

# **On the shape stability of angular distributions of the channeled protons**

M. Ćosić<sup>1</sup>, S. Petrović<sup>1</sup>, Z. Siketić<sup>2</sup>, M. Vićentijević<sup>2</sup>, and M. Jakšić<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>**Laboratory of Physics, "Vinča" Institute of Nuclear Sciences National Institute of the Republic of Serbia, University of Belgrade, P. O. Box 522, 11001 Belgrade, Serbia**

2 **Institute Ruđer Bošković Bijenička cesta 54, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia**

**Charged & Neutral Particles Channeling Phenomena 2024** 8-13 September 2024, Riccione, Italy.



# **Table of Contents**

**1. Introduction**

**2. The rainbow effect**

**3. The shape stability** 

**4. Conclusions**

- 1. Introduction.
- 2. The Crystal rainbow effect.
- 3. The shape stability of transmitted proton distributions.
	- 4. Conclusions.





**2. The rainbow effect**

**3. The shape stability** 

**4. Conclusions**

# **Interaction of Ions with crystals**





**Figure 1**. (a) The simulation of the mean range of 10 keV Si ions in Si. Adapted from *K. Nordlund, F. Djurabekova, G. Hobler, Phys. Rev. B. 94, 21, 214109 (2016).* (b) Direct intensity distribution on the MCP detector visualizing 50 keV He+ channeling through low-index axes and planes of Si. Adapted from *R. Holeňák, S. Lohmann, D. Primetzhofer, Ultramicroscopy 217, 113051 (2020).*

3



 $(a)$ 

 $\hat{x}$ 

 $(b)$ 

 $\Theta_{\!\scriptscriptstyle\rm C}$ 

### **1. Introduction**

**2. The rainbow effect**

**3. The shape stability** 

**4. Conclusions**



# **The channeling effect**

The ion-atom interaction potential

$$
U_a(r) = \frac{Z_1 Z_2}{r} \sum_i \alpha_i \exp[-\beta_i r / a_{TF}].
$$

Continuous potential of atomic string and plane are:

$$
U_s(\rho) = \frac{1}{d} \int U_a(r) dz, \qquad U_p(x) = \sigma_p \int U_a(r) dz dy.
$$

Ion channel interaction potentials are:

$$
U(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \sum_n U_s(\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_n), \qquad U(x) = \sum_n U_p(x - x_n).
$$

One just needs to solve the Hamilton equations of motion:

$$
\frac{d\boldsymbol{\theta}}{dt} = -\frac{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}}{p_z} H(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \qquad \frac{d\boldsymbol{\rho}}{dt} = \frac{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}{p_z} H(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\theta}),
$$

$$
H(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{p_z^2}{2m} \boldsymbol{\theta}^2 + U(\boldsymbol{\rho}),
$$

The critical channeling angles

$$
\Theta_c = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{p_Z^2} U_s(a_{TF})}, \qquad \Theta_c = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{p_Z^2} U_p(a_p - a_{TF})},
$$

4

**Figure 2** (a) Scattering on an atomic string. (b) Schematic representation of the channeling effect.

 $(\theta_x,\theta_y)$ 



**2. The rainbow effect**

**3. The shape stability** 

**4. Conclusions**



### **The Statistical Equilibrium Hypothesis**

The channeled trajectories are very complicated; thus, it is *assumed* that ion beam quickly reaches the transverse equilibrium state!

In the planar case integral curves can be labeled by impact parameters  $x_o$ .

The corresponding microcanonical distribution is  $S($   $\mu$  $($  $\alpha$  $($  $\alpha$  $)$   $\mu$  $($  $\alpha$  $($  $\alpha$  $))$ 

$$
w(x, \theta_x; x_o) = \frac{\partial (H(x, \theta_x) - H(x_0, 0))}{\int \delta (H(x, \theta_x) - H(x_0, 0)) dxdp_x},
$$

Phase space distribution of the beam is  $W(x, \theta_x) =$ 1  $d_{\pmb{p}}$  $\vert$  $-d_p/2$  $d_p/2$  $w(x, \theta_x; x_o)dx_0$  ,

with angular distribution

$$
Y(\theta_x) = \int_{-d_p/2}^{d_p/2} W(x, \theta_x) \mathrm{d}x_0
$$

**Figure 3**. Ergodic angular density for model of planar interaction potential  $U(x) = U_0 \cos qx$ . Adapted from the M. V. Berry D. O'Dell, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **32** 3571–3582 (1999).

