## CA21106 General Meeting, Istanbul, 04/09/2024

# Boson Cloud Atlas: **Direct Observation of** Superradiance Clouds

## Alessandro Lenoci

**Based** on Majed Khalaf, Eric Kuflik, AL, Nicholas C. Stone 2408.16051

alessandro.lenoci@mail.huji.ac.il

### האוניברסיטה העברית בירושלים THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM



# Dark mass around dark objects

BHs are dark but we can "see" them via: X-ray emission from accretion disks, lensing, star motion, GWs ...

Kerr BHs spacetimes are characterized by...

- mass M
- spin  $J = \chi G M^2$

But what if some other extended dark mass is there around BH? DM Spikes, clouds...



# Luminous vs Dynamical

## Luminous and dynamical mass measurements of the Coma cluster hinted at Dark Matter



Two measurements to find dark mass

2408.16051





## (Zwicky 1933)

# **Goal of the talk:** Spin measurements of the same BH with two EM techniques can reveal dark mass around the BH

2408.16051





# Measuring BH spins in accreting systems Weighing a dark cloud **Dark mass around BHs: superradiance** Weighing a boson cloud

2408.16051

## Outline



# Measuring BH spins in accreting systems

## E.g. low mass X-ray binary (LXRB, old system)

 $M \sim 10 M_{\odot}$ 

BH

**Inner Accretion Flow** (X-ray/Gamma-ray)

X-ray Heating donor star (Optical/UV)  $M_{\rm donor} \sim 5 M_{\odot}$ Stream & Stream-impact (Optical/UV)

**Outer Accretion Disk** (Optical/UV)

Jet (Radio/IR)

2408.16051

**Companion Star** (Optical/IR)

 $R \sim 10^{6-8} R_{\sigma}$ 



# Spin and the ISCO (Kerr BH)



Spin  $\chi$ 

2408.16051







2408.16051

\* Geometrically thin, optically thick disk, valid for systems accreting at  $L \sim (0.01 - 0.3) L_{edd}$ 

[McClintok+, 1303.1583] **Dashed lines: MHD simulations** [Zhu+, 2012]



# Method 1: Continuum fitting (CF)



2408.16051

**Total CF model Thermal component Compton component** No interstellar absorption

## **External parameters:** M BH mass D distance to source *i* disk inclination to us

[McClintok+, 1303.1583]

100



# Method 2: X-ray reflection

### **Thermal component**



2408.16051



# Method (2): X-ray reflection (iron Ka line)



2408.16051





- Measures the <u>physical</u>  $R_{\rm ISCO} = GMf(\chi)$
- Needs a measure of BH mass to infer spin \*\*
- Needs external measurements of D,  $\iota$
- **Reliable and understood**
- Non-equatorial disk? Sub-ISCO emission?
- Applied only to XRB so far:  $M \sim 10 M_{\odot}$

### \*\* M measured dynamically (enclosed mass),

### e.g. via Kepler's 3rd law with donor star

# Iron Ka

- Measures the <u>dimensionless</u>  $\frac{R_{\rm ISCO}}{R_{\rm g}} = f(\chi)$
- **Infers spin directly**
- **Geometry treated as nuisance/sanity check**
- **Uncertainties on geometry/plunging region**
- **Non-equatorial disk? Sub-ISCO reflection?**
- **Applicable to wide range of BHs: XRB & AGN**





# Weighing (fractional) dark mass



2408.16051





# Weighing (fractional) dark mass

## $M_{\rm dyn} = M + M_d$

## $R_{\rm ISCO} = (M + M_d)f(\chi_{\rm CF})$ $= Mf(\chi_{K\alpha})$



2408.16051





# Weighing (fractional) dark mass

## $M_{\rm dyn} = M + M_d$

## $R_{\rm ISCO} = (M + M_d)f(\chi_{\rm CF})$ $= Mf(\chi_{K\alpha})$

 $\int f(\chi_{K\alpha})$  $M_d$  $f(\chi_{\rm CF})$ M

2408.16051





### Dark mass only if

 $\chi_{K\alpha} < \chi_{CF}$ 



### **Example inspired by 4U 1543-475 XRB**

 $\zeta = \frac{M_d}{M} = \frac{f(\chi_{K\alpha})}{f(\chi_{CF})} - \frac{f(\chi_{K\alpha})}{f(\chi_{CF})}$ 



[Khalaf, Kuflik, AL, Stone 2408.16051]

