based on upcoming work with F. Carta, N. Gendler, M. Jain, D. Marsh, L. McAllister, N. Righi, K. Rogers and E. Sheridan



2nd General Meeting in Instanbul, Turkey COST Action COSMIC WISPers (CA21106) September 05, 2024

A Fuzzy Axiverse from

String Theory

Andreas Schachner







For these models, we ...

- ... compute the DM abundance of axions from vacuum realignment,
- ... find extra dark vector fields (=dark radiation?).

Upshot

We find explicit string theory models for dark matter (DM) with multiple fuzzy axions and the QCD axion.

• ... investigate the required T_{reh} and SUSY breaking scale to avoid DM overabundance, and







Outline









Outline







Introduction and Motivation

The String Theory Landscape

MOTIVATION: WHICH BSM PHYSICS DOES STRING THEORY PREDICT?

String theory predicts extra dimensions which need to be compactified to describe a four-dimensional universe at low energies. X_D

Generic features:

- Different compact manifolds lead to varying physics at low energies (spectra, scales, cosmological evolutions, ...).
- Additional light scalar fields associated with compact geometry (=**moduli**).
- Plethora of axion-like particles (ALPs) from higher-dimensional gauge fields.

The **string landscape** is the space of all such 4D EFTs from string theory.

Question:

What can we say about models of **axion dark matter** in these theories?





Typical string compactifications contain $\mathcal{O}(100)$ axion-like particles ϕ^a with a rich phenomenology [Arvanitaki et al. 0905.4720] \rightarrow String Axiverse The general Lagrangian in string compactifications

naturally contains axionic couplings to

Introduction and Motivation

The String Axiverse

See also talk by J. Leedom, A. Westphal

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} K_{ab}(\partial_{\mu}\phi^{a})(\partial^{\mu}\phi^{b}) - V(\phi) - g_{a\gamma\gamma}\phi^{a}\frac{\alpha}{4} F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + \dots$$

moduli parametrising the compact geometry,

• SM degrees of freedom like photons or gluons, and

• other hidden sectors.











Outline





We will focus on the Kreuzer-Skarke (KS) Axiverse [Demirtas et al. <u>1808.01282</u>] for C_4 -axions as a corner of the **Type IIB Axiverse** [Cicoli et al. <u>1206.0819</u>]. That is, we will work with CY_3 hypersurfaces X in toric varieties V obtained from triangulations of 4D polytopes Δ .



In this KS axiverse, previous works studied e.g.

- BH superradiance [Mehta et al. 2011.08693, 2103.06812]
- PQ quality problem [Demirtas et al. 2112.04503]
- Axion-photon couplings [Gendler et al. 2309.13145]

Setup and Geometries



Demirtas, Rios-Tascon, McAllister <u>2211.03823</u>



473,800,776 reflexive polytopes in 4D Kreuzer, Skarke (KS) [hep-th/0002240]







The Type IIB Axiverse

In the 4D EFT, the (F-term) scalar potential for the Kähler moduli $T^a = \tau^a + i \phi^a$, $a = 1, ..., h^{1,1}$, is of the form

$$V(\tau^{a},\phi^{a}) = V(\tau^{a}) + \sum_{I} \Lambda_{I}^{4}(\tau^{a}) \cos\left(-2\pi \mathcal{Q}_{b}^{I}\phi^{b} + \delta^{I}\right) + \dots, \quad \Lambda_{I}^{4} \sim m_{3/2} \mathcal{Q}_{b}^{I}\tau^{b} \exp\left(-2\pi \mathcal{Q}_{b}^{I}\tau^{b}\right), \quad m_{3/2} \sim \frac{W_{0}}{\mathcal{V}^{2}}$$

The masses m_a and decay constants f_a for axions **depend on values of moduli** τ^a

$$f_a \sim \frac{1}{\tau^a}$$
 ,

Exponential suppression of m_a^2 naturally leads to **ultra-light ALP** in the regime $\tau^a \gg 1$.

