

Andrew L. Miller amiller@nikhef.nl

Synergies between gravitationalwave and dark-matter detection



Utrecht University



> Background > Methods O3 LIGO/Virgo dark photon dark matter constraints Conclusions

Outline



- LIGO, Virgo and KAGRA are km-long size interferometers designed to measure the displacement of test masses (mirrors) in the audio band (10-2000) Hz
- > These are precision instruments that measure a strain $h \sim \Delta L/L$
 - Detection principle: anything that causes a change in length of the interferometer arms can be detected as a "signal"
- Can we use interferometers to detect dark matter?

Context









Ultralight dark matter

- Dark matter could directly interact with interferometer components, leading to an observable signal that is NOT a gravitational wave
- > If we assume DM is ultralight, then we can calculate the number of DM particles in a region of space
- > Huge number of particles modelled as superposition of plane waves, with velocities Maxwell-Boltzmann distributed around $v_0 \sim 220$ km/s
- DM induces stochastic frequency modulation $\Delta f/f \sim v_0^2/c^2 \sim 10^{-6} \longrightarrow$ finite wave coherence time

$$T_{\rm coh} = \frac{4\pi\hbar}{m_A v_0^2} = 1.4 \times 10^4 \text{ s} \left(\frac{10^{-12} \text{ eV}/c^2}{m_A}\right)$$

Pierce et al. 2018, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 061102 Morisaki et al. 2021, Phys. Rev. D. 103, L051702 Vermeulen et al. 2021, Nature 600, pages 424–428

$$\begin{split} N_o &= \lambda^3 \frac{\rho_{\rm DM}}{m_A c^2} = \left(\frac{2\pi\hbar}{m_A v_0}\right)^3 \frac{\rho_{\rm DM}}{m_A c^2},\\ &\approx 1.69 \times 10^{54} \left(\frac{10^{-12} \ {\rm eV}/c^2}{m_A}\right)^4 \end{split}$$

$$L_{\rm coh} \sim 10^9 \,\mathrm{m}$$



- > The interferometers sit in a "wind" of DM
- We can search for *any* type of DM so long as it is cold, ultralight and causes some strain on the detector
- > 10-2000 Hz \rightarrow DM mass range [10⁻¹⁴,10⁻¹²] eV/ c^2
- Different DM particles with interact with different standard-model ones, leading to similar but distinguishable signals
- > When we do not observe DM we place constraints on the coupling of DM to ordinary particles

Ultralight dark matter



- Solution Construction Constr
- Apparent strain results from a "finite light travel time" effect

Vector bosons: dark photons $\underline{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{A}}$: dark photon mass $\mathcal{L}=-rac{1}{4}F^{\mu u}F_{\mu u}+rac{1}{2}m_A^2A^\mu A_\mu-\epsilon_D e J_D^\mu A_\mu,$ $\underline{\mathbf{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{D}}}$: coupling strength A_u : dark vector potential

(baryons) or just neutrons (baryon-lepton number) in materials

> Mirrors sit in different places w.r.t. incoming dark photon field ->differential strain from a spatial gradient in the dark photon field



True differential motion from dark photon field

- Differential strain results because each mirror is in a different place relative to the incoming dark photon field: this is a *spatial* effect
- Depends on the frequency, the coupling strength, the dark matter density and velocity

 $\sqrt{\langle h_D^2 \rangle} = C \frac{q}{M} \frac{\hbar e}{c^4 \sqrt{\epsilon_0}} \sqrt{2\rho_{\rm DM}} v_0 \frac{\epsilon}{f_0},$ $\simeq 6.56 \times 10^{-27} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{10^{-23}} \right) \left(\frac{100 \text{ Hz}}{f_0} \right)$

Pierce et al. 2018, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 061102



Common motion

9

- Arises because light takes a finite amount of time to travel from the beam splitter to the end mirror and back
- Imagine a dark photon field that moves the beam splitter and one end mirror exactly the same amount
- The light will "see" the mirror when it has been displaced by a small amount
- And then, in the extreme case (a particular choice of parameters), the light will "see" the beam splitter when it has returned to its original location
- > But, the y-arm has not been moved at all by the field -> apparent differential strain



Morisaki et al. 2021, Phys. Rev. D. 103, L051702



Methods to search for dark matter

How to search for DM?

