilde{F}F} = 0 \quad (5)$$

With both methods, we assume the SM particles in our models are at thermal equilibrium.









FIG. 6. Γ_2 for the photophilic case with the relevant 2PI diagrams