2408.16051



 $\chi_{
m Klpha}$ 

 $\chi_{
m CF}$ 





 $\alpha \equiv \mu I$ 

## we use the non-relativistic approximation, $\alpha < 1$

2408.16051

Consider an ultralight scalar field of mass  $\mu$ 

$$\sim R_{\rm g} = GM$$

### fine structure constant

$$R_g \sim \mathcal{O}(0.1)$$



## Far away from the BH, the field eq. of motion is the Schrödinger eq.



## bound solutions are the hydrogen-like eigenstates

ntm

2408.16051

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\nabla^2}{2\mu} - \frac{\alpha}{r} \end{bmatrix} \psi$$

$$\sum_{c} c_{n\ell} \psi_{n\ell} \psi_{n\ell}$$



$$\omega_{n\ell m} = E_{n\ell m} + i\Gamma_{n\ell m}$$

 $0 < \omega <$ 

2408.16051

But a BH is not a proton! Ingoing boundary conditions at the horizon impose

## instability rate

## $\Gamma_{n\ell m} < 0$ usually but if

$$\leq m\Omega_+(\alpha,\chi)$$

## **Outer horizon** angular velocity

## Then we have superradiance (SR), i.e. $\Gamma_{n\ell m} > 0$ and a boson cloud forms at the expense of the BH mass and spin





## The larger SR rate happens for $|n\ell m\rangle = |m + 1, m, m\rangle$





2408.16051

- SR stops when "saturation" is reached  $\omega = m\Omega_+(\alpha, \chi)$

 $M_c \lesssim 0.1M$ 



**boson cloud** 







## Cloud peak $n^2/\alpha^2 \times R_g$

2408.16051

# **Geometry BH+cloud+donor+accretion disk**

## accretion disk **boson cloud**

**ISCO** few  $\times R_g$ 

## $\bigcup D$ **Donor** > $10^{\circ}R_{g}$



# **Cloud evolution for different boson masses**



**boson cloud fractional mass** 

 $\mathcal{E} = \frac{M_c}{m_c} = \frac{\mu}{m_c}$ 

2408.16051





## Solar mass BH. Depending on $M_{donor}$ :

 $\mu/eV$ 

 $\checkmark r$ 

 $_{\chi}^{
m 
ho}$ 

error

spin

-12

0.04

0.02

0.00

 $10^{-1}$ 

 $10^{-2}$ 

 $10^{-10}$ 

 $10^{-10}$ 

LXRB: old HXRB: young

### [Khalaf, Kuflik, AL, Stone 2408.16051]

2408.16051



## Massive BH (IMBH), old or young

### [Khalaf, Kuflik, AL, Stone 2408.16051]

2408.16051





# Conclusions

- be used to infer dark mass  $M_d \gtrsim \text{few} \times 0.01M$
- Scenario motivated in BH superradiance, depending on: BH mass, boson mass and age of the system
- Complementary exclusion limits for clouds around XRB  $M \sim 10 M_{\odot}$
- Massive BH (IMBHs?) may open a <u>direct discovery channel</u>

In the future, spin measurements through CF and iron Ka/reflection might





# Conclusions

- be used to infer dark mass  $M_d \gtrsim \text{few} \times 0.01M$
- Scenario motivated in BH superradiance, depending on: BH mass, boson mass and age of the system
- Complementary exclusion limits for clouds around XRB  $M \sim 10 M_{\odot}$
- Massive BH (IMBHs?) may open a <u>direct discovery channel</u>

# & Outlook

- Bosons self-interactions? Vector bosons? Accretion-fueled SR?
- Other motivated scenarios? DM spikes? 2408.16051

In the future, spin measurements through CF and iron Ka/reflection might





# Thank you!

## alessandro.lenoci@mail.huji.ac.il

2408.16051



# Backup

System	Age [Gyr]	$\operatorname{Ref}$	$  \chi K \alpha$	ι [d
LMC X-1	0.005	[70]	$0.97\substack{+0.02 \\ -0.25}$	fix
4U 1543-475	0.1 - 0.5	[73]	$0.67^{+0.15}_{-0.08}$	36.3
XTE J1550-564	4.0 - 13.5	[73]	$0.55\substack{+0.15 \\ -0.22}$	75 -
GRO J1655-40	0.2 - 0.6	[73]	> 0.9	$30^{-1}$
GRS1915+105	0.1 - 0.9	[73]	$0.976_{-0.021}$	67.1

<sup>a</sup> However, it has been argued in [78] that  $D \lesssim 2$  kpc, driving  $\chi_{\rm CF} \sim 0.91$ , in agreement with the K $\alpha$  method.

### To have a look at the errors...

2408.16051





# Backup



2408.16051

