


WLCG Data Challenge 24

JENNIFER2 Workshop
2024-02-22


Christoph Wissing (DESY), Mario Lassnig (CERN)



Introduction: LHC & 4 Main Experiments



Introduction: LHC & High Lumi LHC



HL-LHC TECHNICAL EQUIPMENT:




HL-LHC CIVIL ENGINEERING:



Figure adopted from:
Zerlauth, Markus & Bruning, Oliver. (2024). Status and prospects of the HL-LHC project.
DOI; 615. 10.22323/1.449.0615.

Shared infrastructure

- Networks: Dedicated for LHC
 - LHC OPN:
CERN to Tier-1 sites
 - LHC1:
Between many T1s & T2s
- European Tier-1 sites
 - Most support several LHC experiments
- Tier-2 sites
 - Most support one big experiment
- Most centers support other (than LHC) experiments



Tiered structure

- Less important than 10y ago (particularly for ATLAS & CMS)
 - Experiments run “almost any workflow on any site”
 - Tier-0 and Tier-1s provide archival storage and ~24/7 support
- More changes expected
 - Clouds and HPC resources do not fit will to static Grid site model
 - Consolidation in number of entry points, particularly for storage

- WLCG has been mandated to execute data challenges (DC) for HL-LHC
 - Demonstrate readiness for expected HL-LHC data rates by a series of challenges
 - Increasing volume/rates
 - Increase complexity (e.g. additional technology)
 - A data challenge roughly every two years

- DOMA is the coordination and execution platform
 - Data Organization Management & Access
 - Forum across all LHC experiments to address **technical** needs and challenges
 - For the DCs find agreements across the LHC experiments and beyond
 - Suited dates
 - Reasonable targets
 - Functionalities
 - Help in orchestration

- Dates and high level goals always approved by WLCG Management Board

Recap of (initial) modelling & resulting rates for HL-LHC



ATLAS & CMS T0 to T1 per experiment

350PB RAW per year, taken and distributed during typical LHC uptime of 7M seconds

- 50GB/s or 400Gbps

Another 100Gbps estimated for prompt reconstruction data tiers (AOD, other derived output)

1Tbps for CMS and ATLAS summed

ALICE & LHCb T0 Export

100 Gbps per experiment estimated from Run-3 rates

WLCG data challenges for HL-LHC - 2021 planning

<https://zenodo.org/records/5532452>

Minimal Model

Sum (ATLAS,ALICE,CMS,LHCb)*2(for bursts)*2(*overprovisioning*) = **4.8Tbps for the expected HL-LHC bandwidth needs**

Flexible Model

Assumes reading of data from above for reprocessing/reconstruction in 3 months (about 7M seconds)

Means doubling the Minimal Model: **9.6Tbps for the expected HL-LHC bandwidth needs**


However data flows primarily from the T1s to T2s and T1s!

Data Challenges target: **50% filling of expected HL-LHC bandwidth needs**

DC21 - 10% of HL-LHC Throughput



However, we managed to fill 100% of the (minimal) DC21 target!



Network Data Challenges 2021 wrap-up and recommendations

<https://zenodo.org/records/5767913>

Planning of DC24

- Overall target: **25% of HL-LHC throughput**
 - Slightly lowered from originally 30% due to delayed start of HL-LHC
- Long way to towards the DC24 program
 - Agreement on dates: 2 weeks before beam operation in 2024
 - Full transfers from disk to disk, Not just network traffic
 - Experiments had room to optimize their set of exercises
 - ALICE and LHCb involved tapes, ATLAS and CMS decided not to
 - Preparation of monitoring
 - Regular preparation started one year before
 - DOMA general meetings
 - Dedicated workshop in Nov 2023

ATLAS - Planning

The slide features a large data table on the left with columns for 'Data volume', 'Transfer rate', and 'Transfer time'. To the right are two network diagrams for T0, T1, and T2 nodes. The 'Minimal scenario' diagram shows a total of 675 Gbps with normal transfers and injected traffic. The 'Flexible scenario' diagram shows a total of 1340 Gbps with scaled normal transfers and injected traffic. Below the diagrams are three bullet points: 'Rather detailed planning exists', 'Rates are mainly scaled values from measured Run-3 values', and 'Sites are already informed about expected rates'.

2 example slide from DC24 workshop

CMS - Main Scenarios


The slide describes four scenarios for CMS data transfer:

- "T0 export"**: T0 (250 Gbps) to T1s and T2s. Notes: 'Rather well modelled', 'Numbers derived from DAQ TDR and LHC uptime assumptions'.
- "Production output"**: T2s to T1s (250 Gbps) to T2s.
- "AAA"**: FNAL to T2s "Americas" (250 Gbps) and CERN to T1s and T2s "Eurasia".
- Unscheduled remote reads via Xroot**: Notes that main traffic is presently MC premixing served from CERN and FNAL, and HL-LHC approach is not fully developed.

DC24 is running February 12th (Mon) to February 23rd (Fri)




WLCG Throughput ⓘ



	max	avg	current
Data Challenge	1.17 Tb/s	740 Gb/s	872 Gb/s
atlas	658 Gb/s	384 Gb/s	239 Gb/s
alice xrootd	228 Gb/s	85.1 Gb/s	1.94 Mb/s
cms	325 Gb/s	60.5 Gb/s	60.8 Gb/s
cms xrootd	158 Gb/s	59.7 Gb/s	161 Mb/s
belle	38.9 Gb/s	12.6 Gb/s	381 Mb/s
dune	19.1 Gb/s	5.59 Gb/s	5.82 Gb/s
lhcb	83.1 Gb/s	4.23 Gb/s	542 Mb/s

- WLCG DCs should also (scale) test new technologies
 - Deployment can vary depending on level of maturity
- Some technical topics addressed in the context of DC24
 - Token based authentication
 - About half of the throughput authenticated via tokens
 - Measures to improve monitoring
 - Site based network monitoring (captures all traffic)
 - Network flow marking with *SciTags* and *UDP Fireflies*
 - Software defined networking (SDN)
 - NOTED
 - SENSE-Rucio
 - Low level network stack
 - Jumbo frames
 - BBRv2, BBRv3 TCP stacks

Transfer Throughput



- **Aftermath of DC24**
 - Derive 'lessons learned'
 - What went well, where were bottlenecks, organizational improvements ...
 - Set priorities of for ongoing developments
 - VO & community specific tools, e.g. Rucio, FTS,
 - Storage middleware
 - Network equipment
- **Planning of next DC**
 - So far nothing is set except the global target of **about 60%** of expected HL-LHC throughput
 - Dates
 - Likely in 2026 or even later
 - Almost for sure in LS3 (which makes scheduling much easier for LHC experiments)
 - Participating experiments
 - LHC experiments, likely again Belle-2 and DUNE
 - Interest (already expressed during DC24) by JUNO, SKA, Neutrino experiments in Japan
 - Experience shows that planning needs to start early (1 year before, at least)

What AI thinks we are doing ...



Bing Image Creator: "Worldwide LHC Computing Grid, Data Challenge Workshop, Happy Mood"



Bing Image Creator: "Worldwide LHC Computing Grid, Data Challenge Workshop, Serious Mood"