The SM sector can e.g. be realised on wrapped branes with $T^{QCD} = \tau^{QCD} + i \phi^{QCD}$ where

- ϕ^{QCD} is the **QCD** axion, and
- τ^{QCD} sets the **QCD gauge coupling** in the UV (see talk by J. Leedom)

$$g_{QCD}^2 \sim \frac{1}{\tau^{QCD}} \Rightarrow \tau^{QCD} \approx 40 \text{ s.t. } \alpha_s(M)$$

See also talk by J. Leedom, A. Westphal

$$m_a^2 \sim m_{3/2} \, \tau^a \, \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi\tau^a}}{f_a^2} \, .$$

 $(l_Z^2) \approx 0.118$.









The Type IIB Axiverse

In the 4D EFT, the (F-term) scalar potential for the Kähler moduli $T^a = \tau^a + i \phi^a$, $a = 1, ..., h^{1,1}$, is of the form

$$V(\tau^{a},\phi^{a}) = V(\tau^{a}) + \sum_{I} \Lambda_{I}^{4}(\tau^{a}) \cos\left(-2\pi \mathcal{Q}_{b}^{I} \phi^{b} + \delta^{I}\right) + \dots, \quad \Lambda_{I}^{4} \sim m_{3/2} \mathcal{Q}_{b}^{I} \tau^{b} \exp\left(-2\pi \mathcal{Q}_{b}^{I} \tau^{b}\right), \quad m_{3/2} \sim \frac{W_{0}}{\mathcal{V}^{2}}$$

The masses m_a and decay constants f_a for axions **depend on values of moduli** τ^a

$$f_a \sim \frac{1}{\tau^a}$$
,

Exponential suppression of m_a^2 naturally leads to **ultra-light ALP** in the regime $\tau^a \gg 1$.

The SM sector can e.g. be realised on wrapped branes with $T^{QCD} = \tau^{QCD} + i \phi^{QCD}$ where

- ϕ^{QCD} is the **QCD** axion, and
- τ^{QCD} sets the **QCD gauge coupling** in the UV (see talk by J. Leedom)

$$g^2_{QCD} \sim \frac{1}{\tau^{QCD}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau^{QCD} \approx 40 \text{ s.t. } \alpha_s(M)$$

See also talk by J. Leedom, A. Westphal

$$m_a^2 \sim m_{3/2} \, \tau^a \, \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi\tau^a}}{f_a^2} \, .$$

 ℓ_Z^2 ≈ 0.118 .









From Polytopes to Axions — Summary



Figure credit: N. Gendler









Outline





Our ensemble is then generated as follows:

Related work: Fuzzy DM + moduli stabilisation [Cicoli et al. <u>2110.02964</u>]

Stringy assumptions

See also talk by A. Westphal

We construct all CY orientifolds in KS with $2 \le h^{1,1} \le 7$ using [Moritz <u>2305.06363</u>] with $h_{-}^{1,1} = 0$ (i.e., only C_4 -axions).

- A. sample $\tau^a \geq 1$ for computational control,
- B. assume moduli can be stabilised by perturbative effects,
- C. need a divisor with volume close to 40 hosting QCD,
- D. require $m_a \sim 10^{-19} \,\mathrm{eV}$ for at least one axion for large DM abundance,
- E. heaviest axion has mass below KK-scale.
- Throughout this talk, we refer to axions with $m_a \lesssim 10^{-18} \, \mathrm{eV}$ as **fuzzy axions**.