- Ideal technique to find weak signals in noisy data: matched filter
- > But, signal has stochastic fluctuations —> matched filter cannot work
- > The signal is almost monochromatic —> take Fourier transforms of length $T_{\rm FFT} \sim T_{\rm coh}$ and combine the power in each FFT without phase information



Credit: L. Pierini

- Example of simulated dark photon dark matter interaction
- Power spectrum structure results from superposition of plane waves, visible when $T_{\rm FFT} > T_{\rm coh}$
- Break dataset into smaller chunks of length $T_{\rm FFT} \sim T_{\rm coh}$ to confine this frequency modulation to one bin, then sum power in each chunk



The signal and analysis strategy

One day shown, but signal lasts longer than observing run

Miller et al. Phys.Rev.D 103 (2021) 10, 103002







Search Method: Cross Correlation

- SNR = detection statistic, depends on cross power and the PSDs of each detector
- j: frequency index; i: FFT index
- SNR computed in each frequency bin, summed over the whole observation run
- > Overlap reduction function = -0.9 because dark photon coherence length >> detector separation
- Frequency lags computed to estimate background

$$S_j = \frac{1}{N_{\rm FFT}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\rm FFT}} \frac{z_{1,ij} z_{2,ij}^*}{P_{1,ij} P_{2,ij}}$$

$$\sigma_j^2 = \frac{1}{N_{\rm FFT}} \left\langle \frac{1}{2P_{1,ij}P_{2,ij}} \right\rangle_{N_{\rm FFT}}$$

$$\mathrm{SNR}_j = rac{S_j}{\sigma_j}$$

Pierce et al. 2018, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 061102





- Senefits w.r.t. matched filtering: robust against noise disturbances, gaps, theoretical uncertainties
- Simulated signal shown here 5

D'Antonio et al. 2018 Phys. Rev. D 98, 103017

Method: look for excess power



Determine time/frequency points above a certain power threshold and histogram on frequency axis

Miller et al. Phys.Rev.D 103 (2021) 10, 103002 14



Constraints on dark matter using gravitational-wave detectors

O3 LVK dark photon search

- Upper limit from two methods (cross correlation and BSD)
- Cross-corr fixes $T_{\text{FFT}} = 1800$ s; excess power matches T_{FFT} to T_{coh}
- Compared to limits from existing torsion balance experiments (Eötvös) and MICROSCOPE satellite
- Limits are generic can also be applied to other types of DM can be searched for too (dilatons and tensor bosons in particular)

Guo et al. Nat. Commun.Phys. 2 (2019)



LVK 2021: Phys.Rev.D 105 (2022) 6, 063030



LISA Pathfinder (LPF) probes of DM

- Space-based GW detectors will also be sensitive to dark photon dark matter, though at smaller masses
- Same techniques as mentioned before applied (and matched filtering)
- Not as constraining as existing experiments, but are proof-of-concept
- Other channel (relative acceleration of spacecraft and test mass) would give more stringent constraints on coupling of dark photons to neutrons at masses in blue box Frerick et al. Phys.Lett.B 848 (2024) 138328



Miller and Mendes. Phys.Rev.D 107 (2023) 6, 063015



Distinguish between DM types

- > DM could take different forms and have different spins -> could couple to GW interferometers in unique ways
- > However, current analyses are not sensitive to the kind of DM that could couple to the detectors
- Wiener filter proposed to distinguish between scalar, > vector and tensor DM
 - Different correlations between detectors spread across the earth would exist for the different DM particles
- > Method needs to be completely developed beyond "proof-of-concept" stage, compared with existing ones



Miller, A. L., Badaracco, F., & Palomba, C. (2022). PRD, 105(10), 103035.



Conclusions

- detectors without the need to design new instruments!
- $\mathcal{O}(10^{-19} 10^{-14}) \text{ eV}$
- send me an email: amiller@nikhef.nl

Dark matter can be probed directly via its interactions with GW

Space-based gravitational-wave detectors can also be sensitive to these kinds of dark matter interactions, though at lower masses,

If you are interested in working on any aspect of dark matter, please

Backup slides

Search for dark matter in LISA Pathfinder in different channel

- Projected limits on the coupling of dark photons to baryon & baryon-lepton number using the relative acceleration of the test masses and spacecraft
- > Promising in low-mass regime $(10^{-18} 10^{-17} \text{ eV})$ that real constraints could be produced
- We can search LPF data in this regime, which needs some method development because PSD estimation is not easy at low masses (low frequencies)
- Distinguishing method discussed can be applied here as well: how to distinguish this from other monochromatic sources in LISA?

Miller, A. L., et al. (2022). PRD, 105(10), 103035.



Frerick et al. Phys.Lett.B 848 (2024) 138328