5





**2. The rainbow effect**

**3. The shape stability** 

**4. Conclusions**



# **Angular Distributions in thin Crystal**

### Unfortunately, the channeled beam is never ergodic!



**Figure 4**. Experimental channeled angular distributions at aligned cases for 2 MeV protons from a 55 nm [001] Si membrane, doughnut channeling patterns. Adapted from *M. Motapothula, Z. Y. Dang, T. Venkatesan, M. B. H. Breese, Nim Phys. Res. B 330 24–32, (2014)*.

10th International Conference *Charged & Neutral Particles Channeling Phenomena,* September 2024



**2. The rainbow effect**

**3. The shape stability** 

**4. Conclusions**

### **Crystal Rainbow Effect**

The axial channeling process is a map of the impact parameter plane to the scattering angle plane

 $\Lambda$  $\boldsymbol{p}_0 = (x_0, y_0) \rightarrow$  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_x, \theta_y)$ , parametrized by the reduced crystal thickness  $\Lambda = \frac{t}{T}$  $\frac{t}{T} = \frac{L}{2\pi}$  $\frac{L}{2\pi}\sqrt{\Delta U(0)/E_k}$ . The differential cross-section is  $\partial_{x_0} \theta_x(\boldsymbol{\rho_0}, \Lambda)$ ,  $\partial_{y_0} \theta_x(\boldsymbol{\rho_0}, \Lambda)$ 1  $\sigma_{\text{diff}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \Lambda) =$ ,  $J_{\theta} =$  $\partial_{x_0} \theta_y \left( \boldsymbol{\rho_0}, A \right)$ ,  $\partial_{y_0} \theta_y \left( \boldsymbol{\rho_0}, A \right)$  $\bm{J}_{\theta}(\varLambda)$  $1.0 \text{ MeV}$  $0.7 \text{ MeV}$  $0.2$  deg  $\mathbf{0}$  $\beta_\chi$  $-0.2$ min  $0.2$  $-0.2$  $-0.2$  $\overline{0}$  $\theta$  $0.2$  $\theta_{\nu}$  (deg)



**Figure 5**. Experimental angular distribution of 1.0, and 0.7-MeV protons transmitted through 55 nm long (100) channel Si crystal with corresponding rainbow lines (red lines).

7

.



**2. The rainbow effect**

**3. The shape stability** 

**4. Conclusions**



# **Proton's isoenergy contours**



**Figure 6**. (a) Inequivalent isoenergy contours in the impact parameter plane, whose energies are shown in the inset (a'). (b), (c) an image of isoenergy lines in ( $\rho$ ,  $\theta_{\gamma}$ ) space for  $\Lambda$ = 0.164 and 0.468. Black diamonds show the positions of the atomic strings, while the black circle indicates the center of the axial channel. Arrows indicate contours belonging to the hyper-channeled manifold.

8

# **The Experiment**





**Figure 7**. (a) The schematic representation of the experimental setup installed at the μ-beam line of the Ruđer Bošković Institute.

(b) Antiblocking pattern captured by the forward scattering detector. Arrows indicate the positions of the planar channels, the dashed circle axial channel. The number of detected protons was represented by color ranging from the deepest blue to the deepest red.

10th International Conference *Charged & Neutral Particles Channeling Phenomena,* September 2024

**1. Introduction**

**2. The rainbow effect**

**3. The shape stability** 

**4. Conclusions**





**2. The rainbow effect**

**3. The shape stability** 

**4. Conclusions**



# **Crystal Rainbow Effect**



**Figure 8.** (a)-(c) Measured angular distribution of transmitted protons for  $\Lambda = 0.597$ , 0.468, and 0.372. Arrows indicate inequivalent accumulation regions and the extent of the distribution. (a')- (c') The corresponding projections of the isoenergy contours to the scattering angle plane



### **Conclusions**

- **1. Introduction**
- **2. The rainbow effect**
- **3. The shape stability**
- **4. Conclusions**
- **This work demonstrates remarkable stability of the channeled trajectories, much stronger than predicted by the standard models of the channeling process.**
- **There seem to be certain, practically perpetually stable, isoenergy lines for which perturbations can't overpower the influence of the correlated sequence of the small-angle scattering.**
- **Regardless of having measure zero, stable isoenergy lines provide organizing centers for the dynamics on all neighboring, unstable isoenergy lines, thus enabling the shape consistency of their projections into the SA plane to become observable.**
- **The stable iso-energy lines are the last feature of the angular distributions of channeled protons to be erased by the nonelastic effects.**