Stringy assumptions

See also talk by A. Westphal

- We construct all CY orientifolds in KS with $2 \le h^{1,1} \le 7$ using [Moritz <u>2305.06363</u>] with $h_{-}^{1,1} = 0$ (i.e., only C_4 -axions).
- Our ensemble is then generated as follows:
 - A. sample $\tau^a \geq 1$ for computational control,
 - B. assume moduli can be stabilised by perturbative effects,
 - C. need a divisor with volume close to 40 hosting QCD,
 - D. require $m_a \sim 10^{-19} \,\mathrm{eV}$ for at least one axion for large DM abundance,
 - E. heaviest axion has mass below KK-scale.
- Throughout this talk, we refer to axions with $m_a \lesssim 10^{-18} \, \mathrm{eV}$ as **fuzzy axions**.
- **Related work:** Fuzzy DM + moduli stabilisation [Cicoli et al. <u>2110.02964</u>]







Stringy assumptions

See also talk by A. Westphal

- We construct all CY orientifolds in KS with $2 \le h^{1,1} \le 7$ using [Moritz <u>2305.06363</u>] with $h_{-}^{1,1} = 0$ (i.e., only C_4 -axions).
- Our ensemble is then generated as follows:
 - A. sample $\tau^a \geq 1$ for computational control,
 - B. assume moduli can be stabilised by perturbative effects,
 - C. need a divisor with volume close to 40 hosting QCD,
 - D. require $m_a \sim 10^{-19} \,\mathrm{eV}$ for at least one axion for large DM abundance, E. heaviest axion has mass below KK-scale.
- Throughout this talk, we refer to axions with $m_a \lesssim 10^{-18} \, {\rm eV}$ as **fuzzy axions**.
- **Related work:** Fuzzy DM + moduli stabilisation [Cicoli et al. 2110.02964]







Cosmology assumptions

Vacuum realignment mechanism for DM production: see e.g. [Marsh <u>1510.07633</u>, O'Hare <u>2403.17697</u>].

We assume inflation is described by 4D EFT with axions ϕ^a in the pre-inflation regime with initial misalignment angle θ_a .

We compute the abundance assuming

- standard phases of radiation, matter, and c.c. domination,
- a possibly unknown pre-heating phase after inflation, and
- that moduli are much heavier than the axions.
- E.g. the relic density for axions with $10^{-28} \text{ eV} \leq m_a \leq 10^{-15} \text{ eV}$ is

To solve overabundance problem, we can 1) tune θ_a , 2) couple axions to e.g. photons, 3) lower H_I or modify cosmology.



 $\Omega_a h^2 \approx 0.12 \,\theta_a^2 \left(\frac{m_a}{4.8 \cdot 10^{-17} \,\mathrm{eV}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{f_a}{10^{15.5} \,\mathrm{GeV}}\right)$





Cosmology assumptions

Vacuum realignment mechanism for DM production: see e.g. [Marsh <u>1510.07633</u>, O'Hare <u>2403.17697</u>].

We assume inflation is described by 4D EFT with axions ϕ^a in the pre-inflation regime with initial misalignment angle θ_a .

We compute the abundance assuming

- standard phases of radiation, matter, and c.c. domination,
- a possibly unknown pre-heating phase after inflation, and
- that moduli are much heavier than the axions.

E.g. the relic density for axions with $10^{-28} \text{ eV} \leq m_a \leq 10^{-15} \text{ eV}$ is $\Omega_a h^2 \approx 0.12 \,\theta_a^2 \left(\frac{m_a}{4.8 \cdot 10^{-12}}\right)$

To solve overabundance problem, we can 1) tune θ_a , 2) couple axions to e.g. photons, 3) lower H_I or modify cosmology.



 $\Omega_a h^2 \approx 0.12 \, \theta_a^2 \left(\frac{m_a}{4.8 \cdot 10^{-17} \,\mathrm{eV}} \right) \left(\frac{J_a}{10^{15.5} \,\mathrm{GeV}} \right)$



Cosmology assumptions

Vacuum realignment mechanism for DM production: see e.g. [Marsh <u>1510.07633</u>, O'Hare <u>2403.17697</u>].

We assume inflation is described by 4D EFT with axions ϕ^a in the pre-inflation regime with initial misalignment angle θ_a .

We compute the abundance assuming

- standard phases of radiation, matter, and c.c. domination,
- a possibly unknown pre-heating phase after inflation, and
- that moduli are much heavier than the axions.
- E.g. the relic density for axions with $10^{-28} \,\mathrm{eV} \lesssim m_a \lesssim 10^{-15} \,\mathrm{eV}$ is

$$\Omega_a h^2 \approx 0.12 \,\theta_a^2 \left(\frac{m_a}{4.8 \cdot 10^{-17} \,\mathrm{eV}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{f_a}{10^{15.5} \,\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^2$$

To solve overabundance problem, we can 1) tune θ_a , 2) couple axions to e.g. photons, 3) lower H_I or modify cosmology.







Outline





Our model contains two axions for which we compute m_a , f_a at $(t_1, t_2) \approx (16.96, 2.74) \in \mathscr{K}(X)$.

We find that at this point in $\mathscr{K}(X)$

 $\log_{10}(m_{OCD}/eV) = -8.74$, $\log_{10}(f_{OCD}/GeV) = 15.49$,

 $\log_{10}(m_{fuzzy}/\text{eV}) = -19.23$, $\log_{10}(f_{fuzzy}/\text{GeV}) = 16.07$.

The misalignment abundance for the fuzzy axion is

$$\frac{\Omega_{fuzzy}}{\Omega_{DM}} \approx 0.458 \,.$$

For the QCD axion to account for remaining DM, we need $\theta_{OCD} \approx 0.0079$.

Simple example — $h^{1,1} = 2$



Moduli values are given by $2\tau^a = \kappa^{ajk} t_j t_k$ for some topological numbers $\kappa^{ajk} \in \mathbb{Z}$.





Our model contains two axions for which we compute m_a , f_a at $(t_1, t_2) \approx (16.96, 2.74) \in \mathscr{K}(X).$

We find that at this point in $\mathscr{K}(X)$

$$\log_{10}(m_{QCD}/\text{eV}) = -8.74$$
, $\log_{10}(f_{QCD}/\text{GeV}) = 1$.

 $\log_{10}(m_{fuzzy}/\text{eV}) = -19.23$, $\log_{10}(f_{fuzzy}/\text{GeV}) = 16.07$.

The misalignment abundance for the fuzzy axion is

$$\frac{\Omega_{fuzzy}}{\Omega_{DM}} \approx 0.458 \, .$$

For the QCD axion to account for remaining DM, we need

$$\theta_{QCD} \approx 0.0079$$
 .

Simple example — $h^{1,1} = 2$



Moduli values are given by $2\tau^a = \kappa^{ajk} t_j t_k$ for some topological numbers $\kappa^{ajk} \in \mathbb{Z}$.



This model contains $h^{1,1} = 7$ axions which at one point in $\mathscr{K}(X)$ have $\log_{10}(m_{QCD}/\text{eV}) = -8.91$, $\log_{10}(f_{QCD}/\text{GeV}) = 15.67$, $\log_{10}(m_{fuzzy}/\text{eV}) = -19.43$, $\log_{10}(f_{fuzzy}/\text{GeV}) = 16.29$, plus five heavier axions with

$$\log_{10}(m_a/\text{eV}) = (22.21, 8.50, 7.42, 1.13, -3.43),$$

$$\log_{10}(f/\text{GeV}) = (15.69, 15.64, 15.52, 15.51, 15.45)$$

To dilute these axions through entropy production, we need to have

$$T_{reh} \le 2.99 \cdot 10^{11} \,\mathrm{eV}.$$

The misalignment abundance for $\theta_a = 1$ for the fuzzy axion is

$$\frac{\Omega_{fuzzy}}{\Omega_{DM}} \approx 1 \,.$$

Best abundance model — $h^{1,1} = 7$



point in Kähler cone $\mathscr{K}(X)$ along a given ray.

Tuning of θ_a and anthropics [Kaloper, Westphal: 2404.02993]

This model contains $h^{1,1} = 7$ axions which at one point in $\mathscr{K}(X)$ have $\log_{10}(m_{QCD}/\text{eV}) = -8.91$, $\log_{10}(f_{QCD}/\text{GeV}) = 15.67$, $\log_{10}(m_{fuzzy}/\text{eV}) = -19.43$, $\log_{10}(f_{fuzzy}/\text{GeV}) = 16.29$, plus five heavier axions with

$$\log_{10}(m_a/\text{eV}) = (22.21, 8.50, 7.42, 1.13, -3.43),$$

$$\log_{10}(f/\text{GeV}) = (15.69, 15.64, 15.52, 15.51, 15.45)$$

To dilute these axions through entropy production, we need to have

$$T_{reh} \le 2.99 \cdot 10^{11} \,\mathrm{eV}.$$

The misalignment abundance for $\theta_a = 1$ for the fuzzy axion is

$$\frac{\Omega_{fuzzy}}{\Omega_{DM}} \approx 1 \,.$$

Best abundance model — $h^{1,1} = 7$



DM abundance from varying the misalignment angle and the point in Kähler cone $\mathscr{K}(X)$ along a given ray.

Tuning of θ_a and anthropics [Kaloper, Westphal: <u>2404.02993</u>]



Future explorations of the Axiverse

Pipeline for sampling stringy axion models



We used optimisation methods from scipy.optimize, jax, optax to obtain models with e.g. large DM abundance. In the future, develop pipeline to sample models more efficiently.



Genetic Algorithms (GAs) for polytope triangulations

We were able to perform optimisation across different compact geometries.

Can GAs be used to explore the axiverse globally?





Conclusions

We uncovered regimes for fuzzy DM from C_4 -axions in explicit Type IIB compactifications.

<u>Summary:</u>

- Systematic scan over Calabi-Yau orientifolds with $2 \le h^{1,1} \le 7$.
- Resulting DM is a mix of (multiple) fuzzy axions, the QCD axion, and potentially heavier axions.
- Fine tuning of initial displacements is necessary to avoid DM overproduction.

Open issues and future directions:

- 1. Combine with moduli stabilisation in explicit setups (see [Cicoli et al. 2110.02964] for initial attempts)
- 2. Make construction of SM sector more explicit (F-theory, Branes at singularities [Cicoli, AS et al. 2106.11964], ...)
- 3. Study different axionic sectors (C_2/B_2 -axions [Cicoli, Shukla, AS: 2109.14624], open-string axions, ...)

Main takeaway:









Backup slides



 $\int_{X} F_5 \wedge \star_{10} F_5 \supset \mathrm{d}\phi^a \wedge \star_4 \mathrm{d}\phi_a + \mathrm{d}A^I \wedge \star_4 \mathrm{d}A_I$

These dark vector fields might contribute to dark radiation.



Dark vector fields

Around 98% of our orientifolds contain extra vector multiplets counted by $h_{+}^{1,2} \neq 0$





Fuzzy abundance for different $h^{1,1}$









Fuzzy abundance for different $h^{1,1}$









PQ quality problem solutions





Cosmological Scenarios







Cosmological Scenarios

The abundance of an axion that starts rolling in any pre-heating w phase is

$$\Omega_a \Big|_{w} \sim \left(\frac{\theta_a}{2.4 \times 10^{-2}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{3H_{reh}}{4.45 \times 10^{-10} \,\mathrm{eV}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{f_a}{10^{15.5} \,\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{m_a}{3H_{reh}}\right)^{\frac{2w}{1+w}}$$

universe is that $w \leq 0$. We consider two scenarios:

the QCD axion. These heavy axions are in a $w \approx -1$ pre-heating phase, thereby inflating them away.

the product $\int \theta_a$. This will pull Hubble at reheating closer to the QCD axion mass.

Without tuning of the initial misalignment angles θ_a , a necessary requirement to keep heavier axions from overclosing the

Scenario 1: Prompt reheating: push reheating temperature as high as possible without tuning of θ_a for the axions heavier than the QCD axion, by having the inflationary Hubble scale H_I just below the mass of the lightest axion above

Scenario 2: Modulus (matter) domination: This is the borderline w = 0 pre-heating phase. Imposing a prior constraint on how much tuning one tolerates, dictates the reheating temperature. We use as tuning measure an upper bound on



